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#### Abstract

In unconstrained optimization algorithms, we employ the memoryless quasi Newton procedure to construct a new conjugacy coefficient for the conjugate gradient approaches. This newer updating formula was adapted by scaling the well-known broyden fletcher glodfarb shanno (BFGS) formula by a selfscaling factor in order to reach to the new form of the conjugacy coefficient which makes a satisfactory result in the descent direction and satisfies the globally convergent features when compared the proposed method to HS standard conjugate gradient approach. The theorems are studied in detail and moreover the numerical results of this paper is depend on a Fortran programming which are extremely stable.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, the well-known large-scale unconstrained minimization method has been considered:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min f(x), x \in R^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $f: R^{n} \rightarrow R$ is continuously differentiable and the matrix of the first partial derivative $g(x)=\nabla f(x)$ is available. $x \in R^{n}$, n is a dimensional of the vector $x$, the CG algorithm is among the most efficient optimization algorithms for getting the minimum of the function (1) especially for large-scale problem [1]. Nevertheless, the CG algorithm is one of the more excepted choices in the big scale problem solving, as this method does not need any matrices [2]. The behaviour of the unconstrained optimization problem (1) is onset with a starting guess $x_{0} \in R^{n}$, then the CG algorithm would output a sequence of points $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ using the repeated form which is denoted in the next equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i+1}=x_{i}+\omega_{i} t_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{i}$ the length of a step is calculated with a suitable line search method and $t_{i}$ is the direction of search, that is getting as follows:

$$
t_{i+1}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
-g_{i+1} & \text { for } i=0  \tag{3}\\
-g_{i+1}+\beta_{i} t_{i} & \text { for } i \geq 1
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $g_{i}$ is the gradient vector of the function $f(x)$ and $B_{i}$ is a small value used to correct the path of search at $x_{i}$. There are a number of well-known conjugation method formulas given by see [3]-[7]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{i}^{F R}=\frac{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|g_{i}\right\|^{2}}  \tag{4}\\
& \beta_{i}^{P R P}=\frac{g_{i+1}^{T} y_{i}}{\left\|g_{i}\right\|^{2}}  \tag{5}\\
& \beta_{i}^{H S}=\frac{g_{i+1}^{T} y_{i}}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i}}  \tag{6}\\
& \beta_{i}^{D Y}=\frac{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i}} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $y_{i}=g_{i+1}-g_{i}$ is the difference gradient of the function $f(x)$ at the points $x_{i+1}, x_{i}$ respectively, and more details for the coefficient $B_{i}$ can be seen in [8]-[12].

These aforementioned methods have been studied by many researches including [13], [14], most of these methods studied the features of the conjugate gradient approach, recently there are many attempts to discover a recent formula for conjugate gradient methods which have good numerical execution and satisfying a global property and that is the same aim of our research, to establish this convergence property it is required to compute the step $\omega_{i}>0$ with some conditions such as week wolfe condition (WWc) [15]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(x_{i}+\omega_{i} t_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i}\right) \leq p_{1} \omega_{i} g_{i}^{T} t_{i}  \tag{8}\\
& \mathrm{~s} g_{i}^{T} t_{i} \leq g\left(x_{i}+\omega_{i} t_{i}\right)^{T} t_{i} \leq-p_{2} g_{i}^{T} t_{i} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

or by using strong wolfe condition (SWc) which satisfy (8):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g\left(x_{i}+\omega_{i} t_{i}\right)^{T} t_{i}\right| \leq-p_{2} g_{i}^{T} t_{i} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<p_{1}<\mathrm{s}, p_{2} \geq 0$. There are many other formulas that have been proposed by various scholars, for more details see [16]-[20]. The search direction is also important to determine the amount of the function that is ensuring the reduction of the search direction therefore we use quasi Newton method:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i}=-G_{i}^{-1} g_{i} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{i}$ is a matrix which is asymmetric and non-singular of the accession of the Hessian matrix which is denoted as a matrix of identity in the first step. The structure of this article is sequential as: first, a recent formula for the coefficient $\beta_{i}^{\wedge}$ is derived, while the sufficient descent property and global convergence is presented in the next section, after that, the numerical facts results are presented. Finally, the conclusion is presented in the last section.

