Missing values imputation in Arabic datasets using enhanced robust association rules
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ABSTRACT

Missing value (MV) is one form of data completeness problem in massive datasets. To deal with missing values, data imputation methods were proposed with the aim to improve the completeness of the datasets concerned. Data imputation's accuracy is a common indicator of a data imputation technique's efficiency. However, the efficiency of data imputation can be affected by the nature of the language in which the dataset is written. To overcome this problem, it is necessary to normalize the data, especially in non-Latin languages such as the Arabic language. This paper proposes a method that will address the challenge inherent in Arabic datasets by extending the enhanced robust association rules (ERAR) method with Arabic detection and correction functions. Iterative and Decision Tree methods were used to evaluate the proposed method in an experiment. Experiment results show that the proposed method offers a higher data imputation accuracy than the Iterative and decision tree methods.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Data is usually dirty at its source. "Dirty" from data quality context means the data have impurities such as duplication, misspellings, and missing values (MV). The ratio of impurities in datasets varies, and factors such as failures of monitoring, a fault in data input process, equipment errors, disruption of communication between data collectors and the central management system, failure during the archiving system (hardware or software), or human errors contribute to the problem [1].

Dirty data must be cleaned before it can be useful in decision-making or analysis for an organization. In fact, the quality of the analysis is determined by the quality of data [2]. Missing values is an example of a data completeness problem that causes dirty data. The missing values have become a rising concern for many sectors such as business, industry, healthcare, and e-governance [3]. Missing values occur when no data values are stored for the variable in an observation [2]. Missing values is a typical problem in many applications, and the failure to deal with them can significantly affect the results drawn from the data. Missing values come in different patterns, for example, Univariate, Montana, and Arbitrary, that are caused by several mechanisms such as missing at random (MAR), missing completely at random (MCAR), and missing not at random (MNAR) [4]. Most methods that deal will missing values usually seek to understand the nature of missing values before proposing a solution.

Researchers adopt at least two ways of dealing with missing values. The first is the "deletion technique" that will ignore the missing values present in a dataset. This approach is effective in the case
where the number of missing values is small, and the deletion will not cause bias in the datasets. Data imputation is another way to deal with missing values. The missing values are replaced by estimated values based on the information available in the dataset [5, 6].

The selection of the imputation method is always based on the missing values mechanism and the pattern of missing values (MV) [7]. The problem with these methods is that some may perform well in certain data types while others may not [5, 8]. According to Allison, no single imputation method handle all missing value types [9]. According to Suthar et al. [2], imputation methods are classified into two categories. The first category is single imputation, which refers to substituting a single value for each missing value. The methods under single imputation are mean imputation, regression imputation, hot-deck imputation, and advanced imputation methods such as (expectation maximisation (EM) approach and raw maximum likelihood (RML) [5, 8]. The second category is multiple imputations. Multiple imputations create several copies of the dataset, each containing different imputed values, and these datasets are subsequently combined into a single set of results. Association rules (AR) are popular methods adopted by many data imputation methods.

The early use of AR can be seen in data mining for finding interesting relations between variables in large databases [10]. Ragel, proposed a new approach to mine AR in relational databases containing missing values where the tuples with missing attributes were partially disabled instead of deleting it to ease the impact of lost rules [11]. In 1999 Ragel and Cremillex proposed missing values completion (MVC) using AR [12]. Based on MVC methods, several algorithms were developed, such as recycle combined association rules (RCAR) [13], fast recycle combined association rules (FRCAR) [14], association rule mining from data with missing values (ARDM) [15], Iterative missing-value completion [16], and enhanced robust association rules (ERAR) [17]. AR is a concept based on complete matching between values during the process of computing frequent itemsets. Accurate matching in computing frequent items will fail with nominal datasets due to misspellings and typo errors [18].

Most of the imputation methods focus on English, which deals with similar challenges with other Latina and non-Latina languages, such as letters, rules, and neutral morphology. Nevertheless, the non-Latina languages, such as the Arabic language, has additional challenges that researchers need to deal with. The Arabic language is based on 28 letters and short vowel signs known as diacritics which are positioned either above or below the letter. This mark indicates the phonetic information associated with each letter helps explain the context and sense of the word [19].

Inconsistent variations are an issue that needs to be handled in Arabic text. Different versions of Alif (اً، أ، ا، اً), for example, may be written interchangeably; another example is alif maqsura and normal dotted Ya‘ (א, א), which are commonly used interchangeably at the word's end. The same may be said for Taa-marbutah and Haa-marbutah (١, ﻀ) such as (التعليم, المعلمة) which means (Teacher). The two words come in two other forms, but it refers to one meaning. As a result, accurate matching is complex unless the values in the dataset can be unified in one form. Therefore, it is crucial to address the Arabic language issues and correct the misspelled before we can produce accurate frequent itemsets for imputation.

