431

Passivity Based Stability Condition for Interefered **Digital Filters**

Xavier Arockiaraj S^{*1}, Priyanka Kokil², Haranath Kar³ ^{1,2}Department of Electronics Engineering, Indian Institute of Information Technology, Design and Manufacturing, Kancheepuram, Chennai-600 127, India ³Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, Motilal Nehru National Institute of Technology Allahabad, Allahabad-211004, India *Corresponding author, e-mail: edm14d004@iiitdm.ac.in

Abstract

This paper presents a new passivity condition for fixed-point state-space interfered digital filters using saturation arithmetic. Passivity is a way to characterize input-output stability of a system, that is, supplied bounded input energy produces bounded output energy. The presented criterion also ensures asymptotic stability of the state-space digital filter with zero external disturbances. The result is expressed in terms of linear matrix inequality, and therefore, can be solved via existing numerical packages. A numerical example is arranged to validate the usefulness of the proposed method.

Keywords: Interefered Digital filter; Saturation arithmetic; Nonlinear effect; Nonlinear system; Passivity

Copyright © 2017 Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The implementation of digital filters on a finite wordlength fixed point processor unavoidably has nonlinear effects because of quantization/overflow. Due to the existence of these nonlinearities, implemented digital filter may show unstable behavior [1, 2]. Therefore, many researchers have devoted significant efforts to study the stability properties of the statespace digital filters with nonlinearities [3-5] and references therein.

The implementation of digital filter of high-order in hardware can be achieved by cascading several biquad filters. External or mutual interference is unavoidable in high-order filter implementation. Such interferences may result in poor performance and malfunctioning of the implemented filters [6, 7]. Thus, analysis of the effects of the presence of external disturbances in digital filter is practical and significant problem.

In recent times, many results have been appeared for the stability analysis of interfered digital filters via saturation arithmetic [8-11]. Criterion for limit cycle free state-space interfered digital filters usingsaturation arithmetic via idea of H_{∞} has been formulated in [8] Refs [9-11] present results for induced $l_{
m s}$ stability of digital filters via saturation nonlinearities and external disturbance. The result reported in [12] discusses with problem of l_{∞} performance analysis for time-varying delayed neural networks. The concept of $l_2 - l_{\infty}$ have been widely used in various applications such as discrete-time switched neural networks [13], Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy neural networks [14], FIR filters [15] etc. The study of digital filters in the existence of nonlinearities and external disturbance is significant and appealing problem.

Passivity is an important concept for analysis and design of controller based on inputoutput data for many complex systems [16, 17]. Passivity is closely associated to stability that is, if supplied energy to the system is bounded then it produces bounded output energy. Passivity analysis problem has attracted attention of researchers and several results have been reported in [16-21]. To deal with time-varying delays, passivity conditions for uncertain system have been established in [18]. Based on the concept of passivity a robust stability and passivity conditions have been established in [19]. Passivity is exploited to study hybrid systems in piecewise polynomial form in [20] and for stochastic neural networks with mixed time delaysin [21]. However, no stability results are available for digital filters with nonlinearitites and outward disturbances in the context of passivity.

Motivated by the above discussions, we present a criterion for very strict passivity in state-space digital filters via saturation arithmetic and externaldisturbance. The proposed criterion is established in linear matrix inequality (LMI) settings and therefore, one can solve the conditions by available numerical packages such as MATLAB LMI Toolbox. The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 gives the notation used and states the system under investigation. A new condition for very strict passivity of fixed-point state-space interfered digital filters with saturation arithmetic is brought out in Section 3. In Section 4, a numerical example is given to show the usefulness of the proposed result, and finally, conclusions are outlined in Section 5.

Throughout this paper, the superscript T means the transpose of a matrix; \Re^k and $\Re^{k \times k}$ denote the k-dimensional Euclidean space and the set of $k \times k$ real matrices, respectively; G > 0 (G < 0) indicates that G is positive (negative) definite symmetric matrix. The null matrix or null vector is denoted as **0**. The notation used to represent identity matrix of appropriate dimension is I. Any vector or matrix norm is indicated by $\|.\|$.