## 2. NEW FORMULA OF $\beta_{i}^{\wedge}$

The self-scaling quasi-Newton will be utilized to scale the Hessian matrix $G_{i}$, [21], [22] scale some terms of broyden fletcher glodfarb shanno (BFGS). Our technical method is to scale all the terms of BFGS by multiplying $G_{i}$ by a scalar ệ, then the direction becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i+1}=-\hat{e} G_{i+1} g_{i+1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ệ is a self-scaling factor and there are several types of the scalar ệ such as [23], [24]:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\hat{e}=\frac{y_{i}^{T} y_{i}}{y_{i}^{T} s_{i}} & \text { (AlBayati) } \\
\hat{e}=\frac{y_{i}^{T} s_{i}}{g^{T} H g_{i}} & \text { (AlBayati \& Maha) } \\
\hat{e}=\frac{6}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}\left[f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i+1}\right)+s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}\right]-2 & \text { (Biggs) }
\end{array}
$$

Now the (BFGS) formula can be written in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i+1}=G_{i}-\left(\frac{G_{i} y_{i} s_{i}^{T}+s_{i} y_{i}^{T} G_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}\right)+\frac{s_{i} s_{i}^{T}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}+\frac{y_{i}^{T} G_{i} y_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}} \cdot \frac{s_{i} s_{i}^{T}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

To scale the Hessian matrix G we have to use the self-scaling quasi-Newton method, by multiplying (16) by ệ then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i+1}=\hat{e}\left[G_{i}-\left(\frac{G_{i} y_{i} s_{i}^{T}+s_{i} y_{i}^{T} G_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}\right)+\frac{s_{i} s_{i}^{T}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}+\frac{y_{i}^{T} G_{i} y_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}} \cdot \frac{s_{i} s_{i}^{T}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if we choose ệ from (15), and replace $G_{i}$ by $I$ then (17) will be refer to memory less (BFGS) and written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i+1}=\hat{e}\left[I-\left(\frac{y_{i} s_{i}^{T}+s_{i} y_{i}^{T}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}\right)+\frac{s_{i} s_{i}^{T}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}+\frac{y_{i}^{T} y_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}} \cdot \frac{s_{i} s_{i}^{T}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}\right] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to compute the new parameter $\beta_{i}$, both sides of (18) will be multiplied by $g_{i+1}$, from $t_{i+1}=-$ ẹ $G_{i+1} g_{i+1}$ and from (3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
-g_{i+1}+\beta_{i}^{\wedge} t_{i}=\hat{e}\left(-g_{i+1}+\frac{s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}} y_{i}+\frac{y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}} s_{i}-\frac{s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}} s_{i}-\frac{y_{i}^{T} y_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}} \frac{s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}} s_{i}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

now if we use ệ from biggs (15) and for simplicity, we assume the term:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=f_{i}-f_{i+1}+s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

to simplify the steps of derivation, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -g_{i+1}+\beta_{i}^{\wedge} t_{i}=\frac{-6 g_{i+1}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}[F]+2 g_{i+1}+\frac{6}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}[F] \frac{s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} y_{i}+y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} s_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}-2 \frac{s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} y_{i}+y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} s_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}- \\
& \frac{6}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}[F] \frac{s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} s_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}+2 \frac{s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} s_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}-\frac{6}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}[F] \frac{y_{i}^{T} y_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}} \cdot \frac{s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} s_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}+2 \frac{y_{i}^{T} y_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}} \cdot \frac{s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} s_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

