Load balancing in cloud computing: an analytical review and proposal

Santosh Kumar Maurya, Garima Sinha

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, School of Engineering and Technology, IIMT University Meerut, India

| Article Info | ABSTRACT | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Article history: | Task scheduling or mapping of requests to machines with spe | | | | | |
| Received Nov 12, 2021 Revised Mar 24, 2022 Accepted Apr 8, 2022 | requirements becomes a very important problem to get optimized result With the requests of many users, the machine can go under differ conditions such as heavy load and minimum load. These various aspects lead to system failure and loss of data. Hence the load must be balanced beat the losses of the system. A load balancing concept described h | | | | | |
| Keywords: | different types of loads could be balanced with each machine. The load can be of memory, computation, and network. The paper mainly focuses on all | | | | | |
| Cloud computing Load balancing Task scheduling Virtualization | kinds of load to provide a big view for load balancing in cloud computing Along with a review of all load balancing techniques, the paper is als presenting a proposal to balance the load with decision theory. The propose paper introduces the basic techniques and types for load balancing and the implications for innovation. | | | | | |
| | This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license | | | | | |



Corresponding Author:

Santosh Kumar Maurya Department of Computer Science and Engineering, School of Engineering and Technology **IIMT** University Meerut Mawana Rd, O Pocket, Ganga Nagar, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh 250001, India Email: santoshcse200@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION 1.

Communication within a network is the most important stage of information exchange. It is also showing the exponential growth of networking. The Internet is a primary aspect of building networks within multiple nodes for effective communication. The Internet is the basic prerequisite for the cloud computing process. This process provides an accurate data transmission across channels without any delay and quality communication with a check log. Cloud computing influenced the user by providing multiple services like platform, software, and infrastructure at a time. By combining these services, a complete project module can be implemented to perform tasks based on the needs of the users.

The services deal with on-demand requirements, as software can be selected by requesting software scheduled on-demand. For the platform, the prescribed application has to be selected as an on-demand platform to run. Finally, the infrastructure service can be availed with the pre-requested demand of fixed infrastructure [1]. Apart from these services, many more customized services are introduced in cloud computing such as security as a service, trust as a service, quality as a service. Figure 1 is showing these services that are specified for the pre-described application only. The pre-detail of the required information is maintained by the broker in the form of a service level agreement for the user. This agreement enshrines a commitment with the user for their requested services.

Cloud computing becomes an essential bridge for some major IT sectors such as international business machines (IBM), Amazon, Microsoft, to perform confidential tasks. By pooling these three deployment models, multinational companies (MNCs) are able to enhance their facilities for embedded and advanced execution. In addition, cloud computing is providing a resource pool through which people can get the necessary resources for their implementation. Cloud computing is providing essential services in terms of basics and customization. The customization of the services is systematically designed by respected researchers to keep up with the growth. These services are designed on the basis of specifications such that the trust as a service satisfies the trust factor between the service provider and the user. It can accept any measurement factor like reliability, accuracy.

The process of providing service is completed by a broker named cloud service provider (CSP). It works between the user and the system. The broker takes the request from the user and processes it to reach the higher level i.e., application level. After the request reaches the upper layer, the server is now active to meet the execution of the incoming requirement. The behavior of the broker should always be good as there are many requests with different requirements that come every second as the technology progresses. Hence it is a very difficult task for the broker to maintain the entire request landscape from the bottom to the top level. From the point of view of precise performance, the load should be uniformly optimized for the machine. According to the proper definition of load balancing, it is a method of evenly distributing workloads in a shared system such that no computing system should be overtired or ideal in a cloud computing process. Load balancing tries to speed up the working of the system with various constraints like execution time, makespan, system durability. The most relevant term used in cloud systems, known as cloudlets. This is a basic requirement for starting a computing process that has the relevant characteristics for the concept of the task scheduling. Virtual machines and physical devices are typically used to initiate the cloudlet processing. Load balancing works with virtual network addresses, when the address is found, the data packets move from the sender to the destination address within a limited time period. The process moves from the origin to the last node, filtering out the necessary resources. This interruption delay is avoided if the system finds the network address in the pre-requisites.



