Investigation on TiO₂/graphene as resistance-based gas sensor for volatile organic compound gases detection

Siti Amaniah Mohd Chachuli¹, Muhammad Haziq Nor Azmi¹, Omer Coban², Nur Hazahsha Shamsudin³

¹Centre for Telecommunication Research and Innovation (CeTRI), Fakulti Teknologi dan Kejuruteraan Elektronik dan Komputer, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, Melaka, Malaysia ²Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ataturk University, Erzurum, Turkey

³Department of Engineering, Fakulti Teknologi dan Kejuruteraan Elektrik, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka,

Melaka, Malaysia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Jul 5, 2024 Revised Nov 3, 2024 Accepted Nov 11, 2024

Keywords:

Acetone Ethanol Kapton film Screen-printing Titanium dioxide

ABSTRACT

Volatile organic compound (VOC) gases are usually produced from industrial activities. Short-term exposure to VOC gases can cause dizziness, headaches, nausea, and throat irritation. Years to a long time exposure to VOC gases can cause cancer and system damage in the human body. With the growth of gas sensor technology, a resistance-based gas sensor based on various structures of resistance-based gas sensors using Titanium dioxide/graphene (TiO₂/graphene) were investigated as a sensing material for detecting volatile organic compound gases, which are acetone and ethanol. The TiO₂/graphene gas sensor was deposited on a Kapton film using a screen printing technique. All TiO₂/graphene gas sensors were exposed to acetone and ethanol at room operating temperature. The results revealed that the highest response values to acetone and ethanol were produced by T99_G1_2 and T98_G2_1, respectively. It can be concluded that design 1 generated the most consistent response to ethanol.

This is an open access article under the <u>CC BY-SA</u> license.



Corresponding Author:

Siti Amaniah Mohd Chachuli Centre for Telecommunication Research and Innovation (CeTRI), Fakulti Teknologi dan Kejuruteraan Elektronik dan Komputer, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka Melaka, Malaysia Email: sitiamaniah@utem.edu.my

1. INTRODUCTION

Volatile organic compound (VOC) gases can easily be found in various products, such as building materials, home and personal care products, and common human activities (eg: smoking, burning, and cooking). Short-term exposure to VOC gases can cause dizziness, headaches, nausea, and throat irritation. Whereas years to a long time exposure can cause cancer and system damage in the human body. Acetone and ethanol are categorized under VOC gases. Acetone is widely used as an essential solvent and raw material in various industrial fields, such as explosives, plastics, rubber, fiber, leather, and spray paint [1]. For acetone, it is a common colorless and transparent volatile organic compound and if human exposure is more than 450 mg/m³ (173 ppm), it can cause fatigue, headaches, and nervous system damage [2]. Whereas ethanol is an organic compound in various fields, such as biomedical, food, pharmaceutical, hygienic, cosmetic, and chemical industries [3]. However, prolonged exposure to high ethanol concentrations can easily result in uncomfortable symptoms such as skin irritation and reduce respiratory and neurological effects even with a concentration as low as 25 ppm [4]. In closed areas, VOC gases can threaten the human health [5]. In recent

years, a resistance-based gas sensor has been widely studied by researchers to sense VOC gases due to its fast response, simple fabrication process, and also affordable price. Therefore, the creation of an acetone and ethanol detection device that is highly sensitive and can be used to detect ethanol or acetone leakage is crucial for both industrial safety and human health.