There are several techniques used to handle Arabic issues. Typically involves two primary modules: detecting errors within a text and correcting those errors [20]. The simplest approach is the dictionary lookup technique, where the input word is compared with the words in the dictionary. If the input word is not found in the dictionary, the word is considered an incorrect word. Another approach is morphological analyzers that check whether a word is following the morphological language rules or not. These two methods are usually combined for better results. Error correction is complex, especially for a linguistically rich language such as Arabic due to morphology complexity [21]. There are some attempts at spelling correction for the Arabic language. The following are the latest developments in the work:

i) Hamza et al., created a separate spell-checking corpus with ill-formed words identified as a result of morphological analysis failure [22]. Although they use a stemming dictionary to shorten many Arabic words, the disadvantage of this approach is that as small lexical is used, many types of errors are not being covered.

ii) Al-hagree et al., proposed an algorithm that tests the accuracy and efficiency of Arabic name matching. The algorithm focuses on the unique qualities of the Arabic language and the multiple degrees of correspondence between Arabic letters, including keyboard similarities, letter shapes, and phonetic similarities [23]. Moreover, the suggested approach uses the Damerau-Levenshtein Distance algorithm to account for the transposition operation and the improved states of substitution, deletion, insertion, and transposition operations. The authors did not handle Arabic morphology's complexity and did not use the Arabic lexical. The technique depends on Levenshtein Distance algorithm and pattern to select the correct word, which is prone to the possibility of errors.

iii) Alkhatib et al. proposed a system for detecting and correcting spelling errors [20]. They developed a systematic framework for spelling and grammar error detection and a correction at the word level, based
on a bidirectional long short-term memory mechanism and word embedding, in which a polynomial network classifier is at the top of the system. The experiment compared results with the output of two well-known tools: Ayaspell version 3.47 and Microsoft office 2013. Their system showed higher accuracy than (93.89%) compared with the two other tools. Nevertheless, they did not mention how to handle Arabic misspelling.

iv) Atawy and Ahmed proposed a spelling checker (DYS-EnSC) which is based on the n-gram method, a lookup dictionary, and Damerau-Levenshtein. The detection and correction of misspellings made by Arabic second language learners with dyslexia are handled by creating a list of candidates and selecting the best appropriate candidate for each misspelled word. The system achieved 93% accuracy in detecting misspellings and corrected about 86% of words, and outperformed Microsoft Word (MW) and spell and language tools [24]. However, the system could not discover some errors such as synonyms for the wrong term, such as (home) instead of (house). Another issue with this method is that adding or removing a character result in an identical word for a word in the dictionary. Thus, the system recognized it as an error in some circumstances. The last issue is that the system did not use morphology analysis to handle the complexity of Arabic morphology.

All the methods presented deal with the Arabic language in different areas, such as analysis and imputation. Nevertheless, improvement is needed to deal with missing values in the Arabic datasets in terms of imputation. Imputation methods are limited in handling incomplete datasets in the Arabic language, especially in considering the complexity of morphology issues and the correction process in Arabic texts. With these limitations, further work is needed in order to evaluate the accuracy of missing values imputation within Arabic datasets.

In this paper, we present an enhanced method to impute the missing values in the Arabic dataset based on combining the enhanced robust association rules (ERAR) and Arabic language detection and correction techniques inspired by earlier works. The ERAR method uses the Arabic correction and detection techniques such as dictionary lookup and morphology analysis on Arabic datasets to correct the misspelling and unify the words in one form before selecting the frequent itemsets. In this way, high accuracy of frequent itemsets can be generated to calculate values with the same meaning and derive the rules for the imputation. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The proposed method will be presented in Section 2, while the results and discussion in Section 3. Finally, the conclusions are in Section 4.

2. METHOD

In this section, we focus on the data preparation stage to improve the efficiency of the ERAR method to impute missing values in the Arabic datasets. ERAR method was inspired by an Iterative algorithm [16]. ERAR method consists of five main steps: i) filter the candidates, ii) select the frequent itemsets, iii) generate the association rules, iv) fill in the missing values, and finally v) check the dataset. In fact, ERAR relies on simple data preparation processes that are inadequate for addressing the Arabic datasets issues. Therefore, an extension of the data preparation process by adding the Arabic language detection and correction functions is needed to overcome this problem.

2.1. Arabic dataset preparation

Arabic dataset preparation focuses on misspelling and morphology aspects that become the major concerns in the Arabic language. The proposed workflow for the Arabic language dataset preparation is shown in Figure 1. The module of Arabic dataset preparation is divided into three parts: normalization, error detection, and error correction.