now by multiplying (21) by $y_{i}^{T}$ and divide both side of (21) by $y_{i}^{T} t_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\wedge}=\frac{y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}-\frac{6 y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i} y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}[F]+2 \frac{y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}+\frac{6}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}[F] \cdot \frac{s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot y_{i}^{T} y_{i}+y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot y_{i}^{T} s_{i}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i} \cdot s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}-2 \frac{s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot y_{i}^{T} y_{i}+y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot y_{i}^{T} s_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}- \\
& \frac{6}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}[F] \cdot \frac{s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot y_{i}^{T} s_{i}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i} \cdot s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}+2 \frac{s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot y_{i}^{T} s_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}-\frac{6}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}}[F] \frac{y_{i}^{T} y_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}} \cdot \frac{s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}^{T} \cdot y_{i}^{T} s_{i}^{T}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}+2 \frac{y_{i}^{T} y_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}} \cdot \frac{s_{i} g_{i+1} \cdot y_{i}^{T} s_{i}}{s_{i}^{T} y_{i}} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $s_{i}^{T}=\omega t_{i}^{T}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{i}=\frac{y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}-\frac{6 y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{\omega t_{i}^{T} y_{i} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}[F]+2 \frac{y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}+\frac{6[F]}{\omega t_{i}^{T} y_{i}} . \frac{\omega t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot y_{i}^{T} y_{i}+y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot \omega y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}{\omega y_{i}^{T} t_{i} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}- \\
& 2 \frac{\omega t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot y_{y}^{T} y_{i}+y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot \omega y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}{\omega t_{i}^{T} y_{i} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}-\frac{6}{\omega t_{i}^{T} y_{i}}[F] \cdot \frac{\omega t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot \omega t_{i}^{T} y_{i}}{\omega y_{i}^{T} t_{i} \cdot T_{i}^{T} y_{i}}+2 \frac{\omega t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot \omega t_{t^{T}} y_{i}}{\omega t_{i}^{T} y_{i} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}-\frac{6}{\omega t_{i}^{T} y_{i}}[F] \cdot \frac{y_{i}^{T} y_{i}}{\omega t_{i}^{T} y_{i}} \cdot \frac{\omega t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot \omega t_{i}^{T} y_{i}}{\omega t_{i}^{T} y_{i} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}+ \\
& 2 \frac{y_{i}^{T} y_{i} \cdot \omega t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{\omega t_{i}^{T} y_{i} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}  \tag{23}\\
& =\frac{y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}-\frac{6[F]}{\omega t_{i}^{T} y_{i}}\left(\frac{y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}-\frac{t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot y_{i}^{T} y_{i}+y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}+\frac{\omega t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}+\frac{y_{i}^{T} y_{i}}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i}} \cdot \frac{t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}\right)+2\left(\frac{y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}-\right. \\
& \left.\frac{t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot y_{i}^{T} y_{i}+y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}+\frac{\omega t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}+\frac{y_{i}^{T} y_{i} \cdot t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}\right)  \tag{24}\\
& =\frac{y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}-\frac{6[F]}{\omega t_{i}^{T} y_{i}}\left(\frac{y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}-t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot y_{i} y_{i}-y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} y_{i}^{T} t_{i}+\omega t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}+y_{i} y_{i} \cdot t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}+\right. \\
& \left.2 \frac{y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} . y_{i}^{T} t_{i}-t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} . y_{i}^{T} y_{i}-y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}+\omega t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} t_{i}^{T} y_{i}+y_{i}^{T} y_{i} \cdot t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i} \cdot y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}\right) \\
& =\frac{y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i}}-\frac{6[F] \omega}{\omega t_{i}^{T} y_{i}} \cdot \frac{t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i}}+2 \omega \frac{t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i}} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Now subs (F) of (20) in (25) we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i}}-\frac{t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i} \cdot t_{i}^{T} y_{i}}\left[6\left(f_{i}-f_{i+1}+s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}\right)\right]+2 \omega \frac{t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{y_{i}^{T} t_{i}} \\
& \beta_{i}^{\wedge}=\frac{y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i}}-\frac{t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i}} \cdot\left(6 \frac{\left(f_{i}-f_{i+1}+s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}\right)}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i}}-2 \omega\right) \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

And that is the final form of our new coefficient. If the direction is exact line search, then $B_{i}^{\wedge}$ will be reduced to Hesten Stefile method. However, if we used inexact line search with Wolfe type line search then our algorithm of a new method is as the following:

Algorithm (1)
Given $x_{0} \in R^{n}$ and $\omega_{i}>0$, set $\mathrm{i}=1$.
Step1: set $t_{i}=-g_{i}=-\nabla f\left(x_{i}\right)$, if $\left\|g_{i}\right\|<\epsilon$, then go to end.
Step2: determine $\omega_{i}>0$ satisfying Wolfe type line search in (8) and (9).
Step3: calculate a new iteration $\left(x_{i+1}\right)$ by (2) and $g_{i+1}$.if $\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|<\epsilon$ then go to end,
else go to step (2).