Recent literature has reported that various resistance-based gas sensors have been studied to sense VOC gases such as graphene [6], bismuth ferrite (BiFeO₃) [7], zinc oxide (ZnO) [8], indium sulfide (In₂S₃) [9], samarium oxide [10], perovskites [11], titanium dioxide (TiO₂) [12], [13], and tin dioxide [14]. In past research, TiO₂ has been reported as a sensing material for acetone detection [15] and ethanol detection [16], [17]. Furthermore, TiO₂ also has been seen as a promising material in gas sensing due to its ability to sense various types of gases such as hydrogen [18], [19], methane [20], and carbon monoxide [21]. Thus, TiO₂ has been chosen as the main sensing material to sense the VOC gases in this study since this material has been proven able to sense VOC gases. In addition to that, TiO₂ has a wide bandgap semiconductor and excellent material to sense various gases such as hydrogen, hydrogen sulfide, ammonia, and methane. Thus, this study wants to investigate the TiO₂ capability to sense VOC gases at room operating temperature. Besides, TiO₂ has the ability to sense ethanol [22]. It has been studied as a promising gas sensing material owing to its excellent electron mobility and chemical stability [23]. Furthermore, TiO₂ is very promising due to its high specific surface, low cost, and robustness in chemical/corrosive atmospheres [24].

To enhance the sensitivity, the most common method used doping material to enhance the main sensing material of the gas sensor. Therefore, this method also will be applied in this study since this method is also known as a promising technique in gas sensing applications. Thus, graphene nanoflakes has been chosen as doping material because this material can sense VOC gases which are acetone and ethanol at room operating temperature based on prior research in [6]. Graphene, on the other hand, is an atomically thin two-dimensional (2D) sheet of carbon atoms in a hexagonal lattice, has inspired a new era of research due to its exceptional properties such as extremely high mobility, very high thermal conductivity, and surface sensitivity to various molecules [25]. With this combination, TiO_2 and graphene are expected to produce a nanocomposite material with enhanced gas sensing capabilities.

This paper presents the investigation of TiO_2 nanoparticles doped with graphene nanoflakes with various weight concentrations as a sensing material for resistance-based gas sensors. The gas sensor was fabricated using screen-printing technology on Kapton film. All gas sensors were exposed to acetone and ethanol at room operating temperature. The gas sensor characteristic was evaluated in terms of response value. The present finding is significant for the researcher in choosing a suitable design for a resistance-based gas sensor, specifically for acetone and ethanol detection. This study also can be considered as an update of our prior work on the enhancement of acetone and ethanol detection at room operating temperature.

2. METHOD

2.1. Preparation of binder and TiO₂/graphene paste

A binder is made by mixing three materials in a specific ratio: $2 \omega t.\%$ of ethyl cellulose, $5 \omega t.\%$ of linseed oil, and 93 $\omega t.\%$ of terpineol. These materials were mixed in a vial with a magnetic bar and stirred on a magnetic stirrer at 40°C and 200 rpm for at least 24 hours to ensure a homogeneous binder. The TiO₂/graphene paste is prepared using three different ratios of TiO₂ and graphene as listed in Table 1. To prepare the TiO₂/Graphene mixed powder, 25 ml of acetone was added to the TiO₂ and graphene powder. The solution undergoes 10 minutes of ultrasonic cleaning at 40°C, followed by drying in an oven at 100°C until it becomes a solid powder. The resulting powder is gently ground in a mortar with a pestle. The TiO₂/graphene was prepared by mixing 55 ω .t.% of TiO₂/graphene powder with 45 ω .t.% binder. The mixed sensing material powder was gradually added to the binder while stirring on a magnetic stirrer. The paste mixing was carried out at 40°C and 80 rpm. The stirring process continues for at least 24 hours to achieve a homogeneous mixture. A similar procedure was applied for all TiO₂/graphene pastes.

2.2. Design structure and fabrication of TiO₂/graphene resistance-based gas sensor using screenprinting technique

Three design structures of the resistance-based gas sensor were proposed in this study to investigate its capability to sense the VOC gases with different ratios of $TiO_2/graphene$. The design structures of resistance-based gas sensors were created using AutoCAD software. Table 2 outlines the area of the electrode and sensing material. Design 3 has the largest electrode area (2.4 cm²), and Design 1 has the largest sensing layer (2.56 cm²). Kapton film was used as a substrate with a size of 4.0 cm×4.0 cm. Firstly, the electrode based on silver paste was deposited on the Kapton film using the screen-printing technique and then dried in an oven at 150°C for 15 minutes. Next, the sensing layer ($TiO_2/graphene$ paste) was deposited using the screen-printing technique and then annealed at 200°C for one hour. The fabricated gas sensor based on three design structures with their sample names is shown in Figure 1. All designs were adapted from other

researchers, which are design 1 from [26], design 2 from [25], and design 3 from [27]. It can be seen that the color of the sensing layer becomes more greyish when the amount of graphene is higher in the paste.

