■   58

A New Methodology of Hierarchical Image Fusion in Framework for Hyperspectral Image Segmentation

B. Raviteja*, M. Surendra Prasad Babu, K. Venkata Rao, J. Harikiran
Department of Information Technology, GIT, GITAM University, Visakhapatnam, India
*Corresponding author, e-mail: ravitejabhima@gmail.com

Abstract

Hyperspectral imaging system contains stack of images collected from the sensor with different wavelengths representing the same scene on the earth. This paper presents a framework for hyperspectral image segmentation using a clustering algorithm. The framework consists of four stages in segmenting a hyperspectral data set. In the first stage, filtering is done to remove noise in image bands. Second stage consists of dimensionality reduction algorithms, in which the bands that convey less information or redundant data will be removed. In the third stage, the informative bands which are selected in the second stage are merged into a single image using hierarchical fusion technique. In the hierarchical image fusion, the images are grouped such that each group has equal number of images. This methodology leads to group of images having much varied information, thus decreasing the quality of fused image. This paper presents a new methodology of hierarchical image fusion in which similarity metrics are used to create image groups for merging the selected image bands. This single image is segmented using Fuzzy c-means clustering algorithm. The experimental results show that this framework will segment the data set more accurately by combining all the features in the image bands.

Keywords*: image enhancement, empirical mode decomposition, fuzzy c-means, remote sensing, image processing*

1. Introduction

The process of information extraction about an object on the earth using satellites is called remote sensing [1]. With the increase of spatial and spectral resolution of recently launched satellites, new methods have to be developed in analyzing the remote sensing data. In remote sensing, sensors are available that can generate hyperspectral data, involving many narrow bands in which each pixel has a continuous reflectance spectrum [2]. Unsupervised image segmentation is an important research topic in hyperspectral imaging, with the aim to develop efficient algorithms that provide high segmentation accuracy.

The hyperspectral image bands contain noise which is caused by the sensor problems or disturbance of transmission medium in the atmosphere which affects result of image segmentation. To remove noise, a new filter is designed based on Bi-dimensional Empirical Mode Decomposition [BEMD] and Mean filter. The BEMD method [3] decomposes the image band into several Intrinsic Mode Functions [IMF], in which the first function is the high frequency component, second function next high frequency component and so on, the last function denotes the low frequency component. The wavelet based filtering is applied only to the few first high frequency components leaving the low frequency components, as the high frequency components contain noise. The image band is reconstructed by combining the filtered high frequency components and low frequency components. The same procedure is used for filtering the image bands.

After filtering, the next step is dimensionality reduction. In this paper, the dimensionality reduction is done using Spectral Correlation Mapper [SCM] based on the information present in the image bands. The dimensionality reduction step decreases many requirements for processing the hyperspectral data set such as storage space, computational load, communication bandwidth etc, thus increasing the efficiency of segmentation algorithm. After band selection, the next step is image fusion. The main goal of image fusion is to create a single image combining all the features in the selected image bands. A hierarchical image fusion technique presented in [4] is used for merging the selected image bands. In hierarchical fusion

method, the images are grouped such that each group has equal number of images. This might lead to groups having images with highly varied information. In order to improve the efficiency of the algorithm, a new methodology of hierarchical image fusion is presented in this paper. The grouping is done based on similarity between the images i.e. each group contain images with a similarity criteria. After getting a single image, the image is segmented using FCM clustering algorithm. The flow diagram of proposed framework is shown in Figure 1. This method increases the segmentation accuracy both in qualitative and quantitative analysis when compared with K-means [5] and Moving k-means [6].

This paper is structured as follows: section 2 presents filtering using bi-dimensional empirical mode decomposition and wavelet filter, section 3 presents dimensionality reduction method, section 4 presents hierarchical image fusion with grouping technique, section 5 presents FCM algorithm for image segmentation, section 6 shows experimental results and section 7 report conclusions.