2.1.1. Normalization

In this step, inconsistent variations are handled in raw Arabic text using various natural language processing (NLP) applications as the following: i) different forms of letter alif (ا، ى، ی، ى ) are unified in one form which is alif without Hamza or diacritical marks (ا); ii) change the taa marbutah and haa marbutah (اً، أ، ا، اً) to one form which is (اً); iii) remove all three forms of diacritics marks to make all words in one form. These steps attempt to unify all the letters into one form, which improves the matching process.

2.1.2. Error detection

In this step, misspelled words are detected. Many techniques, such as dictionary search and morphology analysis, are used to detect errors in Arabic languages [25], [26]. Dictionary lookup is the most common and the fastest method due to the size of the dictionary (corpus). The morphology process takes more time to complete due to the complexity of morphology in Arabic. In this study, we used both techniques, which are dictionary lookup and morphology rules. The following are the description of the processes:

---
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Dictionary: dictionary lookup technique is the most extensively used misspelling detection methodology in many applications. In this process, the input word is compared to the words within the dictionary. If the input word is not found in the dictionary, the word is considered incorrect. Unlike morphological analysis, this method ensures that the most often used words are covered [26]. The size of our proposed lexicon in this model is around 9 million unique Arabic words taken from Shaalan et al. [26].

Morphology analysis: in this process, the morphological generator is used to generate a sufficient list of potential words [26], [27]. As there is no corpus, the list will contain all possible word forms that reflect the richness and complexity of Arabic morphology. The morphological rules apply using the Light stemming methodology to cover words included in a generated dictionary [28]. The prefix added to begins of the root to generate words are as follow:

\[(\text{فبالم}), (\text{فا}), (\text{فبالم}), (\text{فبالم}), (\text{فا}), (\text{فا}), (\text{فبالم}}, (\text{فبالم})
\]
and the suffix to the ends of root to generate a word as follow:

\[(\text{وكم}), (\text{وكم}), (\text{وكم}), (\text{وكم}), (\text{وكم}), (\text{وكم})
\]

Selection of frequent words: this step is performed after the error detection stage. This step calculates the frequency of each incorrect word. Based on the frequency value, we selected the incorrect word as the correct word if the word had a frequency of more than ten times. Figure 2 shows some words in the Poems dataset not found in dictionary and morphology analysis. The words selected based on iterate are often adjectives or names.

![Diagram of Arabic dataset preparation modules](Image)

**Figure 1. Arabic dataset preparation modules**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Number of Iterate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>البكرية (al-baladiya)</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>اللهب (al-halaab)</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>الصبراء (al-sabaya)</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>التغجح (takught)</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>المانوع (al-manaww)</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2. Example of frequent words in dataset**

### 2.1.3. Error correction

Correction of spelling errors is one of the most important areas of NLP. The majority of spelling errors are caused by a misplaced letter, an additional letter, or a single transposition between characters. Four operations are defined to rectify these errors: substitution, insertion, deletion, and transposition. This study used the Damerau Levenshtein technique to handle these types of errors as the following:

i) Damerau Levenshtein algorithm: this algorithm generates the edit-distance metric, which was first introduced in 1974 by Wagner and Fischer [29].

ii) Keyboard related: some spelling errors are the result of pressing the wrong keys of the keyboard. The majority of spelling errors are caused by pressing the erroneous or adjacent key on the keyboard. Figure 3 shows The Arabic letters and neighbor letters on the Arabic keyboard.

iii) Sound Similarity: Some of the letters in Arabic has the same sound as the English language. We used the edit-distance method to deal with this issue by grouping similarly sounding letters as one letter.
Figure 4 shows the letters that have similar sounds in Arabic. The sound similarity technique can be used to rank suggested words that are selected from the Damerau Levenshtein algorithm in the previous steps.

iv) Selection of correct word: After completing the previous three steps, we will have a list of potential words for each incorrect word. The selection of the correct word will be performed by replacing each potential word in a list and counting the iteration of values found after replacing the incorrect word. Hence, one of these potential words will show iteration value while the rest of the words will show zero iteration. Therefore, the potential word that has iteration value will be the replaceable word instead of the incorrect word. Figure 5 shows an example on how to select the correct word from a list of potential words that were taken from the poem dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Arab. Letter</th>
<th>Neighbor keys</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Arab. Letter</th>
<th>Neighbor keys</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>ﻮ ﺮ</td>
<td>ن ﺮ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Evaluation of the proposed method
In this study, we used two real datasets that are available from the Kaggle repository. The first one is the Arabic Poetry dataset. This dataset consists of six attributes and 58,000 records. Several types of Arabic problems such as spelling mistakes and diacritical marks are added to simulate the problems of the Arabic dataset. The second dataset is an English dataset which is the Zomato Restaurant dataset has 9,551 records.
restaurants and 21 attributes. An arbitrary pattern is used to add the missing values within the 10%-70% range.

