Papers »Show all »#10 (1570616717): Patch Antenna for Underwater Wireless Communication in Seawater

## #10 (1570616717): Patch Antenna for Underwater Wireless Communication in Seawater



Property	Change Add	Value									
<b>Conference</b> and <i>track</i>		2020 3rd International Conference on Telematics and Future Generation Networks (TAFGEN) - PHY and Fundamentals									
Authors	+	Drag to change order	Name	ID	Edit	Flag	Affiliation (edit for paper)	Email	Country	Email	Delete
		II.	Siti Harliza Binti Mohd Razali	1721100	ď		Universiti Teknologi Malaysia & Jabatan Pendidikan Politeknik, Malaysia	sharliza.poli@gmail.com	Malaysia		Û
		ii	<u>Razali</u> <u>Ngah</u>	433933	ď		Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia	razalin@fke.utm.my	Malaysia	<b>A</b>	⑪
		<b>ii</b>	Yoshihide Yamada	1123065	ď		Malaysia- Japan International Institute of Technology, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia	ndayamada@yahoo.co.jp	o Malaysia	<b>A</b>	Û
		II.	<u>Kamilia</u> <u>Kamardin</u>	540683	ď		Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia	kamilia@utm.my	Malaysia		Û
Title	Ø	Patch Ant	enna for Una	lerwater Wi	reless C	ommunio	cation in Seawa	ter			
Abstract	ď	antenna for In this paper antenna for the patches patch anten seawater. Th	Underwater wireless communication in seawater is becoming more interesting and challenging in recent years. The development of antenna for underwater wireless communication in seawater at 900 MHz UHF range frequency is implemented by using patch antenna. In this paper, the antennas were designed using FEKO, an electromagnetic simulation software, and a suitable size for rectangular patch antenna for seawater application was developed to study the relevance between λ0 with W and L in seawater. The difference between the patches in free space and seawater was the L size, which was slightly bigger, about 0.9 mm, than free space size. But the gain for patch antenna in seawater was found at -2.51 dBi, lower than patch antenna in free space, which was 5.76 dBi due to the path loss in seawater. This shows that attenuation happened, and a better antenna will be design. The one that has better gain, which is around above 2 dBi in seawater, in order to get better performance antenna in seawater environment.								
Keywords	<b>2</b>						nod; patch antenna				
Presenter(s)	+		a Binti Mohd		4		·				
Registration		Siti Harliza	a Binti Mohd	<u>Razali</u> has	<u>register</u>	ed and p	oaid for NR:Stuc	dent 🗴 🗷			
Appears in attendee proceedings and proceedings?	Ø		Siti Harliza Binti Mohd Razali has registered and paid for NR:Student  NR:Student  NR:Student  NR:Student								
Status	$\otimes$	<u>Accepted</u>	Notification	ation email	sent <u>Fe</u> l	<u>b 10, 202</u>	20 11:23:33 Asia	ı/Hong_Kong.			
Notes											
Visa letter		none									
Review	<b></b>	Can uploa  Docum (show)	ent	Pages Fi		cadline of Chan	f <u>Dec 31, 2019 1</u> ged		k format / Re em	port [	Delete
manuscript				9 1,0	077,020		) <u>, 2019 22:53:11</u> ca/New York 'S				Û



## Personal notes



You are chair for this conference. You have authored an accepted paper.

Reviews 🗓 🕒

3 Reviews

Review 1 (Reviewer A)

Reviewer Abdul Halim Ali (A); completed; assigned Dec 10, 2019 02:19:14 UTC by Suriani Mohd Sam; due Dec 13, 2019 12:59:00 HKT ; last reminded Dec 15, 2019 15:15:30 UTC; completed Dec 16, 2019 08:05:45 UTC

