Brain seizures detection using machine learning classifiers based on electroencephalography signals: a comparative study
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ABSTRACT
The paper demonstrates various machine learning classifiers, they have been used for detecting epileptic seizures quickly and accurately through electroencephalography (EEG), in real time. Symptoms of epilepsy are caused abnormal brain activity. Analyzing and detecting epileptic seizures presents many challenges because EEG signals are non-stationary, and the patterns of the seizure vary for each patient. Moreover, the EEG signals are noisy, and this affect the process of seizure detection. On the other hand, Machine learning algorithms are very accurate, adaptive and generalize very well when provided with diverse and big training data and can easily analyze complex structure of the EEG signal despite the noisiness when compared to other methods. With this approach the features of epileptic seizures can be learned and used to correctly identify other seizure cases. The demonstration states a comparison between various classifiers, including random forests, K-nearest neighbors (K-NN), decision trees, support vector machine (SVM), logistic regression and naïve bayes. Different performance metrics is used such as accuracy, receiver operating characteristics (ROC), mean absolute error (MAE), root-mean-square error (RMSE) and most importantly detection time for each algorithm. The Bonn university dataset has been used for demonstration process for the classification of the epileptic seizure.
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1. INTRODUCTION
In epilepsy, the entire nervous system is affected, resulting in seizures. The symptoms can vary and include loss of consciences and recurrent uncontrollable seizures. These symptoms cause a lot of injuries to the patient and in some cases death [1]. A seizure is a paroxysmal change of neurological functions caused by the excessive discharge of neurons in the brain. Epileptic seizure is used to differentiate between a seizure caused by abnormal neuronal firing caused by a nonepileptic event, such as a psychogenic seizure. Epilepsy is the condition of recurrent and unprovoked seizures. Epilepsy has a lot causes, each reflecting underlying brain malfunction. Epilepsy syndromes refer to a group of phycolgical and physiological characteristics that always occur together with matching seizure types, age of onset, electroencephalography (EEG), findings, triggering factors, genetics, natural history, prognosis, and response to antiepileptic drugs (AEDs). Approximately 1% of the population suffers from epilepsy, and almost one-third of patients have refractory epilepsy which are seizure that can’t be controlled by antiepileptic medications or other therapies. Approximately 75% of epilepsy cases begin in early childhood, reflecting the heigh susceptibility of the
developing brain to seizures [2], so a lot of work was put to appropriately predict seizures earlier and many researchers tried to develop EEG-based seizures detection methods but this wasn’t an easy task due to the complex nature of the EEG signals that is prone to noise and artifacts from muscle movements and eyes blinking [3]. The EEG is a dynamic non-invasive and relatively inexpensive technique used to monitor the state of the brain electrical activity in micro-volts (µv) [4], [5]. Traditionally the early EEG-based seizure detection methods consisted of many intermediate complex steps [6]. The traditional methods relied heavily on the frequency domain [7], time domain [8] and time-frequency domain analysis [9], as well as understanding the nonlinearity of EEG signals [10] and the dynamic changes associated with different physiological and psychological state of the body and the brains that introduce noise to EEG recordings [11]. But these traditional methods encounter many challenges, first the EEG signals acquisition devices are very susceptible to a wide range of noise due to body movement during the recording session and environmental noise [12], [13]. Noise can add unwanted distortion and corrupt the features of the EEG signals causing the performance of these seizures detection methods to be unreliable, second these methods are very sensitive to noise and not robust to discriminate between the seizure features and the noise in the EEG signals, finally all the traditional methods are programmed to detect seizures based on several feature extraction and selection methods that in many cases remove important features that can be used to detect seizures patterns that are similar to healthy signal patterns. On the other hand, machine learning classifiers can overcome the limitation of the traditional methods because they are trained on the raw data instead on being programmed explicitly [14]. Given enough training data the performance of the machine learning algorithms is much better, more robust, generalize well to unseen instances and can detect complex patterns of the seizure signals despite the noise and artifacts [15]. The aim of this paper is to implement the latest and most common machine learning classifiers and train them on the well-known epileptic seizure dataset of bon university and compare the performance of these classifiers to determine the best classifiers [16] that can be used to develop a robust system for detecting epileptic seizure using raw EEG signals in real-time.