Figure 1. Cloud services

2. RELATED WORK

Existing load balancing techniques are defined by this section with a detailed classification of balancers and the measurement factors to calculate system performance for useful evaluation in the context of load. Through multinational organizations, load balancers are classified into four terms which are represented by Figure 2. Active end user level application balancer, which handles the problem related to the type of software applications supported with the help of hypertext transfer protocol (HTTP)/hypertext transfer protocol secure (HTTPS). It operates with the help of dynamic node port mapping of data packets in a collaborative network [2]. With the help of transport control protocol (TCP) network load balancer is able to process millions of requirements per second with uniform load distributed within the respective network. It also works on dynamic load balancing theory. The classic load balancer rules that satisfy the pre-requisites for the actual mapping between the container instance port and the load balancing of a system. It accumulates surveillance towards prevention and detection of systems from unauthorized attacks [3]. It monitors compatibility for deploying software, manages virtual implementations and finally checks the transferred data packets. The variety of latest load balancing work is detailed through Table 1 mentioned here. The table

Load balancing in cloud computing: an analytical review and proposal (Santosh Kumar Maurya)

will explore the findings of existing load balancing techniques that will be viable for future papers as a valuable investigation to achieve their research objective.

Generally, in load balancing the system has two categories to perform well i.e., static and dynamic. Static load balancing works with two phases: the start of a task and the availability of resources for a particular task. Dynamic load balancing is another category of load balancing. It works with the running environment of a dynamic process. This ensures the number of resources, which will also be available in the dynamic method for the next task.

According to the findings of the latest analysis for load balancing research, most papers have been prepared for survey and review. These papers show a comprehensive analysis for researchers to come up with some new analytical techniques. Instead, some papers also showed a proposal for better load balancing techniques. This paper also tries to meet the market motivation by introducing an innovation decision technique to balance the request for good customization.

Figure 3 is trying to show the latest scenario on load balancing with different publishers. It concludes that most of the publications expressing the detailed analysis and review only. But market needs some innovation and new algorithm to run the end users' request within the factor of quality measures. In this figure, publishers classified as: i) Elsevier; ii) Springer; iii) IEEE; and iv) others.

The next section is coming up with some new ideas i.e., decision theory concept. The principle proved to be the right decision to make the request go smoothly. For inspiration, a mix tool will work for performing cloud computing tasks. For this, different types of workloads will also have to be submitted. Load equity includes several factors such as: strong spec caliber must be present to calibrate heavy loads. Communication network efficiency must be accomplished by executing multiple types of loads along different channels. Customizable tasks will proceed smoothly as the complexity of similar types of tasks continues to grow. Since IoT comes with some development of technology, it has to bear all kinds of load. Embedded cloud hosting is running for multi-specialty programs. Security is a major concern for dynamic real-world execution environments. The financial support will look for multi-tenant applications to handle heavy loads with various extensions. Elastic feature for scaled to run nice with latest technology.