Table 1	. Ratio	for	TiO ₂	and	graphene	e paste
---------	---------	-----	------------------	-----	----------	---------

Paste name	$TiO_2 (\omega.t.\%)$	Graphene (w.t.%)
TiO ₂ G1	99	1
TiO ₂ _G3	98	2
TiO ₂ _G5	95	5

Table 2. Area (cm ²) of design				
Design	Area (cm ²)			
	Electrode	Sensing material		
1	0.58	2.56		
2	2.00	1.00		
3	2.40	1.60		



Figure 1. Fabricated TiO₂/graphene gas sensors

2.3. Experimental setup of a gas sensor for ethanol and acetone vapor detection

Figure 2 shows the experimental setup of gas sensor measurement for VOC gases. Acetone and ethanol were evaporated in glassware, and a silicone hose was used to flow the vapor into the gas chamber. To make an acetone solution, 25 ml of acetone was mixed with 25 ml of distilled water. The acetone solution is then heated to a temperature of 120°C for 30 minutes to produce acetone vapor. At first, it took 10 minutes for the gas sensor's current to stabilize at standard atmospheric pressure. The response value was then monitored within 30 minutes of acetone vapor being connected to the gas chamber's inlet. The glassware's connection to the gas chamber was severed after 30 minutes, leaving another 10 minutes for the TiO₂/graphene gas sensor to stabilize. A similar procedure was applied for ethanol vapor exposure by using a similar setup.



Figure 2. Experimental setup of gas sensor measurement

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Current-voltage (I-V) characteristic of TiO₂/graphene gas sensor

Figure 3 shows the I-V characteristics at -1V to 1V with the resistance values for all the fabricated gas sensors. Figures 3(a) to 3(c) display the I-V characteristics of T99_G1, T98_G2, and T95_G5 for design 1, design 2, and design 3, respectively. It can observed that the linear graph was produced for all gas sensors, which followed Ohm's law. Design 1 generated slightly higher resistance than designs 2 and 3, where this behavior was attributed to its larger sensing material area. The greater surface area provides more interaction points for gas molecules, resulting in noticeable alterations in electrical characteristics upon exposure. This enhanced sensitivity enables the sensor to detect lower gas concentrations, highlighting the significance of surface area in gas sensor design.

$\textbf{3.2. Characteristics of TiO_2/graphene gas sensor to acetone and ethanol$

3.2.1. Sensing response to acetone and ethanol

The sensing response for three different designs (design 1, 2, and 3) of TiO₂/graphene gas sensors (T99_G1, T98_G2, and T95_G5) to acetone vapor and ethanol vapor is presented in Figure 4 and Figure 5, respectively. Figures 4(a) to 4(c) illustrate the I-V sensing response of T99_G1, T98_G2, and T95_G5 to acetone vapor for design 1, design 2, and design 3, respectively. Whereas the Figures 5(a) to 5(c) illustrate the I-V sensing response of T99_G1, to 5(c) illustrate the I-V sensing response of T99_G1, T98_G2, and T95_G5 to ethanol vapor for design 1, design 2, and design 3, respectively. The initial 10-minute period involves exposing the sensors to atmospheric pressure to achieve stability. Subsequently, acetone vapor is introduced into the gas chamber for 30 minutes, where the gas sensors exhibited a noticeable increase in current, indicative of a response to acetone. A similar pattern was observed in [28]. After exposure, the acetone source was disconnected, and the current was monitored again at atmospheric pressure for stabilization. The consistent trend observed aligns with prior research using TiO₂ as a sensing material for acetone detection, where an increase in current during acetone exposure is attributed to the adsorption and desorption of acetone molecules on the TiO₂ surface, impacting the material's conductivity and other properties.