Figure 1. Framework for Hyperspectral Image Segmentation

2. Empirical Mode Decomposition

Empirical Mode Decomposition [7] is a signal processing method that decomposes any non-linear and non-stationary signal into oscillatory functions called Intrinsic Mode Functions (IMF) and residue. The EMD has the property that the original signal can be reconstructed by combining IMFs and residue. The shifting process [8] to obtain IMFs on a 2-D signal (image) is summarized as follows:

1. Let I(x,y) be a hyperspectral image band. Find all local maxima and local minima points in I(x,y).
2. Interpolate the local maximum points- Upper envelope Up(x,y)
   Interpolate the local minimum points- Lower envelope Lw(x,y)
3. Calculate the mean of lower and upper envelopes

$$Mean(x, y) = \frac{(Up(x, y) + Lw(x, y))}{2}$$

(1)

4. Subtract the mean of envelopes from original image.

$$Sub(x, y) = I(x, y) - Mean(x, y)$$

(2)

5. If Sub(x,y) is an IMF, then

$$IMF_i(x, y) = Sub(x, y) \qquad (3)$$

6. Subtract the extracted IMF from the input signal. Now the value of I(x,y) is

$$I(x, y) = I(x, y) - IMF_i(x, y) \qquad (4)$$

7. Repeat steps (b) to (f) for the generating next IMFs.
8. This process is repeated until I(x,y) does not have any local maxima or local minima points. Original hyperspectral image band can be reconstructed given by

$$I(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} IMF_i(x, y) + res(x, y) \qquad (5)$$

Image Denoising using BEMD:
The filtering of hyperspectral image band using BEMD and mean filter is given below:
1. Apply 2-D EMD for each band in the hyper spectral image to obtain IMFs.
2. The first few components are high frequency components which are suitable for de-noising using Wavelet based thresholding method. The filtered components are denoted using DIMFs.
3. The filtered image band RI is reconstructed according to the given equation:

$$RI = \sum_{i=1}^{d} DIMF + \sum_{i=d}^{k} IMF_i \qquad (6)$$

The filtering mechanism is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Filtering using BEMD + Mean filter

3. Band Selection Methods

      The dimensionality reduction can be done in two steps, feature extraction and band selection. The feature extraction methods retrieve the features in the original image bands to create a low dimension feature space. This feature extraction methods change the physical characteristics of the hyperspectral data set. On the other hand, the band selection methods select the best combination of image bands based on the information in the data set. The band selection methods are more suitable for dimensionality reduction of hyperspectral data sets than feature extraction methods. In literature, four band selection metrics such as Euclidean Distance [9], Spectral Angle Mapper [10], Spectral Correlation Mapper [11] and Band Correlation [11] are used to select the informative bands. In this paper, the dimensionality reduction is done using Spectral Correlation Mapper [SCM] based on the information present in the image bands. The dimensionality reduction step decreases many requirements for processing the hyperspectral data set such as storage space, computational load, communication bandwidth etc., thus increasing the efficiency of segmentation algorithm [12]. The band selection metric SCM is defined as follows [13]:

$$SCM(X,Y) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N_b}(X_k - \mu_X).(Y_k - \mu_Y)}{(N_b - 1).\sigma_X.\sigma_Y}$$ (7)

4. Hierarchical Image Fusion Technique

In hierarchical image fusion technique [14], the entire data set is partitioned into P subsets of hyperspectral, where P is given by P= $\left\lceil \dfrac{K}{M} \right\rceil$, K number of bands in data set and M bands in each subset. First image fusion is carried out independently on these P subsets, to form P fused images. These P images are used as input for second stage fusion again by dividing into subsets. This procedure is repeated in a hierarchical manner to generate the final result of fusion in a few stages. The flow diagram of hierarchical image fusion is shown in Figure 3. The fused image F at any stage is a linear combination of input images as shown below:

$$F(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} w_k(x, y) I_k(x, y)$$

$$and$$ (8)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M} w_i(x, y) = 1, \forall (x, y)$$

where w_k (x, y) is the normalized weight for the pixel at location (x, y), F(x,y) is the fused image. In this paper, a small refinement to hierarchical image fusion is done. Instead of grouping the images into subsets of fixed size, in this paper the grouping is done based on the similarity metric M between the images [15]. The similarity metrics used in this paper are Average Pixel Intensity [API], Histogram Similarity [HS], Mutual Information [MI] and Correlation Similarity [CS]. The images subsets are created based on similarity metric i.e., the images in a subset have same similarity. The same procedure is continued at each and every stage, until a single image is formed. In grouping the images, we use a threshold (T) for each and every group and this threshold will determine how similar the images of a group should be. If the similarity value M is less than the threshold (T), add it to the group, else add to next group and so on.