Figure 2. Classification for load balancer



Figure 3. Findings from the survey

| Table 1. Latest research publication on load balancing in cloud computing | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Ref | Type of the paper | Proposal/technique | Year | Publisher | Outcome | |
| [4] | Review paper | - | 2019 | Springer | Detailed encyclopedic review for load balancing | |
| [5] | Technical original | VM allocation based on | 2020 | Other | Reduction in user request response time and data | |
| [6] | paper Review paper | - | 2019 | Other | Study of various hybrid load balancing algorithms | |
| [7] | Technical original paper | Energetic neighbor selection for scheduling | 2019 | Other | In cloud computing environment Improved network performance and energy efficiency in terms of throughput, packet delivery, | |
| [8] | Technical original article | and transmission Adding more nodes without the need to increase the power of each node | 2019 | Other | delay, packet loss, and remaining energy Reduction in throughput and response time | |
| [9] | Technical original article | Randomization and greedy load balancing algorithm | 2019 | Other | Reduction in cost, response time, and data processing time | |
| [10] | Technical original article | Throttled modified algorithm | 2018 | Other | Reduction in response times and processing time | |
| [11] | Review paper | Developed an effective load reconciliation algorithmic rule mistreatment divisible load programming theorem | 2017 | Other | Shown improved performance in terms of throughput and latency | |
| [12] | Review paper | - | 2018 | Other | Shown optimized load on cloud servers along with a proposed work based on clustering algorithm | |
| [13] | Review paper | Particle swarm optimization | 2019 | Other | Compare a particle swarm optimization technique with round robin, ant colony, and honeybee foraging load balancing algorithm | |
| [14] | Technical original article | Genetic algorithm is improved for VM migration | 2017 | Other | Reduced the execution time-space and bandwidth utilization | |
| [15] | Review and proposal | Problems and need for a novel balancing algorithm that employs fault tolerance metrics | 2020 | IEEE Access | Critical study of existing techniques of LB with various parameters such as throughput, performance, migration time, response time, overhead, resource usage, scalability, fault tolerance and power savings | |
| [16] | Review paper | Various standard load balancing techniques and challenges | 2019 | Other | better utilization and better understand for users' needs | |
| [17] | Review paper | Various load balancing techniques in a static, dynamic, and nature- inspired | 2021 | Elsevier | Addressed the data center response time and overall performance | |
| [18] | Review paper | Taxonomy for the load balancing algorithms | 2018 | Elsevier | Analyzed the performance of heuristic-based algorithms through cloudSim | |
| [19] | Review paper | - | 2020 | Other | Shown the matrices that affect the load balancing algorithm | |
| [20] | Review paper | - | 2020 | Other | detailed study on the different load balancing algorithms | |
| [21] | Review paper | - | 2019 | IEEE | Surveyed the comparison and contrast of various loads balancing policy | |
| [22] | Review paper | - | 2019 | Springer | Shown the concept for reducing energy consumption and response time | |
| [23] | Review paper | - | 2019 | Other | Shown conceptual model for efficient resource management and reliability for cloud services | |
| [24] | Review and proposal | Concentrate on scheduling at the local and global level for optimal use of computing elements | 2018 | Other | Proposed method (decider, threshold based), with various parameters such as RAM, CPU consumption, network traffic statistics | |
| [25] | Review paper | Load balancing techniques categorized | 2021 | Elsevier | Hidden Markov Model helps in better CPU utilization as well as faster execution environment | |
| [26] | Review paper | - | 2018 | Springer | Enhanced the efficiency and reliability | |
| [27] | Review paper | Stack modifying | 2021 | Other | Resource utilization | |

3. METHOD

A tree mechanism for balancing the load is shown in most of the papers. Because a tree tries to balance the load on either side of the center of the root. Agreeing with the tree concept, some other theories are also capable of doing the distribution of work with equitable division such as decision theory. Continuing with the proposed concept, it operates with two variables, either 1 or 0. With large amounts of data, applications are picked up from a pool of applications. The nature or basics of each application are already determined at the time of incoming requests or registration of applications. The predefined system automatically rejects applications if it is found to be inappropriate for the configured system. And then a new machine is quickly setup to take that into account, as the application requires. The objective of the innovation system is to meet all incoming and registered requests as soon as possible so as to achieve useful results for the development of new technology or methods.

Figure 4 serves as a landscape view. Many applications are to be processed. A load balancer will allocate applications to different servers according to its configuration and capacity. A greater number of applications would be needed to accommodate the highly capable servers. The execution process will process the shorter length task with the lower configured system. Sometimes requests have to wait for execution or the machine is not found, this usually happens when there is no space in the machine to execute more applications. Then a threshold value is to be set which will indicate that it is either 0 or 1. If the server is close to reaching the ideal state, it will be 1 and show that the machine is still working and there is no room for more application. But if the value reaches 0 in a very short time, this means, now the machine is able to run the next application. The length of application (MI) will be the only factor to calculate the size of the application machine usage count (MUC). But the system configuration will be measured with the help of various parameters like bandwidth Mbps, memory (usually GB), size instruction count (IC) and speed million instructions per second (MIPS). In order to obtain the best mapping of applications to the appropriate machine, the fitness measured must be in the calculation.



Figure 4. Load balancer

An attempt is made to propose a decision-based load-balancer (DLB) model in the paper. And it also tries to address the gaps, which are generally covered in current research papers. Such references are already described above in existing concepts.