2. Problem Formulation

Consider the nonlinear system with external disturbance described by

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}(q+1) = \boldsymbol{\chi}(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q)) + \boldsymbol{\varpi}(q)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \chi_1(\upsilon_1(q)) & \chi_2(\upsilon_2(q)) \cdots & \chi_n(\upsilon_n(q)) \end{bmatrix}^T$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\omega}_1(q) & \overline{\omega}_2(q) \cdots & \overline{\omega}_n(q) \end{bmatrix}^T$$
(1a)

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}(q) = \left[\nu_1(q) \ \nu_2(q) \ \cdots \ \nu_n(q) \right]^T = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{\xi}(q) + \boldsymbol{\sigma}(q)$$
(1b)

where $\xi(q) \in \Re^k$ is the state vector, $\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q) \in \Re^k$ denotes the output vector, $\boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) \in \Re^k$ represents the external interference, and $\boldsymbol{A} \in \Re^{k \times k}$ is the known coefficient matrix. The normalized and symmetric saturation nonlinearities under considered in this paper are given by

$$\chi_i(\upsilon_i(q)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \upsilon_i(q) > 1, \\ \upsilon_i(q), & -1 \le \upsilon_i(q) \le 1, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, k \\ -1, & \upsilon_i(q) < -1, \end{cases}$$
(2)

where $\chi_i(\upsilon_i(q))$ is limited to sector [0, 1] i.e.

$$\chi_i(0) = 0$$
, $0 \le \frac{\chi_i(\upsilon_i(q))}{\upsilon_i(q)} \le 1$, $i = 1, 2, ..., k$ (3)

The motive of this paper is to design a filter (1) in order to satisfy the following conditions:

- 1) The system is said to be exponentially stable with $\boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) = \mathbf{0}$.
- 2) A very strict passivity condition for all nonzero $\boldsymbol{\varpi}(q)$, under the zero initial condition such that

$$\sum_{s=0}^{q} \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(s) \boldsymbol{\upsilon}(s) - \delta_{0} \sum_{s=0}^{q} \boldsymbol{\upsilon}^{T}(s) \boldsymbol{\upsilon}(s) - \rho \sum_{s=0}^{q} \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(s) \boldsymbol{\varpi}(s) + \beta \ge \sum_{s=0}^{q} \Omega(\boldsymbol{\xi}(s)) \quad \forall q \ge 0$$
(4)

where β , δ_0 , ρ are nonnegative constants and $\Omega(\boldsymbol{\xi}(s)) \ge 0$ is storage function.

3. Novel Passivity Results

The following theorem establishes a sufficient passivity criterion for system (1)-(2). **Theorem 1.** The The digital filter (1)-(2) is very strict passive from the external disturbance $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(q)$ to the output $\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q)$, if there exist matrices $\boldsymbol{P} = \boldsymbol{P}^T > \boldsymbol{0}$, $\boldsymbol{U} = \boldsymbol{U}^T > \boldsymbol{0}$, $N = diag(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_n) > 0$ and scalars $\delta > 0$, $\delta_0 > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ satisfying:

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} (\delta + \delta_0) \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{U} - \boldsymbol{P} & \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{N} & \left(\delta + \delta_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \boldsymbol{A}^T \\ \boldsymbol{N} \boldsymbol{A} & \boldsymbol{P} - \delta \boldsymbol{I} - 2\boldsymbol{N} & \boldsymbol{P} + \boldsymbol{N} \\ \left(\delta + \delta_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \boldsymbol{A} & \boldsymbol{P} + \boldsymbol{N} & \boldsymbol{P} + (\delta_0 + \delta + \rho - 1) \boldsymbol{I} \end{bmatrix} < \boldsymbol{0}$$
(5)

*Proof*Consider a Lyapunov function:

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{\xi}(q)) = \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\xi}(q)$$
(6)

Application of (6) to (1a) provides,

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{\xi}(q)) = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{\xi}(q+1)) - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{\xi}(q))$$

$$= [\boldsymbol{\chi}(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q)) + \boldsymbol{\varpi}(q)]^{T} \boldsymbol{P} [\boldsymbol{\chi}(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q)) + \boldsymbol{\varpi}(q))] - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\xi}(q)$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\chi}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q)) \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\chi}(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q)) + \boldsymbol{\chi}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q)) \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) + \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\chi}(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q))$$

$$+ \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\xi}(q)$$
(7)

For a positive scalar δ , the following is true by (2)

$$\delta[\boldsymbol{\nu}^{T}(q)\boldsymbol{\nu}(q) - \boldsymbol{\chi}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\nu}(q))\boldsymbol{\chi}(\boldsymbol{\nu}(q))] \ge 0$$
(8)

which also implies:

$$\delta[\boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(q)\boldsymbol{A}^{T}\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{\xi}(q) + \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q)\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{\xi}(q) + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(q)\boldsymbol{A}^{T}\boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) + \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q)\boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) - \boldsymbol{\chi}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q))\boldsymbol{\chi}(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q))] \ge 0 \quad (9)$$