The Average Pixel Intensity [API] of an image is calculated according to the given equation:

$$API_i = \frac{1}{M*N} \sum_{x=1}^{M} \sum_{y=1}^{N} I(x, y)$$ (9)

$$M = \left| I_{ref} - I_i \right|$$

Where M is the similarity metric and I_{ref} is the first image of a group.

The Mutual Information [MI] between two images A= I_{ref} and B= I_i can be given by equation:

$$MI = \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{b \in B} p(a,b) \log \frac{p(a,b)}{p(a).p(b)}$$ (10)

The Histogram Similarity [HS] between two images A= I_{ref} and B= I_i can be given by equation:

$$HS = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sqrt{I_{ref}(k).I_i(k)} \tag{11}$$

Where K is the number of bins chosen for the histogram computation. If the value of HS is closer to zero, indicating the two histograms are highly disjointed.

The Correlation Similarity [CS] between two images is defined as

$$CS = \frac{\sum_x \sum_y (I_{ref}(x,y) - \bar{I}_{ref})(I(x,y) - \bar{I})}{\sqrt{\sum_x \sum_y (I_{ref}(x,y) - \tilde{I}_{ref})^2 (I(x,y) - \tilde{I})^2}} \tag{12}$$

5. Fuzzy C-Means (Unsupervised) Algorithm

The FCM algorithm for segmentation of hyperspectral image is described below [16]:
1. Take randomly K initial clusters from the m*n image pixels.
2. Initialize membership matrix u_{ij} with value in range 0 to 1 and value of m=2.

Assign each pixel to the cluster Cj {j=1,2,.....K} if it satisfies the following condition [D(. , .) is the Euclidean distance measure between two values].

$$u_{ij}^m D(I_i, C_j) < u_{iq}^m D(I_i, C_q), q = 1, 2, ..., K$$
$$j \neq q \tag{13}$$

The new membership and cluster centroid values as calculated as:

$$u_{ik} = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} (\frac{D(C_i, I_k)}{D(C_j, I_k)})^{\frac{1}{m-1}}}, for 1 \leq i \leq K \quad C_j^{\wedge} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{ij}^m I_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{ij}^m} \tag{14}$$

Continue 2-3 until each pixel is assigned to the maximum membership cluster [17].

Figure 3. Hierarchical Image Fusion

6. Experimental Results

The proposed methodology is tested on Pavia University hyperspectral image data set collected from [18] containing 103 spectral bands. The dimensionality reduction is done using SCM. After dimensionality reduction, hierarchical image fusion is carried out using different grouping techniques presented in this paper. The quality of fused image is evaluated using the statistical assessment parameters such as mean intensity value, standard deviation and entropy shown in table 1. Out of these four grouping techniques, grouping using CS achieves better fused image. The segmentation step implemented separately by three clustering methods, K-means, Moving K-Means and Fuzzy C-means respectively. These methods are implemented in such a way that the grayscale intensity value of all the pixels in the image are grouped into nine clusters. The qualitative analysis of the proposed method on Pavia University hyperspectral data set is shown in figure 4. Quantitative analysis using Mean Square Error [19] is a numerically oriented procedure to figure out the performance of algorithms without any human error. The MSE is mathematically defined as:

$$MSE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i \in c_j} ||v_i - c_j||^2 \qquad (15)$$

Where N is the total number of pixels in an image and xi is the pixel which belongs to the jth cluster. Table 2 shows the quantitative evaluations of three clustering algorithms after segmenting the hyperspectral image. The results confirm that Fuzzy C-means algorithm produces the lowest MSE value for segmenting the hyperspectral image.

Table 1. Quantitative performance of fused image using different Grouping Techniques

Grouping Technique	Mean Intensity Value	Variance	Entropy
API	34.7	256.2	5.23
MI	36.8	262.7	5.42
HS	39.2	288.1	5.51
CS	40.1	293.8	5.66

Table 2. MSE values of segmented images using three clustering algorithms

Method	MSE Values
K-means	304.1
Moving K-means	265.4
Fuzzy K-means	191.8

Pavia University image band 100

IMF1

IMF2

IMF3

| Image Band after de-noising using IMF and Wavelet filter | Fused Image   Segmented Image (Using FCM) |

Figure 4. Hyperspectral Image Segmentation

7. Conclusions

In this paper a framework for hyperspectral image segmentation is presented. The framework is carried out in four stages. First stage contains noise reduction algorithm in each image band, second stage contains dimensionality reduction using band selection methods to select informative bands leaving the bands that convey less descriptive information, third stage contains new hierarchical image fusion to generate a single informative band and in the fourth stage, segmentation using FCM algorithm. Existing methods for hyperspectral data sets is done by selecting limited number of bands normally less than seven. The accuracy of any segmentation algorithm decreases if the number of spectral bands increases. The framework presented in this paper provides a methodology for segmenting the hyperspectral data set by incorporating all the information existing in the original bands rather than selecting some spectral bands. The framework segments the hyperspectral data set more accurately than other segmentation methods such as K-means and Moving k-means.