A stable system is recognized by its functionality. It should achieve 100% accuracy in the results. Still, no system is capable of performing 100% accurate. With regard to this issue a process is being initiated through DLB to get the best results with as little as possible. The proposed system will show answer in only two states i.e., 1 or 0. A range value will only be set between 0 and 1. When the system is in the ON state, the value will be initially set to 1 and the variation will be noted until the 0 value is reached.

For better performance a fitness value must be calculated at every moment (mainly: new application come and in waiting state) to check the load state of a system. Mapping of n number of applications should be allotted to the appropriate and ideal machine. A counter has to be set to monitoring the queue for coming applications. If counter found application in a queue, then fitness count will be measured of the system and if the value found 0 then the coming application will get executed. Top layer in Figure 5 shows that this time system is fully occupied with the load. In same figure, lower stages showing that system is now available to take more applications into account as it reached to 0.

ISSN: 2502-4752



Figure 5. System load

Initially fitness value variable introduced as (FV) and initially counter C is set to be at 0 value. An application came into queue, counter C will get update its value as 1. Now FV will measure the machine state value from 1 to 0. Table 2 summarizes every possible system stage with its outcome. To calculate the fitness value for ith application and jth machine, an expected executed time (EET) has to be measured for a single application/process. Then it will collaborate with the decision value (DV), and finally the proposed system will be into execution.

$$DVij = = System stage is 11 (Specified)$$
(1)

$$FV = \sum_{i=0}^{n} DV_{ij} \times EET_{ij}$$
⁽²⁾

Let's say FV will get the value near any stage then the result will be found in the table. Using (1) and (2), the value will definitely go from 1 to 0 because the pre-requisites of EET are to be measured by measuring the length of the task before the start of execution. In recent studies, several load-balancers have been taken into account. However, they all have some benefits and some limitations as well. Some are only capable of balancing the network load and some are only for hardware load balancing. So, the balancer introduced in the past was specified only with certain specified conditions. The concept of decision-based load-balancer enables balancing of loads with multiple requirements with the help of decision and fitness theory.

| System stages | Counter | Fitness value | Outcome |
|---------------|---------|---------------|---------------|
| 1 | 1 | 1 | no allocation |
| 2 | 1 | 0.9 | no allocation |
| 3 | 1 | 0.8 | no allocation |
| 4 | 1 | 0.7 | no allocation |
| 5 | 1 | 0.6 | no allocation |
| 6 | 1 | 0.5 | no allocation |
| 7 | 1 | 0.4 | no allocation |
| 8 | 1 | 0.3 | no allocation |
| 9 | 1 | 0.2 | no allocation |
| 10 | 1 | 0.1 | no allocation |
| 11 | 1 | 0 | allocation |
| - | 0 | - | - |

Table 2. System-load-output with different fitness values

4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A useful method allows the task to satisfy the need in order to satisfy the customer. What factors affected taking into account load balancing are listed here. User request response time, data processing time, network performance, energy efficiency in terms of throughput; packet delivery, delay, packet loss and remaining energy; cost, latency, execution time-space, bandwidth usage, migration time, overhead, resource utilization, scalability, fault tolerance and power saving, energy consumption, reliability. In cloud computing, load balancing is considered the most difficult challenge. Dependent on this factor alone, the organization and service provider think before taking any request or contract from any user. The proposed decision principle also helps in decision making of the request. The percentage value of the factors affected by load balancing is applied here. Table 3 is showing actual uses of load balancing techniques.

Looking at analytical data, more attention is being paid to response time and resource utilization factors. The rest are achieving normal ranking by implementing other load balancing. Since user response time should always be the first in evaluation all functioning and value of a demanding market is really dependent on this factor alone. Effective fault tolerance load balancing adaptability, availability and meets all the mentioned factors.

| No. | Factor name | Ref. |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | User request response time | [5], [9], [10], [15], [17], [22] |
| 2 | Data processing time | [5], [9], [10] |
| 3 | Network performance | [7], [15], [17] |
| 4 | Energy efficiency (throughput, packet delivery, delay, packet loss, and remaining energy) | [7], [11], [15], [26] |
| 5 | Cost | [9] |
| 6 | Latency | [11] |
| 7 | Execution time-space | [14] |
| 8 | Bandwidth usage | [14] |
| 9 | Migration time | [15] |
| 10 | Overhead | [15] |
| 11 | Resource utilization | [15], [16], [23], [25], [27] |
| 12 | Scalability | [15] |
| 13 | Fault tolerance | [15] |
| 14 | Power saving | [15] |
| 15 | Energy consumption | [22], [24] |
| 16 | Reliability | [23], [26] |

| Table 3 | Factors | affected | hv | load | hal | ancino |
|----------|---------|----------|----|------|-----|--------|
| Table 5. | raciors | anceicu | UY | IOau | Uai | anding |