By means of (9) and adding and subtracting $\left[\boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q)\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q) - \delta_{0}\boldsymbol{\upsilon}^{T}(q)\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q) - \rho \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q)\boldsymbol{\varpi}(q)\right]$, Equation (7) yields:

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x}(q)) \leq \boldsymbol{\chi}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q)) \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\chi}(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q)) + \boldsymbol{\chi}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q)) \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) + \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\chi}(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q)) + \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) - \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\xi}(q) - \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\xi}(q) - \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) + \boldsymbol{\delta}_{0} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{A}^{T} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\xi}(q) + \boldsymbol{\delta}_{0} \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\xi}(q) + \boldsymbol{\delta}_{0} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{A}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) + \boldsymbol{\delta}_{0} \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) + \rho \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) + \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{A}^{T} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\xi}(q) + \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\xi}(q) + \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{A}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) + \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) - \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\chi}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q)) \boldsymbol{\chi}(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q)) + \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q) - \boldsymbol{\delta}_{0} \boldsymbol{\upsilon}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q) - \rho \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) + \boldsymbol{\phi}(q) - \boldsymbol{\phi}(q) = \boldsymbol{\mu}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\mu}(q) + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{\xi}(q) + \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q) - \boldsymbol{\delta}_{0} \boldsymbol{\upsilon}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q) - \rho \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) - \boldsymbol{\phi}(q)$$
(10)

Where,

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}(q) = \left[\boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(q) \quad \boldsymbol{\chi}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q)) \quad \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q)\right]^{T}$$
(11)

And,

$$\phi(q) = 2\chi^{T}(\upsilon(q))N[\upsilon(q) - \chi(\upsilon(q))]$$
(12)

which is nonnegative by considering (2). When $\Gamma < 0$, Equation (10) satisfies

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{x}(q)) < \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{\xi}(q) + \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q) - \delta_{0} \boldsymbol{\upsilon}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{\upsilon}(q) - \rho \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{\varpi}(q)$$
(13)

Summation of both sides of (13) from 0 to q leads to

$$\sum_{s=0}^{q} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(s) \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{\xi}(s) + \sum_{s=0}^{q} \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(s) \boldsymbol{\upsilon}(s) - \delta_{0} \sum_{s=0}^{q} \boldsymbol{\upsilon}^{T}(s) \boldsymbol{\upsilon}(s) - \rho \sum_{s=0}^{q} \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(s) \boldsymbol{\varpi}(s) > \sum_{s=0}^{q} \Delta \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{\xi}(s))$$
$$= \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{\xi}(q+1)) - \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{\xi}(0)) \ge 0$$
(14)

which can be written as

$$\sum_{s=0}^{q} \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(s) \boldsymbol{\upsilon}(s) - \delta_{0} \sum_{s=0}^{q} \boldsymbol{\upsilon}^{T}(s) \boldsymbol{\upsilon}(s) - \rho \sum_{s=0}^{q} \boldsymbol{\varpi}^{T}(s) \boldsymbol{\varpi}(s) + \beta \ge -\sum_{s=0}^{q} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(s) \boldsymbol{\upsilon}(\boldsymbol{\xi}(s) + \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{\xi}(q))$$
(15)

where $\beta = \Lambda(\xi(0))$ and $\Lambda(\xi(q)) \ge 0$ under the zero initial condition that assures (4). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Now, by choosing $\boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) = \mathbf{0}$ one can achieve the following result.

Corollary 1. The The system (1)-(2) is said to be very strict passive for $\boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) \neq \mathbf{0}$ and asymptotically stable when $\boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) = \mathbf{0}$, if there exist $k \times k$ matrices $\boldsymbol{P} = \boldsymbol{P}^T > \mathbf{0}$, $\boldsymbol{U} = \boldsymbol{U}^T > \mathbf{0}$, $N = diag(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_n) > 0$ and scalars $\delta > 0$, $\delta_0 > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ satisfying

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\delta + \delta_0)A^T A - U - P & A^T N & \left(\delta + \delta_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right)A^T \\ NA & P - \delta I - 2N & P + N \\ \left(\delta + \delta_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right)A & P + N & P + (\delta_0 + \delta + \rho - 1)I \end{bmatrix} < 0$$
(16)
$$-U + \delta_0 A^T A > 0$$
(17)

