

Lebesgue-type Inequality for Orthogonal Matching Pursuit for μ -coherent Dictionaries

Ye Peixin*, Wei Xiujie

School of Mathematics and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

*corresponding author, e-mail: yepx@nankai.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the efficiency of some kind of Greedy Algorithms with respect to dictionaries from Hilbert spaces. We establish ideal Lebesgue-type inequality for Orthogonal Matching Pursuit also known in literature as the Orthogonal Greedy Algorithm for μ -coherent dictionaries. We show that the Orthogonal Matching Pursuit provides an almost optimal approximation on the first $\lceil 1/20\mu \rceil$.

Keywords: orthogonal matching pursuit (OMP), orthogonal greedy algorithms, best m -term, Lebesgue-type inequalities, dictionaries

Copyright © 2013 Universitas Ahmad Dahlan. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this paper we continue to study the convergence of greedy algorithms with regards to dictionaries with small coherence (see [1-9]). The purpose of the research of approximation with regard to incoherent dictionaries was to apply in compressed sensing. In [1, 8, 10, 11], it was shown that the Orthogonal Matching Pursuit is effective for signal recovering. In this paper, we will discuss this problem from the point of view of Approximation Theory. We obtain upper estimate for the error of approximation by OMP in terms of the error of the best m -term approximation. This article is a development of recent results obtains by Eugene Livshitz in [12].

Let us recall the standard definitions of Greedy Algorithms. We say that a set D from a Hilbert Space H is a dictionary if

$$\phi \in D \Rightarrow \|\phi\| = 1, \text{ and } \overline{\text{span}D} = H.$$

The coherence of a dictionary is defined as

$$\mu := \sup_{\phi, \psi \in D, \phi \neq \psi} |\langle \phi, \psi \rangle| \quad (1)$$

Dictionaries with coherence μ are called μ -coherent.

ORTHOGONAL MATCHING PURSUIT (OMP) Set $f_0 := f \in H$, and $OMP_0(f, D) := 0$.

For each $m \geq 0$, we can find a $g_{m+1} \in D$ such that

$$|\langle f_m, g_{m+1} \rangle| = \sup_{g \in D} |\langle f_m, g \rangle|,$$

and define

$$OMP_{m+1}(f, D) := Proj_{\text{span}(g_1, \dots, g_{m+1})}(f),$$

$$f_{m+1} := f - OMP_{m+1}(f, D).$$

The best m -term approximation for a function $f \in H$, is defined as

$$\sigma_m(f) := \sigma_m(f, D) := \inf_{c_i \in \mathbb{R}, \phi_i \in D, 1 \leq i \leq m} \left\| f - \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \phi_i \right\|.$$

Suppose that dictionary D is μ -coherent and $m < \frac{1}{2}(\mu^{-1} + 1)$. It is well known (see [3,4]) that if $f \in H$ is m -sparse, that is $\sigma_m(f, D) = 0$, then

$$f = OMP_m(f, D). \quad (2)$$

Moreover, Temlyakov and Zheltov showed that if $m > \frac{1}{2}(\mu^{-1} + 1)$, then equality (2) does not hold for all μ -coherent dictionaries D and all m -sparse f :

$$\begin{aligned} \exists D, m \geq \frac{1}{2}(\mu(D)^{-1} + 1), f \in H : \left\| f - OMP_m(f, D) \right\| > 0, \\ \sigma_m(f, D) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Following Temlyakov, we recall results of *Lebesgue type inequalities* which connecting the error of Greedy approximation and the best m -term approximation. These inequalities do hold not for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, but only for $m \leq C(\mu)$; they provide an estimate for the quality of approximation of $A(m)$ iteration of OMP by the best m -term approximation:

$$\left\| f - OMP_{A(m)}(f, D) \right\| \leq B(m) \sigma_m(f, D), m \leq C(\mu) \quad (4)$$

with some $A(m) \in \mathbb{N}$, $B(m), C(\mu) \in \mathbb{R}$.