## 3. THE SUFFICIENT DESCENT AND GLOBAL CONVERGENCE PROPERTY

### 3.1. Acceptance (1)

(a) Let the set $\psi=\left\{x_{0} \in R^{n}: f(\mathrm{x}) \leq f\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is bounded.
(b) Suppose $\psi$ is a neighbourhood of $\zeta$ then $f$ is continuously differentiable and the Lipchitz condition of the gradient is continuous of $\psi$. This means, there is $k>0$ such that $\forall x$.

$$
\|\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})-g(\hat{x})\| \leq k\|\mathrm{x}-\hat{x}\|, \hat{x} \in \psi
$$

From acceptance (a) and (b) we can design the sequence $\left\{x_{i}\right\} \in \zeta$, because $f$ is decreasing. From acceptance (a) and (b), we can profit that $\forall x \in \zeta \exists c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ for which $\|\mathrm{x}\| \leq c_{1},\|\hat{x}\| \leq c_{2}$ and the sequence $\left\{x_{i}\right\} \in \zeta$ because $\left\{f\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}$ is decreasing, henceforward we will assume that assumption (a), (b) are hold and the objective function is bounded below.

Theorem (1): let that acceptance (1) it satisfies, and $\omega$ holds the Wolfe type line search (8) and (9) and $\beta_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\wedge}$ is given in (26) then (3) holds the property of descent.

Proof: for (i=1) we get $t_{1}=-g_{1} \Rightarrow t_{1}^{T} g_{1}=-\left\|g_{1}\right\|^{2} \leq 0$, and this satisfies the descent property. Now we have to prove the descent for all $k \geq 1$, by multiplying (3) by $g_{i+1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i+1}^{T} g_{i+1}=-\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}+\beta_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\wedge} t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

if an exact line search is used then $t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}=0 \Rightarrow t_{i+1}^{T} g_{i+1}=-\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2} \leq 0$, but if we used inexact line search then (27) yield:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i+1}^{T} \cdot g_{i+1}=-\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}+\left[\frac{y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i}}-\frac{t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i}} \cdot\left(6 \frac{\left(f_{i}-f_{i+1}+s_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}\right)}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i}}-2 \omega\right)\right]\left(t_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (SWC) and (10) and the equality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}^{T} g_{i+1}<\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (8), (9) and since:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i}^{T} y_{i} \geq t_{i}^{T}\left(g_{i+1}-g_{i}\right) \geq(\mathrm{s}-1) g_{i}^{T} t_{i} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

then:

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{i+1}^{T} g_{i+1} & \leq-\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}+\left[\frac{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}}{(\mathrm{~s}-1) g_{i}^{T} t_{i}}-\frac{\left(-p_{2} g_{i}^{T} t_{i}\right)}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i}}\left(\frac{-6\left(f_{i+1}-f_{i}-s_{i} g_{i+1}\right)}{(\mathrm{s}-1) g_{i}^{T} t_{i}}-2 \omega\right)\right]\left(-p_{2} g_{i}^{T} t_{i}\right) \\
& \leq-\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}+\left[\frac{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}}{(\mathrm{~s}-1) g_{i}^{T} t_{i}}+\frac{\left(p_{2} g_{i}^{T} t_{i}\right)}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i}}\left(\frac{-6\left(p_{1} \omega g_{i}^{T} t_{i}-\omega p_{2} g_{i}^{T} t_{i}\right)}{(\mathrm{s}-1) g_{i}^{T} t_{i}}-2 \omega\right)\right]\left(-p_{2} g_{i}^{T} t_{i}\right) \\
& \leq-\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}-p_{2} g_{i}^{T} t_{i} \frac{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}}{(\mathrm{~s}-1) g_{i}^{T} t_{i}}-\frac{\omega\left(p_{2} g_{i}^{T} t_{i}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}^{T} y_{i}}\left(\frac{-6\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)}{(\mathrm{s}-1)}-2\right) \\
& \leq-\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}-p_{2} \frac{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}}{(\mathrm{~s}-1)} \\
& \leq-\left(1+\frac{p_{2}}{(\mathrm{~s}-1)}\right)\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

let $\kappa=\frac{p_{2}}{(\varsigma-1)}$ and $0<\kappa<1<$ is negative, then $(1+\kappa)=\mathrm{m}$ is a positive number then (31) satisfies $t_{i+1}^{T} g_{i+1} \leq-m\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}$, which completes the proof. To state the global convergence for the new algorithms, you should see [13], [25] which is containing the Zoutindijk condition.