5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The study described a clear approach to a holistic balancing technique. It tolerates all types of inputs and processes it with a uniform balancer concept with effective decision principle for absolute satisfaction rate either it is 100% or 0%. Furthermore, the paper reflects the current perspective of load balancing towards research and innovation. Load balancing affects many measurement factors of the machine such as makespan, throughput, accuracy, predictability, observation, overhead, and reliability. In order to accomplish a true performance appraisal, the required factors must be processed with some more effective techniques for load-balancing. This line is also welcome for future work by respected researchers that along with more analysis, some new results and actual implementations should also appear in upcoming publications.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to my supervisor Dr. Garima Sinha. She supported me in all respect for my bright future. Special thanks to IIMT University for providing a good platform for my higher studies.

REFERENCES

- R. B. Bohn, J. Messina, F. Liu, J. Tong, and J. Mao, "NIST cloud computing reference architecture," in 2011 IEEE World Congress on Services, 2011, pp. 594-596, doi: 10.1109/SERVICES.2011.105.
- [2] N. Bansal and M. Dutta, "Performance evaluation of task scheduling with priority and non-priority in cloud computing," in 2014 IEEE International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Computing Research, 2014, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/ICCIC.2014.7238289.
- [3] S. Dam, G. Mandal, K. Dasgupta, and P. Dutta, "Genetic algorithm and gravitational emulation based hybrid load balancing strategy in cloud computing," in *Proceedings of the 2015 Third International Conference on Computer, Communication, Control* and Information Technology (C3IT), 2015, pp. 1-7, doi: 10.1109/C3IT.2015.7060176.
- S. Afzal and G. Kavitha, "Load balancing in cloud computing-A hierarchical taxonomical classification," *Journal of Cloud Computing* vol. 8, no. 22, 2019, doi: 10.1186/s13677-019-0146-7.
- [5] B. P. Mulla, C. R. Krishna, and R. K. Tickoo, "Load balancing algorithm for efficient VM allocation in heterogeneous cloud," International Journal of Computer Networks & Communications (IJCNC), vol. 12, no. 1, 2020, doi: 10.5121/ijcnc.2020.12106.
- [6] C. I. Chukwuneke, H. C. Inyiama, S. Amaefule, M. O. Onyesolu, and D. C. Asogwa, "Review of hybrid load balancing algorithms in cloud computing environment," *International Journal of Trend in Research and Development (IJTRD)*, vol. 6, no. 6, 2019, doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2202.13181.
- [7] K. Venkatachalapathy and D. Sundaranarayana, "A min-max scheduling load balanced approach to enhance energy efficiency and performance of mobile adhoc networks," *International Journal of Computer Networks & Communications (IJCNC)*, vol. 11, no. 3, 2019, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3416460.
- [8] E. Daraghmi and Y.-A. Daraghmi, "Advanced diffusion approach to dynamic load-balancing for cloud storage," *International Journal of Distributed and Parallel Systems (IJDPS)*, vol. 10, no. 2/3, 2019, doi: 10.5121/ijdps.2019.10301.
- [9] D. F. Altayeb and F. A. Mustafa, "Analysis on load balancing algorithms implementation on cloud computing environment," International Journal of Innovative Research in Advanced Engineering (IJIRAE), vol. 6, pp. 32-36, 2019.