The Iterative and decision trees were used as benchmarks to evaluate ERAR's performance. We selected the Iterative method because ERAR's proposal was inspired by the Iterative method's paradigm and thus, comparing them is necessary. At the same time, the selection of the DT method as a benchmark is due to its similar nature to capture the relations between attributes and handle nominal values [30], involving those that contain numerical and nominal data commonly used in imputation experiments [31]. The confusion matrix is used to compute the performance measures, and the accuracy is determined by calculating the F-Score.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section presents the results obtained from implementing ERAR, Iterative, and DT methods on the dataset under study. Table 1 shows the confusion matrix that consists of true positive (TP), false positive (FP), and true negative (TN) discovered for three methods in different missing values (MV) rates, support value= 0.6 and Confidence= 0.7. Overall, ERAR outperforms Iterative and DT methods with the highest TP scores that can be seen for all MV rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MV Rate</th>
<th>ERAR</th>
<th>Iterative</th>
<th>DT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>FP</td>
<td>TN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>13329</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>26055</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>34733</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>65313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40543</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>95660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>40891</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>132144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>34273</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>174395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>21312</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>221303</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6 illustrates the imputation accuracy using the Arabic Poetry dataset by the three methods under study within a range of missing values (10%-70%). The ERAR method consistently exhibits the highest accuracy as compared to other methods. The Iterative method shows lower accuracy than ERAR, with quite a consistent trend throughout the MV rates. The DT method initially performs very well for MV between 10 to 20%, but the accuracy suddenly drops after 30% of MV. The lowest accuracy for DT is at 50% of the MV rate. The accuracy of DT is expected to degrade as the number of missing values increases.

As it is worth discovering whether ERAR does not only behaves well in imputing missing values within the Arab dataset, it is necessary to examine the behavior of ERAR in dealing with the non-Arabic dataset. Thus, the same procedure was implemented in the experiment against an English dataset called Zomato restaurants. Table 2 shows the results of implementing ERAR, Iterative, and DT methods on the Zomato dataset.

![Figure 6. MV imputation accuracy for three methods using Arabic poetry dataset](image-url)
### Table 2. Implementation result on the non-Arabic dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MV Rate</th>
<th>ERAR</th>
<th>Iterative</th>
<th>DT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>FP</td>
<td>TN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>6901</td>
<td>1779</td>
<td>8057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>12873</td>
<td>3867</td>
<td>15552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>17754</td>
<td>5611</td>
<td>22707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>22780</td>
<td>9240</td>
<td>31790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>25970</td>
<td>12838</td>
<td>39736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>27746</td>
<td>11567</td>
<td>58539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>27262</td>
<td>13188</td>
<td>71783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7 shows the imputation accuracy of ERAR, Iterative, and DT using the Zomato dataset for a range of MV rates. The results show that DT performed best within a low range of missing values (between 10-20) as compared to ERAR and Iterative methods. ERAR showed better performance of all from 20% of MV. Based on the experiment, we found several limitations of ERAR. The first limitation is that ERAR is not good in dealing with numerical data. AR used complete matching to generate the rules, and the rules were used in replacing the MV. Unfortunately, this process fails with numbers. For example, the aggregate rating column in the Zomato dataset that consists of decimal numbers is related to a column such as rating color. As such, the values within the range 4.5-5 refer to dark green in the rating color column. The second limitation of ERAR is in dealing with sequence values. For example, in the address column in the Zomato dataset, we can find the same value in different sequences such as "Vikaspuri-New Delhi" and "New Delhi-Vikaspuri" (both refer to the same address). Thus, a data cleaning step is necessary to standardize the sequence values.

Figure 7. MV imputation accuracy for three methods using Zomato dataset

## 4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we investigated the missing values problem within Arabic datasets in this paper. We proposed a data imputation method that extends the ERAR method with the Arabic language preparation function. Arabic language preparation is introduced to handle Arabic language issues such as misspelling, letters forms, diacritical marks, and morphology. In particular, the Arabic preparation function reduces the impact of Arabic language issues in selecting the frequent itemsets. The aim of the method is to provide data imputation accuracy regardless of the challenges inherent in Arabic datasets. An experiment was conducted to evaluate ERAR's data imputation accuracy for the Arabic dataset by comparing it to the Iterative and DT methods. The results show that ERAR behaves better than Iterative and DT despite increasing missing values. The Arabic preparation function has successfully enabled the ERAR to achieve the highest number of true positive and the lowest false positive in most missing values rates compared to the iterative and DT methods. Similar results where ERAR outperformed the other two methods can also be observed for the English dataset. The findings presented in this paper contribute to understanding the implications of treating the problems inherent in a language for missing values imputation. It is worth studying how data imputation can be improved by addressing other types of spelling problems, such as hidden semantic errors. Other performance indicators such as the speed of imputation can also be considered in our future work.
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