## 4. THE GLOBAL CONVERGENCE PROPERTY

Theorem (2): suppose that acceptance (1) holds, consider the algorithm (1) satisfies Wolfe condition, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \left\|g_{i+1}\right\|=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: If the theorem is not true, then $\exists 3>0$, s.t $\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|>3, \forall k$, then (3) can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i+1}^{T}+t_{i+1}=\beta_{i}^{\wedge} t_{i} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

by squaring both sides of (33) and rearranging it yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|t_{i+1}\right\|^{2} & =-\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}-2 g_{i+1}^{T} t_{i+1}+\left(\beta_{i}^{\wedge}\right)^{2}\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left(\beta_{i}^{\wedge}\right)^{2}\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{2}-2 g_{i+1}^{T} t_{i+1}-\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

dividing both sides of (34) by $\left(g_{i+1}^{T} t_{i+1}\right)^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\left\|t_{i+1}\right\|^{2}}{\left(g_{i+1}^{T} t_{i+1}\right)^{2}} & =\frac{\left(\beta_{\hat{i}}{ }^{2}\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{2}\right.}{\left(g_{i+1}^{T} t_{i+1}\right)^{2}}-\frac{2}{g_{i+1}^{T} t_{i+1}}-\frac{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}}{\left(g_{i+1}^{T} t_{i+1}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\left(\beta_{i} \hat{2}\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{2}\right.}{\left(g_{i+1}^{T} t_{i+1}\right)^{2}}-\left(\frac{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|}{g_{i+1}^{T} t_{i+1}}-\frac{1}{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{\left(\beta_{i}\right)^{2}\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{2}}{\left(g_{i+1}^{T} t_{i+1}\right)^{2}}+\frac{1}{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}} \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

now from (26), (29), (30) and the (8)-(10) and that $t_{i}^{T} g_{i}=-\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{2}$ we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta_{i}^{\wedge} & \leq \frac{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}}{(\varsigma-1) g_{i}^{T} t_{i}}+\frac{p_{2} g_{i}^{T} t_{i}}{-(\mathrm{s}-1)\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{2}}\left(\frac{6\left(p_{1} \omega\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{2}-p_{2} \omega\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{2}\right)}{(1-\mathrm{s})\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{2}}+2 \omega\right)  \tag{36}\\
& \leq \frac{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}}{-(\varsigma-1)\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{2}}+\frac{p_{2} g_{i}^{T} t_{i}}{-(\mathrm{s}-1)\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{2}}\left(\frac{6\left(p_{1} \omega-p_{2} \omega\right)}{(1-\varsigma)}+2 \omega\right)  \tag{37}\\
& \leq \frac{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}}{-(\mathrm{s}-1)\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{2}} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

by squaring (38), we have $\left(\beta_{i}^{\wedge}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}}{-(\mathrm{s}-1) t_{i} \|^{2}}\right)^{2}$ and sub it in (35):

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\left\|t_{i+1}\right\|^{2}}{\left(g_{i+1}^{T} t_{i+1}\right)^{2}} & \leq \frac{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{4}}{(-(\mathrm{s}-1))^{2}\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{4}} \cdot \frac{\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{2}}{\left(g_{i+1}^{T} t_{i+1}\right)^{2}}+\frac{1}{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{2}}+\frac{1}{\left\|g_{i+1}\right\|^{2}}=\frac{1}{D_{1}}+\frac{1}{\bar{\gamma}}=D_{2} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\left\|t_{1}\right\|^{2}=-g_{1}^{T} t_{1}=\left\|g_{1}\right\|^{2}$ by noting that $\frac{\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{2}}{\left(g_{0}^{T} t_{0}\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{\left\|t_{i}\right\|^{2}}$, then (39) yields that:

$$
\frac{\left\|t_{i+1}\right\|^{2}}{\left(g_{i+1}^{T} t_{i+1}\right)^{2}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\left\|g_{i}\right\|^{2}} \quad \forall k \rightarrow \frac{1}{D_{2}} \sum_{k \geq 1} 1=\infty
$$

this contradiction to Zoutendijk condition and with this contradiction, we complete the proof of the theorem.

## 5. NUMERICAL FACTS

The primary goal of this work is to compire and compute the proposed method's execution for a set of test functions against the well-known HS routine. These test experiments were collected by Andrei [26]. We select (20) large-scale test problems and consider two dimensions ( $\mathrm{n}=100$, $\mathrm{n}=1000$ ) for each test.