2. DATASET DESCRIPTION

The original dataset that is used in this paper is the well-known benchmark dataset of bon university for epileptic seizure detection that consists of 500 EEG signals acquired from different patients, each signal represents the brain activity recording for 23.6 seconds, it has been acquired and sampled point per signals into 4,097 [10]. Each point in the data EEG signal represents a value of different EEG recording point over the time. Each signal in the dataset is segmented into 23 smaller signals preserving their label, and each smaller signal contains 178 data points (samples) for 1 second. The dataset now contains 11,500 EEG signals, and each signal is a recording for the brain activity for 1 second in micro-volts. Each signal in the dataset is labeled with a number that indicate the category to which each signal belongs. X represents the total number of EEG signals obtained by segmenting each signal in the dataset into 23 signals (11,500 EEG signals). Y represents the labels (11,500 label) associated with each signal in EEG signals dataset X. x(i) represents the i-th EEG signal in the data set and represents a recording of the brain activity for one second. y(i) represents the label associated with the x(i) signal in the dataset y(i) ε {1, 2, 3, 4, 5}. The EEG signals in the dataset are categorized into five different classes as mentioned in Table 1. In Figure 1, all categories of EEG signals have been explored to be exploited. Figure 1(a) compares between signals from healthy eyes open (H.E.O) class and healthy eyes closed (H.E.C) class, Figure 1(b) compares between signals from tumor area (T.R) and away from the tumor area (H.T.R) classes, and Figure 1(c) compares between all classes of EEG signals that are available in the dataset and mentioned in Table 1.

![Figure 1. Signals comparison graphs: (a) healthy eyes open versus healthy eyes closed, (b) tumor area versus away from the tumor area, and (c) all types of signals in the dataset](image-url)
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3.1.2. Data standardization

One of the most processes before classification process is dataset standardization, because these algorithms have the potential to act in unpredictably negative ways; If the characteristics don't appear like ordinary regularly distributed data or gaussian with 0 mean ($\mu$) and unit variance ($\sigma$), the classifier's performance has been affected [18]. The standardization has been applied to the EEG data for the classification process [19]. Because we want to keep the test set away, so it's only used in the performance measurement process after each classifier's training process, the mean and variance of the training set are used to perform the standardization of both training data and test data. This step prevents any information leakage about the testing dataset for each classifier, so that the performance is unbiased and 100% accurate according to the presented training data.

3.2. Machine learning algorithms

Supervised machine learning algorithms can be described as mathematical functions that map a labeled input to an output with some intermediate processing computation called training to extract knowledge from the labeled input. The learning process is iterative and requires a lot of training and hyper-parameter tuning to find the optimal parameters and the right configuration for each algorithm. With sufficient data and appropriate algorithm, the seizure patterns can be identified and detected correctly. The classification task will be binary meaning the EEG signal will be classified either to seizure or non-seizure case. After the dataset processing step the data is in the suitable form and ready to be fed into any machine learning. The classification algorithms are presented as follow.

3.2.1. KNN classifier

K-nearest neighbors (KNN), is a non-parametric classification technique that relies on storing the dataset features vectors. Dataset in a high dimensional vector space and depends on a measurement function of a to calculate and estimate the nearness between the new features vectors and each feature vectors stored in the vector space of the algorithm [14], [20], [21]. Then KNN algorithm assign the label of the closest (nearest) features vector to the new features vector based on a distance function ($D$) called Minkowski distance.

$$D(x^{(new)}, x^{(i)}) = (\sum_{i} m |x^{(new)}_i - x^{(i)}_i|^p)^{1/p}$$

3.2.2. Logistic regression classifier

Logistic regression algorithm is used mainly for binary classification of dataset that is not linearly separable. Logistic regression is a non-linear classifier because it applies an activation function called sigmoid activation function (s-shaped) that produces and output between [0, 1]. The logistic regression use a custom loss function called binary cross entropy that is based on the maximum-likelihood estimation [22]. And by minimizing the loss function we increase the probability (log-likelihood) that a feature vector belongs to a certain class [23]. The following equations describe the calculations performed by the logistic regression classifier to calculate the output label and optimize and update the weights parameters.

$$y^{(i)}_{pred} = \sigma(x^{(i)}, w^{(i)} + b)$$

$$L(y^+, y) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i} m \log(y^+(i)) + (1 - y(i)) \log(1 - y^+(i))$$

$$w^* := w - \gamma \nabla_{w} L$$

$$b^* := b - \gamma \nabla_{b} L$$

$$y^{(i)}_{pred} = \sigma(x, w^* + b^*)$$

3.2.3. Stochastic gradient descent classifier

This classifier is an efficient parametric machine learning algorithm used in classification tasks. Stochastic gradient descent (SGD) classifier uses a plain stochastic gradient descent technique to iteratively
optimize the parameters of the classifier with respect to a convex loss function. The training data is fed to the SGD classifier and the classifier adapts its internal parameters to the dataset iteratively using this classifier to reach the global minimum of the convex loss function where the error reaches the smallest. The Mean square error function is used as a lose function because it is a convex function with a global minimum, and it is very sensitive to large errors and outliers in the predicted labels [24]. The following equations describe the calculations performed by the stochastic gradient descent classifier.