Meanwhile, three different TiO₂/graphene gas sensor designs (1, 2, and 3) responded to ethanol vapor using pastes T99_G1, T98_G2, and T95_G5. To ensure stability, the sensors undergo a 10-minute exposure to atmospheric pressure without ethanol gas, followed by a 30-minute connection to ethanol gas and then under ambient air. The graph indicates that the sensors respond to ethanol gas with increased current, which is also a similar pattern to acetone vapor. A similar pattern was observed in [29]. All samples exposed to acetone gas follow a consistent trend observed in previous research using TiO₂ for ethanol detection. The

rise in current during acetone exposure suggests interactions between acetone molecules and the TiO₂ surface, potentially affecting conductivity and other properties.



Figure 3. I-V characteristics (a) design 1, (b) design 2, and (c) design 3

3.2.2. Response value to acetone and ethanol

Table 3 presents the response values for acetone and ethanol vapor for three different designs of TiO_2 /graphene gas sensors. In design 1, 2 ω .t.% of graphene, specifically T98 G2 1, exhibited the highest response for acetone at 1.0182, surpassing T95 G5 1 and T99 G1 1 with values of 1.0147 and 1.0021, respectively. Design 2 showcased that T99_G1_2 to acetone, with 1.0605, had the superior response, making $1 \text{ } \omega.t.\%$ graphene preferable other than gas sensors. In design 3, T98_G2_3 stood out to acetone with a response value of 1.0509, outperforming T99_G1_3 and T95_G5_3 at 1.0255 and 1.0001, respectively. Whereas the response values to ethanol vapor indicate distinct reactions among the designs. Design 1 stands out with T98_G2_1 exhibiting the highest response (1.0906) to ethanol, surpassing T99_G1_1 (1.0698) and T95_G5_1 (1.0126). In design 2, T95_G5_2 displays the strongest response (1.0777) to ethanol, outperforming T99_G1_2 and T98_G2_2 at 1.0616 and 1.0366, respectively, suggesting 5 o.t.% graphene enhances ethanol vapor detection. Design 3 showed the T98_G2_3 leading with a response of 1.0669, surpassing T99_G1_3 and T95_G5_3 at 1.0073 and 1.0276. Overall, the highest response values to acetone and ethanol were produced by T99_G1_2 and T98_G2_1, respectively. It can be concluded that all three designs of resistance-based gas sensors based on TiO₂/graphene have the capability to sense acetone and ethanol vapor at room operating temperature. In addition, the graphene amount doped into TiO₂ also did not have much impact on the design of the resistance-based gas sensor proposed in this study, since the percentage of differences between 1 ω .t.% to 5 ω .t.% of graphene were approximately 6.04% and 8.27% to the acetone and ethanol vapor, respectively.



Figure 4. Sensing response of TiO₂/graphene gas sensor to acetone vapor (a) design 1, (b) design 2, and (c) design 3

3.2.3. Comparison of response value to acetone and ethanol with previous studies

Table 4 lists the comparison of the response value of the $TiO_2/graphene$ gas sensor to acetone and ethanol in this study with our prior works. The result revealed that the use of metal oxide (TiO_2) in the gas sensor increased the sensing response to the ethanol and acetone at room operating temperature. Used of screen-printing technology as a deposition technique for the resistance-based gas sensor also showed better performance of the gas sensor to the target gas. It also can be observed that the area of the sensing material is not affected by the response value of the gas sensor at room temperature. Therefore, this finding implies that the selection of sensing material is essential to enhance the sensitivity of a gas sensor to the target gas.