- [10] N. X. Phi, C. T. Tin, L. N. K. Thu, and T. C. Hung, "Proposed load balancing algorithm to reduce response time and processing time on cloud computing," *International Journal of Computer Networks and Communications (IJCNC)*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 87-98, 2018, doi: 10.5121/ijcnc.2018.10307.
- [11] H. Sharma and G. S. Sekhon, "A review on load balancing in cloud using enhanced genetic algorithm," *International Journal of Computer Engineering & Technology (IJCET)*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 23–29, 2017.
- [12] S. K. Upadhyay, A. Bhattacharya, S. Arya, and T. Singh, "Load optimization in cloud computing using clustering: a survey," *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)*, vol. 5, no. 4, 2018.
- [13] S. Revathi, A. Rizwan, and N. Anusha, "Optimization of load balancing in cloud using swarm intelligence: A survey," International Journal of Current Engineering and Scientific Research, vol. 6, no. 6, 2019, doi: 10.21276/ijcesr.2019.6.6.29.
- [14] H. Sharma and G. S. Sekhon, "Load balancing in cloud using enhanced genetic algorithm," *International Journal of Innovations & Advancement in Computer Science (IJIACS)*, vol. 6, no. 1, 2017.
- [15] M. A. Shahid, N. Islam, M. M. Alam, M. M. Su'ud, and S. Musa, "A comprehensive study of load balancing approaches in the cloud computing environment and a novel fault tolerance approach," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 130500-130526, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3009184.
- [16] K. Samunnisa, G. S. V. Kumar, and K. Madhavi, "A circumscribed research of load balancing techniques in cloud computing," Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP), vol. 8, no. 6S4, pp. 336-339, 2019.
- [17] D. A. Shafiq, N. Z. Jhanjhi, and A. Abdullah, "Load balancing techniques in cloud computing environment: A review," *Journal of King Saud University Computer and Information Sciences*, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jksuci.2021.02.007.
- [18] S. K. Mishra, B. Sahoo, and P. P. Parida, "Load balancing in cloud computing: A big picture," *Journal of King Saud University Computer and Information Sciences*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 149-158, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jksuci.2018.01.003.
- [19] P. Arora and A. Dixit, "An optimized load balancing algorithm in cloud computing," International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (IJEAT), vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 683-688, 2020, doi: 10.35940/ijeat.E9242.069520.
- [20] A. Jaiswal and A. Fernandes, "Load balancing algorithms for cloud computing environment," International Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 59-67, 2020.
- [21] A. Jyoti, M. Shrimali, and R. Mishra, "Cloud computing and load balancing in cloud computing -survey," in 2019 9th International Conference on Cloud Computing, Data Science & Engineering (Confluence), 2019, pp. 51-55, doi: 10.1109/CONFLUENCE.2019.8776948.
- [22] S. M. Shetty and S. Shetty, "Analysis of load balancing in cloud data centers," Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s12652-018-1106-7.
- [23] B. Mallikarjuna and A. K. R. Doddi, "The role of load balancing algorithms in next generation of cloud computing," *Journal of Advanced Research in Dynamical and Control Systems*, vol. 11, no. 7, 2019.
- [24] A. Stephen and D. Ravindran, "Survey on load balancing in cloud computing," International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science Applications and Management Studies, vol. 7, no. 3pp. 37-47, 2017.
- [25] N. Bansal and A. K. Singh, "Grey wolf optimized task scheduling algorithm in cloud computing," Frontiers in Intelligent Computing: Theory and Applications, 2019, doi: 10.1007/978-981-32-9186-7_16.
- [26] N. Bansal and A. K. Singh, "Trust for task scheduling in cloud computing unfold it through fruit congenial," *Networking Communication and Data Knowledge Engineering*, pp. 41–48, 2018, doi: 10.1007/978-981-10-4600-1_4.
- [27] A Jain, "Study on load balancing algorithms and performance metrics in cloud computing," International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 1939-1942, 2021.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS



Santosh Kumar Maurya D S S D is currently pursuing PhD from IIMT University, Meerut, India. He is also working as an assistant professor in IIMT University. He has done B. tech in 2000 and M. Tech in 2013 from computer science engineering. His research interest is cloud computing. He can be contacted at email: santoshcse200@gmail.com.



Dr. Garima Sinha (D) (S) (S) (C) is a Software Engineer and researcher. Her 20 years of teaching career, she worked 6 years in African University as Professor as well as researcher. She has been occupying herself in Journal editorial system nationally and internationally. Her area of research is Cloud Computing, Database and IOT. She presented so many papers in International Conference and she published research paper in reputed journals as well. She can be contacted at email: mailatgarima@yahoo.co.in.