The first Lebesgue type inequality for Greedy Algorithms was obtained by Gilbert et al. in [3] They established (4) for an optimal $A(m) := m$, an order-optimal

$$C(\mu) = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2\mu}} - 1,$$

and fast growing

$$B(m) := 8m^{1/2}.$$

Donoho et al. [2] obtained inequality (4) with optimal (up to a constant factor) $B(m)=24$, but not optimal $A(m) := \lfloor m \log m \rfloor$ and $C(\mu) = \frac{1}{20\mu^{2/3}}$.

Temlyakov and Zheltov [10] proved inequality (4) with $A(m) := m \lfloor 2^{\sqrt{\log m}} \rfloor$, $B(m) := 3$ and $C(\mu)$, which guarantees inequality $m 2^{\sqrt{2 \log m}} \leq \frac{1}{26\mu}$. In other words, they proved

Theorem1. For every μ -coherent dictionary D and any function $f \in H$,

$$\left\| f - OMP_{m \lfloor 2^{\sqrt{\log m}} \rfloor}(f, D) \right\| \leq 3 \sigma_m(f, D), \text{ if } m 2^{\sqrt{2 \log m}} \leq \frac{1}{26\mu}.$$

Recently Eugene Livshitz [6] prove (4) with $A(m) := 2m, B(m) := 2.7, C(\mu) = \frac{1}{20\mu}$. In other words, he proved which guarantees inequality $m2^{\sqrt{2\log m}} \leq \frac{1}{26\mu}$. In other words, they proved

Theorem 2. For every μ -coherent dictionary D and any function $f \in H$,

$$\|f - OMP_{2m}(f, D)\| \leq 2.7\sigma_m(f, D)$$

for all $1 \leq m \leq \frac{1}{20\mu}$.

The aim of this paper is to prove (4) with

$$A(m) := 2m, \quad B(m) := 2.47(1 + \varepsilon), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad C(\mu) = \frac{1}{20\mu}, \quad (5)$$

and thereby improves above result.

Theorem 3. For every μ -coherent dictionary D and any function $f \in H$, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\|f_{2m} - f - OMP_{2m}(f, D)\| \leq 2.47(1 + \varepsilon)\sigma_m(f, D)$$

for all

$$1 \leq m \leq \frac{1}{20\mu}.$$

2. Preliminary lemmas

By conditions of Theorem 3, we have

$$\mu \leq m\mu \leq 1/20. \quad (6)$$

We use several standard lemmas to prove Theorem 3.

Lemma 1. For any $n, 1 \leq n \leq 2m$, and

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \phi_i, \quad c_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \phi_i \in D,$$

we have

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\langle h, \phi_i \rangle| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |c_i| (1 + 2m\mu), \quad (7)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\langle h, \phi_i \rangle| \geq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |c_i| [1 - (2m - 1)\mu], \quad (8)$$

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |c_i| \leq K_1 \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\langle h, \phi_i \rangle|, \quad (9)$$

where

$$K_1 := \frac{1}{1 - (2m-1)\mu} \leq \frac{10}{9+10\mu} \leq \frac{10}{9} \quad (10)$$

proof . For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, using (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h, \phi_i \rangle &= \langle c_i \phi_i, \phi_i \rangle + \left\langle \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, i \neq j} c_j \phi_j, \phi_i \right\rangle \\ &\leq c_i + (n-1) (\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |c_i|) \mu \\ &\leq c_i + (\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |c_i|) 2m\mu \\ &\leq (\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |c_i|) (1 + 2m\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Then we get inequality (7).

Similarly

$$\langle h, \phi_i \rangle \geq c_i - (n-1) (\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |c_i|) \mu$$

This imply inequality (8).

Inequality (9) follows from (8).

As a consequence of Lemma 1, we derive the following lemma.

Lemma 2. Let $n \leq 2m$, $h \in H$, $\phi_i \in D$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose that

$$Proj_{span(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)}(h) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \phi_i.$$

Then

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |c_i| \leq K_1 \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\langle h, \phi_i \rangle|.$$

proof .Set

$$h' = Proj_{span(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)}(h) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \phi_i.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\langle h, \phi_i \rangle = \langle h', \phi_i \rangle, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Thus the lemma follows from inequality (9) for h' .