Table 3. Response value to acetone and ethanol vapor

Design	Sample name	Response value		
		Acetone	Ethanol	
1	T99_G1_1	1.0021	1.0698	
	T98_G2_1	1.0182	1.0906	
	T95_G5_1	1.0147	1.0126	
2	T99_G1_2	1.0605	1.0616	
	T98_G2_2	1.0001	1.0366	
	T95_G5_2	1.0059	1.0777	
3	T99_G1_3	1.0255	1.0073	
	T98_G2_3	1.0509	1.0669	
	T95_G5_3	1.0001	1.0276	

Investigation on TiO₂/graphene as resistance-based gas sensor for ... (Siti Amaniah Mohd Chachuli)



Figure 5. Sensing response of TiO₂/graphene gas sensor to ethanol vapor (a) design 1, (b) design 2, and (c) design 3

Table 4. Comparison of response value to acetone and ethanol with previous studies

Sensing material	Area of sensing layer	Deposition technique	Response value		Ref.
	(cm ²)		Acetone	Ethanol	
Graphene SG(G)	1.00	Screen-printing	1.024	1.004	[6]
Graphene (DG(G)-1)	1.00	Doctor Blade	-	1.20	[30]
Graphene (DK(G)-1)	1.00	Doctor Blade	-	1.00	[30]
TiO ₂ /graphene (T98_G2_1)	2.56	Screen-printing	1.0182	1.0906	This study
TiO ₂ /grapheme (T99_G1_2)	1.00	Screen-printing	1.0605	1.0616	This study

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, resistance-based gas sensors have been successfully fabricated using screen-printing technology with three design structures using TiO_2 doped with various weight ratios of graphene. All the gas sensors responded well to acetone and ethanol vapor at room operating temperature. The results revealed that the T99_G1_2 gas sensor produced the highest response value of 1.0605 to the acetone vapor and the T98_G2_1 gas sensor produced the highest response value of 1.0906 to ethanol vapor. The obtained results gave a path for the development of a resistance-based gas sensor for ethanol and acetone detection operable at room temperature. This finding also can be reproduced with the use of other metal-oxide material as sensing material applied for resistance-based gas sensors for sensitivity enhancement to acetone and ethanol vapor in room temperature sensing.

REFERENCES

L. Lyu *et al.*, "A novel CeO2 hollow-shell sensor constructed for high sensitivity of acetone gas detection," *Applied Surface Science*, vol. 571, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.apsusc.2021.151337.