Proof For a digital filter given by (1) with $\boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) = \mathbf{0}$, the forward difference of the Lyapunov function satisfies,

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{\Lambda}(\boldsymbol{\xi}(q)) < \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{\xi}(q) - \delta_{0} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(q) \boldsymbol{A}^{T} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\xi}(q)$$

= $-\boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(q) \Big[-\boldsymbol{U} + \delta_{0} \boldsymbol{A}^{T} \boldsymbol{A} \Big] \boldsymbol{\xi}(q) \le 0$ (18)

which leads to:

$$\lim_{q \to \infty} \boldsymbol{\xi}(q) = \boldsymbol{0} \tag{19}$$

The condition (19) confirms that the sysem (1)-(2) is asymptotically stable when $\boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) = \mathbf{0}$. This completes the proof of Corollary 1.

Remark 1. Corollary 1 is in LMI settings, thusone may verify that by using MATLAB LMI toolbox [22, 23]

Remark 2. The system (1)-(2) for the objective (4) is said to be

(i) passive when $\delta_0 = \rho = 0$,

(ii) input strict passive when $\delta_0 = 0$ and $\rho > 0$,

(iii) output strict passive when $\delta_0 > 0$ and $\rho = 0$.

Thus, passive, input strict passive and output strict passive are the special cases of very strict passive and conditions for them can be obtained from Theorem 1 as special cases.

Remark 3. Digital filters are most conveniently realized on a digital signal processor which may be a general purpose digital computer or a specially designed hardware. The realized digital filter is expected not to show any zero input limit cycle and delivers acceptable performance. The result reported in Theorem 1 is formulated by considering saturation arithmetic and external disturbance. One may find it interesting to extend the proposed idea for any combination of quantization/overflow nonlinearities and state-delays. Further, the proposed approach can also be extended for two-dimensional [26] systems which appears to be an appealing future work.

4. Numerical Results

To test our method and to validate the effectiveness of the proposed problem resolution, consider the system (1)-(2) with

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.35 & -0.5\\ 0.4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{20}$$

and external interference $\boldsymbol{\varpi}(q)$ is

$$\boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) = \begin{cases} [0.2\,\eta_1(q) \quad 0.25\,\eta_2(q)]^T, & 0 \le q \le 50, \\ [0 \quad 0]^T, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(21)

where $\eta_1(q)$ and $\eta_2(q)$ are white Gaussian sequences with $\mu = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$, where μ represents mean and σ shows the variance. By means of Corollary 1, a feasible solution to the LMIs (16) and (17) using MATLAB LMI toolbox [22, 23] is given by

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1372 & -0.0136 \\ -0.0136 & 0.1334 \end{bmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0405 & -0.0330 \\ -0.0330 & 0.0339 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$N = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2252 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2867 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \delta = 0.0992, \quad \delta_0 = 0.2107, \quad \rho = 0.0816$$
(22)

Therefore, Corollary 1 confirms the digital filter is very strictly passive in the existence of external disturbance and asymptotically stable when external disturbance vanishes.

Figure 1 and 2 show the state trajectories for the states $\boldsymbol{\xi}_1(q)$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_2(q)$ for the initial condition $\boldsymbol{\xi}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1.5 \end{bmatrix}^T$. This figure clears that $\boldsymbol{\xi}(q)$ is restricted around the origin where $\boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) \neq \mathbf{0}$ and converges to zero with $\boldsymbol{\varpi}(q) = \mathbf{0}$.



Passivity Based Stability Condition for Interefered Digital Filters (Xavier Arockiaraj S)



5. Conclusion

A sufficient condition for very strict passivity for state-space interfered digital filters withi saturation nonlinearities has been established. In the scenario of non existence of external disturbance, condition to confirm asymptotic stability of realized digital filter has been madeavailable. The proposed result is computationally efficient and a mathematical example is provided to validate the given result.