For $n \geq 1$ we define

$$d_n := \langle f_{n-1}, g_n \rangle. \quad (11)$$

Let number $x_{i,n}$, $n \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ satisfy the equality

$$f_n = f_{n-1} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,n} g_i. \quad (12)$$

The following lemma tell us how the value of $x_{i,n}$ depends on the coherence of the dictionary.

Lemma 3. For any $n \leq 2m$, we can get the following estimates :

$$|x_{i,n}| \leq K_1 \mu |d_n|, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad (13)$$

$$|x_{n,n} - d_n| \leq K_1 \mu |d_n|. \quad (14)$$

proof .By the definition of OMP

$$f_l := f - OMP_l(f, D) = f - Proj_{span(g_1, \dots, g_l)}(f).$$

Then we can see

$$\langle f_l, g_i \rangle = 0, 1 \leq i \leq l. \quad (15)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f_n &= f_{n-1} - Proj_{span(g_1, \dots, g_n)}(f_{n-1}) \\ &= f_{n-1} - d_n g_n - Proj_{span(g_1, \dots, g_n)}(f_{n-1} - d_n g_n). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Using (1) and (15) we have for $h := f_{n-1} - d_n g_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle h, g_i \rangle| &\leq |\langle f_{n-1}, g_i \rangle| + |d_n \langle g_i, g_n \rangle| \leq \mu |d_n|, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ |\langle h, g_n \rangle| &= |\langle f_{n-1}, g_n \rangle| - |d_n \langle g_n, g_n \rangle| \stackrel{(11)}{=} |d_n| - |d_n| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $x'_{i,n}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, satisfy

$$Proj_{span(g_1, \dots, g_n)}(h) = Proj_{span(g_1, \dots, g_n)}(f_{n-1} - d_n g_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x'_{i,n} g_i.$$

By Lemma 2

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x'_{i,n}| \leq K_1 \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\langle h, g_i \rangle| \leq K_1 \mu |d_n|. \quad (17)$$

It follows from (16) and (12) that $f_{n-1} - d_n g_n - \sum_{i=1}^n x'_{i,n} g_i \stackrel{(16)}{=} f_n \stackrel{(12)}{=} f_{n-1} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,n} g_i$.

Then we get the relation between $x_{i,n}$ and $x'_{i,n}, 1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$x_{i,n} = x'_{i,n}, 1 \leq i \leq n-1, x_{n,n} = d_n + x'_{n,n}.$$

This and (17) complete the proof.

The following lemma provides an estimate of $\{|d_n|\}$

Lemma 4. For any $1 \leq l \leq n \leq 2m + 1$, we have

$$|d_n| \leq K_2 |d_l|,$$

where

$$K_2 := \exp(2m\mu \frac{10}{9}) \leq \exp(1/9). \quad (18)$$

proof . Using Lemma 3, for $1 \leq l \leq n \leq 2m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |d_{n+1}| &= |\langle f_n, g_{n+1} \rangle| = |\langle f_{n-1} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,n} g_i, g_{n+1} \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle f_{n-1}, g_{n+1} \rangle| + \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{i,n}| |\langle g_i, g_{n+1} \rangle| \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{\leq} |d_n| + \mu(|x_{n,n}| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |x_{i,n}|) \\ &\leq |d_n| [1 + \mu(1 + 2m\mu K_1)] \\ &\stackrel{(6),(10)}{\leq} |d_n| [1 + (1 + \frac{2 \cdot 10}{20 \cdot 9})\mu] \\ &= |d_n| (1 + \frac{10}{9} \mu). \end{aligned}$$

Hence for any $n, 1 \leq l \leq n \leq 2m + 1$, we can get

$$|d_n| \leq |d_l| (1 + \frac{10}{9} \mu)^{n-l} \leq |d_l| (1 + \frac{2m \cdot 10 \mu}{2m})^{2m} \leq |d_l| \exp(2m\mu \frac{10}{9}) \leq K_2 |d_l|.$$