\[ y_{pred}^{(i)} = x^{(i)}w^{(i)} + b \]

\[ L(y^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) = MSE(y^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) \]

\[ w^* := w - \gamma \nabla \frac{\partial L}{\partial w} \]

\[ b^* := b - \gamma \nabla \frac{\partial L}{\partial b} \]

\[ y_{pred}^{(i)} = x.w^* + b^* \]

### 3.2.4. Decision tree classifier

This classifier based on a non-parametric supervised learning; it is used to create classifiers that look like a tree structure. It is easy to understand the decision trees, moreover it is interpreted in simple ways and can be visualized to understand the classification process [25]. A decision tree is built top-down using a greedy technique, and it consists of a root node which is used to split the features of data points in the data and represents the start of the tree classification process. Parent nodes which is a node used to split the features into child nodes based on a certain condition. Leaf nodes which are the last nodes in the tree structure and used to represent the output of the tree classification process and branches which are the edges that connect the different nodes in the tree structure [24]. The tree depth depends on the number of vertical nodes in the structure of the tree, and the deeper the tree, the better the model and the more complex the decision rules. The decision tree uses the Gini index \( GI \) to measure the impurity of each node which can be interpreted as the quality of the class separation in each node, and a local cost function \( J_k \) to evaluate the quality of feature split [23].

\[ GI = 1 - \sum_k p^2_k \]

\[ J_k = \frac{M_{k,1}}{M_k} GI_{k,1} + \frac{M_{k,2}}{M_k} GI_{k,2} \]

### 3.2.5. Random forests classifier

This classifier is an ensemble algorithm for classification, and it uses multiple decision trees in parallel. Random forest classifier builds decision trees randomly and in parallel, the randomness purpose decreases the variance of random forest classifier [25], because the individual tree in the random forest exhibits high variance and overfit the training data. So, this corrects the habit of the decision tree to overfit the data and helps the forest classifier to generalize well on unseen data. So, this classifier depends on multiple deep decision trees averaging, different parts have been trained of the same training set, the goal of the variance is to be reduced [26]. The number of decision trees and the depth of each tree are the main parameters to adjust when using the random forest classifier in the forest. The bigger the number of the decision tree on the training data and depth of each tree the better the performance of the random forest classifier and the more complex the random forest gets [27]. The individual decision tree in the random forest uses either the Gini index to measure the impurity of each node and the quality of feature split.

### 3.2.6. AdaBoost classifier

This classifier is short for adaptive boosting, it is an integrated statistical classification technique. Weak learners sequence training is the core principle of this classifier, it repeatedly weighted versions of the training dataset. The predictions from all weighted versions are combined to produce a weighted majority vote, the final prediction depends on the sum of the weighted majority vote. AdaBoost is versatile within the sense that boosted weak learners are changed in favor of those occurrences misclassified by past classifiers [28], [29]. AdaBoost classifier is less vulnerable to the overfitting issue than other machine learning
technique. The individual learners can be frail, but as long as the execution of each one is marginally way better than irregular classifier, the ultimate demonstrate can be demonstrated to focalize to a solid learner [20].

3.2.7 SVM classifier
Support vector machine (SVM) is a supervised machine classifier. It constructs set of hyper-planes or a hyper-plane in a higher-dimensional space, therefore the induced planes separate training data points for classification process into regions [14]. The hyper-plane achieves a good separation boundary, it has in any class the maximum margin from the targeted or nearest training data points. The separation is better as margin is larger as well as the generalization error is the lower for the classifier [30]. For non-linearly separable datasets like Epileptic seizure dataset. SVM classifier uses a higher-dimensional space mapped from the original finite-dimensional, the separation process become easy based on the high-dimensional space. The similarity between every feature vector and the input sample in the higher-dimensional space is determined by kernel function (K), and Radial basis function kernel (RBF) is used in the case of seizure detection. The classification process for each input sample is based on a similarity-weighted vote function (F) against every sample (feature vector) in the higher-dimensional space to find the most similar feature vector and the label associated with that feature vector.

\[
K(x, x^{(i)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{||x-x^{(i)}||^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)
\]

\[
y_{pred}^{(i)} = F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i y^{(i)} K(x, x^{(i)})
\]