The stop criterion is $\left\|g_{i+1}\right\| \leq 10^{-6}$, all codes were written in Fortran 90 . We denote the number of iterations as (NuI) and ( NuF ), ( NuR ) as the number of evaluation functions and restarts. All of these results are reported in the Table 1 while the percentage performance with respect to ( NuI ), ( NuF ) and ( NuR ) is denoted as $92.75 \%, 72.53 \%, 61.71 \%$ respectively.

Table 1. Numerical results of a new algorithm

| Functions | dim | $\beta_{i}$ |  |  | HS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extended Beale |  | NuI | NuR | NuF | NuI | NuR | NuF |
|  | 100 | 14 | 8 | 26 | 13 | 7 | 26 |
|  | 1000 | 17 | 10 | 32 | 17 | 10 | 32 |
| Penalty | 100 | 9 | 6 | 25 | 9 | 6 | 25 |
|  | 1000 | 22 | 13 | 47 | 61 | 53 | 1290 |
| Diagonal 2 | 100 | 58 | 21 | 101 | 61 | 19 | 103 |
|  | 1000 | 204 | 69 | 351 | 207 | 59 | 339 |
| Generalized Tridiagonal 1 | 100 | 22 | 6 | 44 | 22 | 6 | 44 |
|  | 1000 | 27 | 12 | 50 | 27 | 134 | 50 |
| Extended Tridiagonal 1 | 100 | 7 | 4 | 15 | 7 | 4 | 15 |
|  | 1000 | 13 | 7 | 26 | 13 | 7 | 26 |
| Extended Three Expo Terms | 100 | 17 | 9 | 25 | 18 | 10 | 26 |
|  | 1000 | 14 | 9 | 25 | 13 | 7 | 24 |
| Generalized Tridiagonal 2 | 100 | 41 | 15 | 61 | 41 | 15 | 61 |
|  | 1000 | 52 | 20 | 83 | 62 | 23 | 97 |
| Diagonal 4 | 100 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
|  | 1000 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| Extended Powell | 100 | 55 | 18 | 103 | 56 | 19 | 104 |
|  | 1000 | 79 | 22 | 149 | 76 | 26 | 140 |
| Quadratic Diagonal Perturbed | 100 | 49 | 10 | 89 | 73 | 18 | 129 |
|  | 1000 | 182 | 29 | 324 | 193 | 31 | 343 |
| Extended Wood WOODS | 100 | 23 | 8 | 46 | 23 | 8 | 46 |
|  | 1000 | 24 | 10 | 47 | 25 | 10 | 49 |
| Himmelbh | 100 | 6 | 3 | 13 | 6 | 3 | 13 |
|  | 1000 | 6 | 3 | 13 | 6 | 3 | 13 |
| Nondia | 100 | 15 | 8 | 30 | 16 | 8 | 31 |
|  | 1000 | 11 | 6 | 22 | 12 | 7 | 25 |
| Dqdrtic | 100 | 6 | 1 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 15 |
|  | 1000 | 7 | 1 | 15 | 7 | 1 | 15 |
| Dixmaanb | 100 | 10 | 10 | 18 | 10 | 10 | 18 |
|  | 1000 | 11 | 11 | 19 | 11 | 11 | 19 |
| Liarwhd | 100 | 17 | 10 | 31 | 17 | 10 | 31 |
|  | 1000 | 22 | 11 | 47 | 23 | 12 | 52 |
| Extended Block-Diagonal BD2 | 100 | 11 | 7 | 21 | 11 | 7 | 21 |
|  | 1000 | 12 | 8 | 24 | 12 | 8 | 24 |
| Diagonal 7 | 100 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
|  | 1000 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 11 |
| Generalized quartic GQ2 | 100 | 36 | 14 | 57 | 37 | 13 | 57 |
|  | 1000 | 33 | 11 | 53 | 31 | 9 | 55 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Denschna } & \\ & \text { Total }\end{array}$ | 100 | 9 | 6 | 17 | 9 | 6 | 17 |
|  | 1000 | 9 | 6 | 18 | 9 | 6 | 18 |
|  |  | 1165 | 433 | 2116 | 1256 | 597 | 3429 |

## 6. CONCLUSION

We have suggest a recent memoryless algorithm depending on scaling the (BFGS) formula. Where this newly method produces a sufficient descent direction while this property depends on the type of line search that is used in the algorithm which is important. We proposed the global convergence and the numerical results which are produced in the previous section showing the percentage of the method efficiency.
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