3. Notations

By the definition of the best m -term approximation there exist $a_{j,0} \in \mathbb{R}$, $\psi_j \in \mathbf{D}$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, and $\xi_0 \in H$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f &= f_0 = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{j,0} \psi_j + \xi_0, \langle \xi_0, \psi_j \rangle = 0, 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ \|\xi_0\| &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \sigma_m(f, \mathbf{D}) = (1 + \varepsilon) \sigma_m(f), \forall \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} L &:= \text{span}(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m), P_L(\cdot) := \text{Proj}_L(\cdot), P_L^\perp(\cdot) := \text{Proj}_L^\perp(\cdot), \\ \xi_n &:= P_L^\perp(f_n), 0 \leq n \leq 2m. \end{aligned}$$

Let the number $a_{j,n}, n \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq m$, satisfy equalities

$$f_n := P_L(f_n) + P_L^\perp(f_n) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{j,n} \psi_j + \xi_n. \quad (20)$$

Define

$$T_1 := \{i \in \{1, \dots, 2m\} : g_i \in \{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^m\}, \quad T_2 := \{1, \dots, 2m\} \setminus T_1.$$

Then, for $n \geq 1$, we let

$$T_2^n := T_2 \cap \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad D := \sum_{n \in T_2} d_n^2. \quad (21)$$

4. Main lemmas

Lemma 5. Let $1 \leq i < n \leq 2m, i, n \in T_2$. Then we have

$$|\langle P_L^\perp(g_n), g_i \rangle| \leq \frac{19}{18} \mu.$$

proof. Let $P_L(g_n) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \psi_j$.

Since $n \in T_2$ and

$$g_n \neq \psi_j, |\langle g_n, \psi_j \rangle| \leq \mu, 1 \leq j \leq m,$$

it follows from Lemma 2 that

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |c_j| \leq K_1 \mu.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle P_L^\perp(g_n), g_i \rangle| &\leq |\langle g_n - P_L(g_n), g_i \rangle| \leq |\langle g_n, g_i \rangle| + |\langle P_L(g_n), g_i \rangle| \\ &\leq \mu + |\langle \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \psi_j, g_i \rangle| \leq \mu + (\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |c_j|) (\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |\langle \psi_j, g_i \rangle|) \\ &\leq \mu + (m\mu) K_1 \mu \leq \frac{19}{18} \mu. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6. Let $n \in T_1$; then we have

$$\|\xi_n\|^2 \leq \|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 + 0.20D\mu.$$

proof. Let

$$t_n := \#T_2^n. \quad (22)$$

If $T_2^n = \emptyset$, then $\xi_n = \xi_{n-1} = \xi_0$ and no prove is needed, so we can assume that $t_n \geq 1$. By Lemma 4

$$|d_n| \leq K_2 \min_{i \in T_2^n} |d_i|. \quad (23)$$

On the other hand, by definition (21) and (22), we have

$$(\min_{i \in T_2^n} |d_i|)^2 t_n \leq \sum_{i \in T_2^n} d_i^2 \leq \sum_{i \in T_2} d_i^2 = D,$$

so we get

$$\min_{i \in T_2^n} |d_i| \leq \left(\frac{D}{t_n}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Combining this with (23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |d_n| &\leq K_2 \left(\frac{D}{t_n}\right)^{1/2}, \\ d_n^2 t_n &\leq K_2^2 D. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Define

$$h := \sum_{i \in T_2^n} x_{i,n} g_i = \sum_{i \in T_2^{n-1}}^{n \in T_1} x_{i,n} g_i. \quad (25)$$