- [2] L. Guo, Z. Shen, C. Ma, C. Ma, J. Wang, and T. Yuan, "Gas sensor based on MOFs-derived Au-loaded SnO2 nanosheets for enhanced acetone detection," *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, vol. 906, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2022.164375.
- [3] Z. Rafiee, H. Roshan, and M. H. Sheikhi, "Low concentration ethanol sensor based on graphene/ZnO nanowires," *Ceramics International*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 5311–5317, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ceramint.2020.10.111.
- [4] K. Xu, Z. Kan, F. Zhang, Y. Qu, S. Li, and S. Liu, "Hollow multi-shelled structural SnO2 with multiple spatial confinement for ethanol gas sensing," *Materials Letters*, vol. 338, pp. 2–5, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.matlet.2023.134070.
- [5] M. S. Hosseini, S. Zeinali, and M. H. Sheikhi, "Fabrication of capacitive sensor based on Cu-BTC (MOF-199) nanoporous film for detection of ethanol and methanol vapors," *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, vol. 230, pp. 9–16, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.snb.2016.02.008.
- [6] S. Amaniah Mohd Chachuli, M. Luqman Hakkim Noor, O. Coban, N. Hazahsha Shamsudin, and M. Idzdihar Idris, "Characteristic of graphene-based thick film gas sensor for ethanol and acetone vapor detection at room temperature," *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, vol. 32, no. 3, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.11591/ijeecs.v32.i3.pp1384-1391.
- [7] S. Neogi and R. Ghosh, "Influence of dipole moment on the VOC sensing properties of BiFeO3 microspheres: Addressing the selectivity towards acetone," *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, vol. 415, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.snb.2024.135980.
- [8] J. R. F. Foronda, L. G. Aryaswara, G. N. C. Santos, S. N. V. Raghu, and M. A. Muflikhun, "Broad-class volatile organic compounds (VOCs) detection via polyaniline/zinc oxide (PANI/ZnO) composite materials as gas sensor application," *Heliyon*, vol. 9, no. 2, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e13544.
- [9] B. Bouricha, R. Souissi, N. Bouguila, and A. Labidi, "A real-time sharp selectivity with In2S3 gas sensor using a nonlinear dynamic response for VOCs," *Measurement: Journal of the International Measurement Confederation*, vol. 185, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.measurement.2021.110070.
- [10] S. R. Jamnani, H. M. Moghaddam, S. G. Leonardi, G. Neri, and A. Ferlazzo, "VOCs sensing properties of samarium oxide nanorods," *Ceramics International*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 403–411, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.ceramint.2023.10.115.
- [11] Z. Derakhshi, S. Baghshahi, A. A. Khodadadi, and M. Tamizifar, "Cu-doped LaFe1-xCuxO3 perovskites nano-crystallites for enhanced VOCs detection," *Ceramics International*, vol. 50, no. 13, pp. 23175–23187, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.ceramint.2024.04.041.
- [12] J. Gao et al., "Polyoxometalate electron acceptor heterogenous dopant into TiO2/g-C3N4 nanofibers for promoted acetone gas detectability," Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, vol. 417, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.snb.2024.136072.
- [13] M. Lin et al., "A durable gas sensor based on AgVO3/TiO2 nanoheterostructures to ethanol gas," Journal of Alloys and Compounds, vol. 961, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2023.171103.
- [14] B. Jiang et al., "Separated detection of ethanol and acetone based on SnO2-ZnO gas sensor with improved humidity tolerance," Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, vol. 393, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.snb.2023.134257.
- [15] Z. Cai and S. Park, "Highly selective acetone sensor based on Co3O4-decorated porous TiO2 nanofibers," *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, vol. 919, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2022.165875.
- [16] S. Singh, H. Kaur, V. N. Singh, K. Jain, and T. D. Senguttuvan, "Highly sensitive and pulse-like response toward ethanol of Nb doped TiO2 nanorods based gas sensors," *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, vol. 171–172, pp. 899–906, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.snb.2012.06.002.
- [17] M. Wang, Y. Zhu, D. Meng, K. Wang, and C. Wang, "A novel room temperature ethanol gas sensor based on 3D hierarchical flower-like TiO2 microstructures," *Materials Letters*, vol. 277, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.matlet.2020.128372.
- [18] L. B. Tasyurek, E. Isik, I. Isik, and N. Kilinc, "Enhancing the performance of TiO2 nanotube-based hydrogen sensors through crystal structure and metal electrode," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 54, pp. 678–690, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2023.08.202.
- [19] A. Vijaykumar, A. Mondal, S. Deb, B. Ajitha, and Y. A. K. Reddy, "Development of room-temperature operable TiO2-x-based hydrogen gas sensor with light irradiation," *Applied Surface Science*, vol. 670, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.apsusc.2024.160664.
- [20] M. Li, C. Zou, F. Liang, E. Hou, H. Huang, and J. Lin, "Preparation and gas-sensing performance under photoactivation of TiO2 nanotubes/carbon nanotubes/ZnS quantum dots gas-sensitive materials," *Materials Today Communications*, vol. 36, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.mtcomm.2023.106487.
- [21] S. Amaniah Mohd Chachuli, M. Nizar Hamidon, M. Ertugrul, M. S. Mamat, H. Jaafar, and N. H. Shamsudin, "TiO2/B2O3 thick film gas sensor for monitoring carbon monoxide at different operating temperatures," *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1432, no. 1, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1432/1/012040.
- [22] D. Wang et al., "High ethanol sensitivity of Palladium/TiO2 nanobelt surface heterostructures dominated by enlarged surface area and nano-Schottky junctions," *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, vol. 388, no. 1, pp. 144–150, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.jcis.2012.08.034.
- [23] K. Wu, W. Zhang, Z. Zheng, M. Debliquy, and C. Zhang, "Room-temperature gas sensors based on titanium dioxide quantum dots for highly sensitive and selective H2S detection," *Applied Surface Science*, vol. 585, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.apsusc.2022.152744.
- [24] Z. Ye et al., "Excellent ammonia sensing performance of gas sensor based on graphene/titanium dioxide hybrid with improved morphology," *Applied Surface Science*, vol. 419, pp. 84–90, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.apsusc.2017.03.251.
- [25] I. Jahangir, M. A. Uddin, A. Franken, A. K. Singh, and G. Koley, "Investigation of graphene/InN nanowire based mixed dimensional barristors with widely tunable Schottky barrier for highly sensitive multimodal gas sensing applications," *Sensors* and Actuators B: Chemical, vol. 379, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.snb.2022.133238.
- [26] V. R. Naganaboina and S. G. Singh, "CdS based chemiresistor with Schottky contact: Toxic gases detection with enhanced sensitivity and selectivity at room temperature," *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, vol. 357, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.snb.2022.131421.
- [27] D. Chen et al., "High sensitive room temperature NO2 gas sensor based on the avalanche breakdown induced by Schottky junction in TiO2-Sn3O4 nanoheterojunctions," Journal of Alloys and Compounds, vol. 912, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jallcom.2022.165079.
- [28] W. Maziarz, A. Kusior, and A. Trenczek-Zajac, "Nanostructured TiO2-based gas sensors with enhanced sensitivity to reducing gases," *Beilstein Journal of Nanotechnology*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1718–1726, 2016, doi: 10.3762/BJNANO.7.164.
- [29] N. Van Duy, N. Van Hieu, P. T. Huy, N. D. Chien, M. Thamilselvan, and J. Yi, "Mixed SnO2/TiO2 included with carbon nanotubes for gas-sensing application," *Physica E: Low-Dimensional Systems and Nanostructures*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 258–263, 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.physe.2008.07.007.
- [30] S. Amaniah, M. Chachuli, M. L. H. Noor, O. Coban, and N. H. Shamsudin, "Thick film graphene gas sensor using doctor blade for ethanol sensing," in *Proceedings of 4th International Conference on Telecommunication, Electronic and Computer Engineering (ICTEC'22)*, 2022, pp. 3–4, doi: 10.1016/j.matlet.2021.13047.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS



Siti Amaniah Mohd Chachuli D 🔀 🖾 C received a B.Eng. degree in Electrical and Electronic Engineering from Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia, in 2008, M.Eng. from Universiti Malaya in 2012, and Ph.D. degree in Sensor Technology from Universiti Putra Malaysia in 2020. Currently, she is a senior lecturer at the Faculty of Electronics and Computer Technology and Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka. Her research interests include thin and thick film gas sensors, nanomaterials, and material characterization. She can be contacted at email: sitiamaniah@utem.edu.my.



Muhammad Haziq Nor Azmi (b) (S) (c) received a B.Eng. degree in Electronic Engineering from Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, Malaysia, in 2024. His research interests include titanium dioxide and graphene gas sensors. He can be contacted at email: ajikz.azmi96@gmail.com.



Omer Coban (b) (S) (c) received a Bachelor, Master and Ph.D. degrees from Ataturk University, Turkey. Currently, he is an Assistant Professor at the Faculty of Engineering, Ataturk University. Her research interests include electronics, optics and photonics, and physics. He can be contacted at email: omercoban@atauni.edu.tr.



Nur Hazahsha Shamsudin b x x e received a B.Eng. degree in Electrical Engineering from Universiti Teknologi Mara, Malaysia, in 2008, M.Eng. from Universiti Malaya in 2012, and Ph.D. degree from Universiti Putra Malaysia in 2022. Currently, she is a senior lecturer at the Faculty of Electrical Technology and Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka. Her research interests include power distribution optimization, solar energy, power quality, and materials sciences. She can be contacted at email: nurhazahsha@utem.edu.my.