Appropriateness for Journal (Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references for journal publication.)	Adequacy of literature review (Sufficient literature reviews have been done for the proposed study. Source of reference is correctly cited.)	Quality of research design (Demonstrates an extremely high level competence in selecting appropriate techniques/tools in solving problem and interpreting results. The research design and method of analysis reflected a sophisticated understanding of the research problem.)	Adequacy of data analysis (Systematically data process has been performed by ensuring data integrity, accurateness, and appropriate data analysis technique.)	Contributions to the literature (The findings of the study contribute to the body of knowledge.)	Conceptual significance (Does the finding help the reader understand better?)	Practical Significance (Explains the relevance of the study under consideration.)	Legitimacy of conclusions (Does the study in accordance with the laws of reasoning: logically inferable? How well the author (s) conclude their work?)	Clarity of presentation & Readability (Shows exceptional written communication skills with faultless grammar and spelling. Tables/diagrams/charts are appropriately labelled. An extremely independent candidate. Well organised paper structure.)	Einal Reviewer Decision (Please select ONE only.)
Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)	Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)	Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)	Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)	Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)	Readable, but revision is needed in some parts.	Well written. (4)	Well written. (4)	Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)	Accept after specified revision (2)

Overall comments to the authors (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)

Primarily finding by the authors. What are the reasons of comparison study between free space and seawater propagation?

## Review 2 (Reviewer C)

Reviewer Sergey B. Biryuchinskiy (C); completed; assigned Dec 10, 2019 02:23:41 UTC by Suriani Mohd Sam; due Dec 13, 2019 12:59:00 HKT ; last reminded Jan 1, 2020 03:15:56 UTC; completed Jan 1, 2020 23:53:09 UTC

Appropriateness for Journal (Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references for journal publication.)	Adequacy of literature review (Sufficient literature reviews have been done for the proposed study. Source of reference is correctly cited.)	Quality of research design (Demonstrates an extremely high level competence in selecting appropriate techniques/tools in solving problem and interpreting results. The research design and method of analysis reflected a sophisticated understanding of the research problem.)	Adequacy of data analysis (Systematically data process has been performed by ensuring data integrity, accurateness, and appropriate data analysis technique.)	Contributions to the literature (The findings of the study contribute to the body of knowledge.)	Conceptual significance (Does the finding help the reader understand better?)	Practical Significance (Explains the relevance of the study under consideration.)	Legitimacy of conclusions (Does the study in accordance with the laws of reasoning: logically inferable? How well the author (s) conclude their work?)	Clarity of presentation & Readability (Shows exceptional written communication skills with faultless grammar and spelling. Tables/diagrams/charts are appropriately labelled. An extremely independent candidate. Well organised paper structure.)	Final Reviewer Decision (Please select ONE only.)
Well written. (4)	Well written. (4)	Excellent. (5)	Excellent. (5)	Excellent. (5)	Excellent. (5)	Excellent. (5)	Well written. (4)	Well written. (4)	Accept (1)

## Review 3 (Reviewer D)

Reviewer Özgür Özdemir (D); completed; assigned Dec 30, 2019 06:16:19 UTC by Suriani Mohd Sam; due Jan 5, 2020 12:59:00 HKT ; last reminded Jan 6, 2020 03:15:26 UTC; completed Jan 6, 2020 08:17:50 UTC

Appropriateness for Journal (Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references for journal publication.)	Adequacy of literature review (Sufficient literature reviews have been done for the proposed study, Source of reference is correctly cited.)	Ouality of research design (Demonstrates an extremely high level competence in selecting appropriate techniques/tools in solving problem and interpreting results. The research design and method of analysis reflected a sophisticated understanding of the research problem.)	Adequacy of data analysis (Systematically data process has been performed by ensuring data integrity, accurateness, and appropriate data analysis technique.)	Contributions to the literature (The findings of the study contribute to the body of knowledge.)	Conceptual significance (Does the finding help the reader understand better?)	Practical Significance (Explains the relevance of the study under consideration.)	Legitimacy of conclusions (Does the study in accordance with the laws of reasoning: logically inferable? How well the author (s) conclude their work?)	Clarity of presentation & Readability (Shows exceptional written communication skills with faultless grammar and spelling. Tables/diagrams/charts are appropriately labelled. An extremely independent candidate. Well organised paper structure.)	Final Reviewer Decision (Please select ONE only.)
Readable, but revision is needed in some parts.	Substantial revision work is needed. (2)	Substantial revision work is needed. (2)	Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)	Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)	Substantial revision work is needed. (2)	Unacceptable. (1)	Unacceptable.	Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)	Reject (4)

EDAS at 172.30.1.76 (Wed, 03 Jun 2020 02:00:07 -0400 EDT) [User 632985 using Win8.1:Chrome 83.0 0.092/0.557 s] Request help