According to the definition of ξ_n , we have

$$\xi_n = P_L^\perp(f_n) = P_L^\perp\left(f_{n-1} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,n} g_i\right) = P_L^\perp\left(f_{n-1} - \sum_{i \in T_2^n} x_{i,n} g_i\right) = \xi_{n-1} - P_L^\perp(h).$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_n\|^2 &= \|\xi_{n-1} - P_L^\perp(h)\|^2 \leq \|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 + 2|\langle \xi_{n-1}, P_L^\perp(h) \rangle| + \|P_L^\perp(h)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 + 2|\langle \xi_{n-1}, h \rangle| + \|h\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Thus to prove the lemma, we must estimate $|\langle \xi_{n-1}, h \rangle|$ and $\|h\|^2$. Using (15) and (25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \xi_{n-1}, h \rangle| &= \left| \langle f_{n-1} - \sum_{j=1}^m a_{j,n-1} \psi_j, h \rangle \right| \stackrel{(15)}{=} \left| \langle \sum_{j=1}^m a_{j,n-1} \psi_j, h \rangle \right| \\ &\stackrel{(25)}{\leq} \sum_{j=1}^m |\langle a_{j,n-1} \psi_j, \sum_{i \in T_2^{n-1}} x_{i,n} g_i \rangle| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m |a_{j,n-1}| \sum_{i \in T_2^{n-1}} |x_{i,n} \langle \psi_j, g_i \rangle|. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

For any $1 \leq l \leq m$, we have

$$\left| \langle \sum_{j=1}^m a_{j,n-1} \psi_j, \psi_l \rangle \right| = \left| \langle \sum_{j=1}^m a_{j,n-1} \psi_j + \xi_{n-1}, \psi_l \rangle \right| \stackrel{(20)}{=} |\langle f_{n-1}, \psi_l \rangle| \leq |d_n|.$$

By Lemma 1, we get

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} |a_{j,n-1}| \leq K_1 (\max_{1 \leq l \leq m} |\langle \sum_{j=1}^m a_{j,n-1} \psi_j, \psi_l \rangle|) \leq K_1 |d_n|. \quad (28)$$

Then we obtain the estimate

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |a_{j,n-1}| \leq m K_1 |d_n|.$$

It follows from (1) and Lemma 3 that for $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$\sum_{i \in T_2^{n-1}} |x_{i,n} \langle \psi_j, g_i \rangle| \leq \mu \#T_2^{n-1} \max_{i \in T_2^{n-1}} |x_{i,n}| \stackrel{(13)}{\leq} \mu \#T_2^n K_1 \mu |d_n| \stackrel{(22)}{=} K_1 \mu^2 |d_n| t_n.$$

Thus, we can continue (27) as

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \xi_{n-1}, h \rangle| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m |a_{j,n-1}| \sum_{i \in T_2^{n-1}} |x_{i,n} \langle \psi_j, g_i \rangle| \leq m \mu^2 K_1^2 d_n^2 t_n \\ &\leq m \mu^2 K_1^2 K_2^2 D = m \mu K_1^2 K_2^2 D \mu \leq \frac{5}{81} K_2^2 D \mu \end{aligned}$$

By condition (6), we can suppose that $0 < \mu \leq 1/40$, so

$$K_1 \mu \leq \frac{10\mu}{9+10\mu} = \frac{1}{\frac{9}{10\mu} + 1} \leq \frac{1}{37}. \quad (29)$$

According to Lemma 3, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|^2 &\leq \left\| \sum_{i \in T_2^{n-1}} x_{i,n} g_i \right\|^2 \leq (\max_{i \in T_2^{n-1}} x_{i,n}^2) [\#T_2^{n-1} + (\#T_2^{n-1})^2 \mu] \\ &\leq K_1^2 \mu^2 d_n^2 [\#T_2^{n-1} + (\#T_2^{n-1})^2 \mu] \\ &\leq K_1^2 \mu^2 d_n^2 (t_n + t_n^2 \mu) \leq K_1^2 \mu^2 K_2^2 D (1 + 2m\mu) \\ &= K_1 (K_1 \mu) K_2^2 (1 + 2m\mu) D \mu \leq \frac{10}{9} \frac{1}{37} 1.1 K_2^2 D \mu = \frac{11}{333} K_2^2 D \mu. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Now using the estimates for $|\langle \xi_{n-1}, h \rangle|$ and $\|h\|^2$, we can continue inequality (26):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_n\|^2 &\leq \|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 + 2|\langle \xi_{n-1}, h \rangle| + \|h\|^2 \\ &\leq \|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 + 2 \frac{5}{81} K_2^2 D \mu + \frac{11}{333} K_2^2 D \mu \\ &\leq \|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 + 0.20 D \mu. \end{aligned}$$

This estimate completes the proof of the lemma.