References

- [1] Claasen TACM, Mecklenbrauker WFG, Peek JBH. Effects of quantization and overflow in recursive digital filters. *IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing.* 1976; 24(6): 517-529.
- [2] Butterweck HJ, Ritzerfeld JHF, Werter MJ. Finite wordlength effects in digital filters-A review, Eindhoven Univ. Technol., Eindhoven, The Netherlands, EUT Rep. 88-E-205; 1988.
- [3] Kar H, Singh V. A new criterion for the overflow stability of second-order state-space digital filters using saturation arithmetic. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*. 1998; 45(3): 311-313.
- [4] Kar H. An LMI based criterion for the nonexistence of overflow oscillations in fixed-point state-space digital filters using saturation arithmetic. *Digital Signal Processing*. 2007; 17(3): 685-689.
- [5] Bose T, Chen, MQ. Overflow oscillations in state-space digital filters. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems. 1991; 38(7): 807-810.
- [6] Tsividis Y. Mixed Analog-Digital VLSI Devices and Technology, World Scientific, Singapore, 2002.
- [7] Monteiro J, Leuken RV. Integrated Circuit and System Design: Power and Timing Modeling, Optimization and Simulation, Springer, Berlin. 2010.
- [8] Kokil P, Kandanvli VKR, Kar H. A note on the criterion for the elimination of overflow oscillations in fixed-point digital filters with saturation arithmetic and external disturbance. AEU-International Journal of Electronics and Communications. 2012; 66(9): 780-783.
- [9] Ahn CK, Lee YS. Induced *l*_∞ stability of fixed-point digital filters without overflow oscillations and instability due to finite word length effects. *Advances in Difference Equations*. 2012; 2012(1): 1-7.
- [10] Kokil P, Shinde SS. A note on the induced *l*_∞ stability of fixed-point digital filters without overflow oscillations and instability due to finite wordlength effects. *Circuits, Systems and Signal Processing.* doi: 10.1007/s00034-016-0348-x.
- [11] Kokil P, Arockiaraj X. An improved criterion for induced *l*_∞ stability of fixed-point digital filters with saturation arithmetic. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*. 2016; 4(1): 1-9.
- [12] Ahn CK, Shi P, Agarwal RK, Xu J. *l*_∞ performance of single and interconnected neural networks with time-varying delay. *Information Sciences*. 2016; 346: 412-423.
- [13] Choi HD, Ahn CK, Karimi HR, Lim MT. Filtering of discrete-time switched neural networks ensuring exponential dissipative and $l_1 l_{\infty}$ performances. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*. 2017. doi: 10.1109/TCYB.2017.2655725.
- [14] Choi HD, Ahn CK, Shi P, Lim MT, Song MK. $l_2 l_{\infty}$ Filtering for Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy neural networks based on Wirtinger-type inequalities. *Neurocomputing*. 2015; 153: 117-125.
- [15] Ahn CK, Shmaliy Y, Shi P, Zhao Y. Receding horizon $l_2 l_{\infty}$ FIR filter with embedded deadbeat property. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs.* 2016; 64(2): 211-215.
- [16] Byrnes CI, Isidori A, Willem J. Passivity, feedback equivalence, and the global stabilization of minimum phase nonlinear systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control.* 1991; 36 (11): 1228-1240.

- [17] Willems J. Dissipative dynamical systems, part I: General theory. *Archive for Rational Mechanical Analysis.* 1972; 45(5): 321-351.
- [18] Li Y, Zhong S, Cheng J, Shi K, Ren J. New passivity criteria for uncertain neural networks with timevarying delay. *Neurocomputing*. 2016; 171: 1003-1012.
- [19] Shu Y, Liu X, Liu Y. Stability and passivity analysis for uncertain discrete-time neural networks with time-varying delay. *Neurocomputing*. 2016; 173: 1706-1714.
- [20] Bemporad A, Bianchini G, Brogi F. Passivity analysis and passification of discrete-time hybrid systems. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control.* 2008; 53(4): 1004-1009.
- [21] Wu ZG, Shi P, Su H, Chu J. Passivity analysis for discrete-time stochastic Markovian jump neural networks with mixed time delays. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*. 2011; 22(10): 1566-1575.
- [22] Boyd S, Ghaoui L El, Feron E, Balakrishnan V. Linear Matrix Inequalities in System and Control Theory, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1994.
- [23] Gahinet P, Nemirovski, A, Laub AJ, Chilali, M. LMI Control Toolbox, The Mathworks Inc., Natick, MA, 1995.
- [24] Kar H. Asymptotic stability of fixed-point state-space digital filters with combinations of quantization and overflow nonlinearities. *Signal Processing*. 2011; 91(11): 2667-2670.
- [25] Diksha, Kokil P, Kar H. Criterion for the limit cycle free state-space digital filters with external disturbances and quantization/overflow nonlinearities. *Engineering Computations*. 2016; 33(1): 64-73.
- [26] Rehan M, Tufail M, Akhtar AT. On elimination of overflow oscillations in linear time-varying 2-D digital filters represented by a Roesser model. *Signal Processing*. 2016; 127: 247-252.