References
[1] Yi Ma, Jie Zhang, Yufeng Gao. *High Resolution Remote Sensing Image Classification of Coastal Zone and its Automatic Realization.* Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Computer Science and Software Engineering. 2008: 827-829.
[2] B Saichandana et.al. Hyperspectral Image Enhancement Using Evolutionary Algorithm. *International Journal of Advanced Research*, 2016; 3(4): 934-938.
[3] J Harikiran, et.al. *Spot Edge detection in Microarray Images using Bi-dimensional Empirical Mode Decomposition*, C3IT-2012, AOT-West Bengal University, Proceedings in Elsevier Procedia Technology, (Science Direct) 2012; 4: 19-25.
[4] Ketan Kotwal, et.al. Visualization of Hyperspectral Images Using Bilateral Filtering. *IEEE transactions of Geoscience and remote Sensing*. 2010; 48(5): 2308-2319.
[5] J Harikiran, et.al. Fast Clustering Algorithms for Segmentation of Microarray Images. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*. 2014; 5(10): 569-574.
[6] Nor Ashidi Mat Isa, Samy A.Salamah, Umi Kalthum Ngah (2012). Adaptive Fuzzy Moving K-means Clustering Algorithm for Image Segmentation. *IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics*, 2010; 55(4): 2145-2153.

[7] J Harikiran et.al. *K-means with Bi-dimensional Empirical Mode Decomposition for Segmentation of Microarray Image*. Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Computational Science, Engineering and Information Technology, ACM Digital Library, 2013: 427-433.

[8] B RaviTeja et.al. A Framework for Hyperspectral Image Segmentation using Unsupervised Algorithm. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, 2016; 9(47): 1-6.

[9] JN Sweet. *The spectral similarity scale and its application to the classification of hyperspectral remote sensing data*. In Proc.Workshop Adv. Techn. Anal. Remotely Sensed Data, Washington, DC, Oct. 2003: 92–99.

[10] B Park, WR Windham, KC. Lawrence, DP Smith. Contaminant classification of poultry hyperspectral imagery using a spectral angle mapper algorithm. *Biosyst. Eng.*, 2007; 96(3): 323–333.

[11] OA De Carvalho, PR Meneses. *Spectral correlation mapper (SCM): An improvement on the spectral angle mapper (SAM).* In Proc. Workshop Airborne Geoscience, Pasadena, CA, 2000.

[12] B.Saichandana et.al. Image Fusion in Hyperspectral Image Classification using Genetic Algorithm*. Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, 2016; 2(3): 703-711.

[13] R Kiran Kumar, B Saichandana, K Srinivas. Dimensionality Reduction and Classification of Hyperspectral Images using Genetic Algorithm. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science* 2016; 3(3): 503-511.

[14] B Saichandana, J Harikiran, K Srinivas, R KiranKumar. Application of BEMD and Hierarchical Image Fusion in Hyperspectral Image Classification*. International Journal of Computer Science and Information Security*, 2016; 14(5): 437-445.

[15] Parul Shah, et.al. *Efficient Hierarchical Fusion using Adaptive Grouping Techniques for Visualization of Hyperspectral Images*. Proceedings of ICICS IEEE 2011.

[16] B Saichandana, et.al. Clustering Algorithm combined with Hill climbing for Classification of Remote Sensing Image*. IAES International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*, 2014; 4(6): 923-930**.**

[17] J Harikiran, et.al. Fuzzy C-means with Bi-dimensional empirical Mode decomposition for segmentation of Microarray Image. *International Journal of Computer Science issues*, 2012; 9(5): 273-279.

[18] http://www.ehu.eus/ccwintco/index.php?title=Hyperspectral_Remote_Sensing_Scenes.

[19] J Harikiran, et.al**.** Multiple Feature Fuzzy C-means Clustering Algorithm for Segmentation of Microarray image. *IAES International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*. 2015; 5(5): 10