3.2.8 Naïve Baye’s classifier
Naïve Bayes classifiers are supervised learning algorithms that are based on Bayes’ theorem. The naïve bayes classification techniques are applied to the data with the Naïve assumption of the conditional independence among the features \((x_1, ..., x_n)\) of each sample \(x^{(i)}\) given the label \(y\) [24]. Bayes’ theorem is mathematically stated as the following,

\[
P(y|x_1, ..., x_n) = \frac{P(y)P(x_1, ..., x_n|y)}{P(x_1, ..., x_n)}
\]

\[
\hat{y} = \arg \max P(y) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i|y)
\]

Given a label \(y\) and a sample \(x\) that consists of the dependent features \(x_1\) through \(x_n\). The maximum posteriori \(P(y|x_1, ..., x_n)\) can be estimated by calculating the class prior probability \(P(y)\), the likelihood \(P(x_1, ..., x_n|y)\) which is the probability of the sample given the label and the prior probability of the sample \(P(x_1, ..., x_n)\). Naïve bayes classifiers don’t require a huge amount of data to make accurate predictions and they are extremely fast and can be used for real-time detection [31].

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.
After training and testing demonstration for the different machine learning classifiers, the training on the train set is to find the optimal set of the parameters and hyper parameters for each classifier. The performance of each algorithm is then measured with respect to the unseen test set using different performance metrics like accuracy, receiver operating characteristics (ROC), mean squared error (MSE), root-mean-square error (RMSE) and time to accurately evaluate the performance of each classifier for automatic seizure detection in real time. The results for the evaluation metrics have been stated in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classifier</th>
<th>Test Accuracy</th>
<th>ROC</th>
<th>MAE</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>Time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K-NN</td>
<td>95.260%</td>
<td>88.152%</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistic Regression</td>
<td>92.000%</td>
<td>55.163%</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGD classifier</td>
<td>84.26%</td>
<td>66.44%</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Tree</td>
<td>93.913%</td>
<td>87.228%</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Forest</td>
<td>97.608%</td>
<td>96.059%</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.0145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaboost</td>
<td>94.521%</td>
<td>90.054%</td>
<td>0.0547</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>97.173%</td>
<td>94.320%</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naïve Bayes</td>
<td>95.913%</td>
<td>93.043%</td>
<td>0.0408</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The random forest classifier yielded the highest performance with test accuracy of (97.608%) and ROC of (96.059%) compared to other classifiers. Followed by support vector machine (SVM) Classifier with test accuracy of (97.173%) and ROC of (94.320%). Followed by Naïve Bayes classifier with test accuracy of (95.913%) and ROC of (93.043%). Followed by Adaboost classifier with test accuracy of (94.521%) and ROC of (90.054%). Depending on these performance results these three classifiers especially Random Forest classifier can be used to detect epileptic seizure in real time with high accuracy.

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we demonstrated the efficiency and accuracy of the machine learning approach for automatic detection of seizures based on EEG signals. Compared to other traditional methods used to detect seizures, the machine learning approach can learn the high-level features the represent different seizures patterns and can effectively discriminate between the seizure and normal EEG signals. Another big advantage of machine learning classifiers is that they are very fast and can be used in real time seizure detection with very high accuracy and very low latency. Machine learning classifiers also are very robust and less sensitive to different types of noise so they can be used in such conditions without the fear of the noise effect on the accuracy of the detection. With of these advantages the machine learning approach demonstrates its effectiveness over the traditional time and frequency domain methods. The results of each classifier have been tested several times to accurately examine the performance with respect to different performance metrics using the experimental Bonn university epileptic seizures dataset.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Technology Innovation and Entrepreneurship Center (TIEC) and the Egyptian academy for scientific research for their support.

REFERENCES


**BIographies of Authors**

**Atef Hesham Attia** graduated from faculty of engineering, Minia university, Egypt with B.Sc. degree in biomedical engineering in 2019. He is preparing for his master’s degree in biomedical engineering. His research is focused on the applications of artificial intelligence in biomedical engineering field. He can be contacted at email: atefheshamattia@gmail.com.

**Ashraf Mahroos Said** received the B.Sc. and M.Sc. degrees in Biomedical engineering and systems from faculty of engineering, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt, in 2000 and 2005, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree in Biomedical engineering and systems from faculty of engineering, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt, in 2011. He has been an associate professor of Biomedical engineering at biomedical engineering department, Minia University, since 2019. He is currently the associate professor of computer and software, faculty of engineering, Misr university of science and technology in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt. His scope of research interests in bio signal processing, recognition in biometric, applied machine learning and deep learning classifiers on biomedical signal and Images, biosensor fabrication and design based on nanotechnology. He can be contacted at email: ashraf.said@must.edu.eg, ashraf.mahroos@minia.edu.eg.