Now we proceed to the estimate of $\|\xi_n\|$ for $n \in T_2$.

Lemma 7. Let $n \in T_2$; then we have

$$\|\xi_n\|^2 \leq \|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 - 0.76d_n^2.$$

proof . Just as in the proof of Lemma 6, we use the element

$$h := \sum_{i \in T_2^{n-1}} x_{i,n} g_i.$$

Set

$$\xi'_n := P_L^\perp(f_{n-1} - x_{n,n} g_n).$$

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_n &= P_L^\perp(f_n) = P_L^\perp(f_{n-1} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,n} g_i) \\ &= P_L^\perp(f_{n-1} - x_{n,n} g_n) - P_L^\perp(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_{i,n} g_i) \\ &= \xi'_n - P_L^\perp(\sum_{i \in T_2^{n-1}} x_{i,n} g_i) = \xi'_n - P_L^\perp(h), \\ \|\xi_n\|^2 &= \|\xi'_n\|^2 - 2\langle \xi'_n, P_L^\perp(h) \rangle + \|P_L^\perp(h)\|^2 \leq \|\xi'_n\|^2 + 2|\langle \xi'_n, h \rangle| + \|h\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Therefore, to prove the lemma it suffices to obtain upper bounds for $\|\xi'_n\|^2$, $|\langle \xi'_n, h \rangle|$, $\|h\|^2$. To estimate $\|h\|^2$, we can use inequality (31) from Lemma 6

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|^2 &\leq K_1^2 \mu^2 d_n^2 [\#T_2^{n-1} + (\#T_2^{n-1})^2 \mu] \\ &\leq K_1^2 \mu^2 d_n^2 [2m + (2m)^2 \mu] = K_1(K_1 \mu) 2m \mu (1 + 2m \mu) d_n^2 \\ &\leq \frac{10}{9} \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{37} 1.1 d_n^2 = \frac{11}{3330} d_n^2 \leq 0.004 d_n^2. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Then we proceed to the estimate of $\|\xi'_n\|^2$.

Using (20), (28) and the inclusion $n \in T_2$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \xi_{n-1}, g_n \rangle - d_n| &= | \langle f_{n-1} - \sum_{j=1}^m a_{j,n-1} \psi_j, g_n \rangle - d_n | \\ &= | \langle f_{n-1}, g_n \rangle - \sum_{j=1}^m a_{j,n-1} \langle \psi_j, g_n \rangle - d_n | = | \sum_{j=1}^m a_{j,n-1} \langle \psi_j, g_n \rangle | \\ &\leq (\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |a_{j,n-1}|) m \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |\langle \psi_j, g_n \rangle| \leq K_1 |d_n| m \mu. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Then using Lemma 3 and (29),(6), we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} 2x_{n,n} \langle \xi_{n-1}, g_n \rangle &= 2[d_n + (x_{n,n} - d_n)][d_n + (\langle \xi_{n-1}, g_n \rangle - d_n)] \\ &\geq 2(|d_n| - K_1 \mu |d_n|)(|d_n| - K_1 |d_n| m \mu) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2 |d_n|^2 (1 - K_1 \mu)(1 - K_1 m \mu) \\
&\geq 2 |d_n|^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{37}\right) \left(1 - \frac{10}{9} \frac{1}{20}\right) = \frac{68}{37} d_n^2
\end{aligned}$$

and finally, obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\xi'_n\|^2 &= \|P_L^\perp(f_{n-1} - x_{n,n}g_n)\|^2 = \|\xi_{n-1} - x_{n,n}P_L^\perp(g_n)\|^2 \\
&= \|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 - 2x_{n,n}\langle \xi_{n-1}, P_L^\perp(g_n) \rangle + x_{n,n}^2 \|P_L^\perp(g_n)\|^2 \\
&\leq \|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 - 2x_{n,n}\langle \xi_{n-1}, g_n \rangle + x_{n,n}^2 \leq \|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 - \frac{68}{37} d_n^2 + x_{n,n}^2 \\
&\leq \|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 - \frac{68}{37} d_n^2 + (|d_n| + K_1 \mu |d_n|)^2 \\
&= \|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 + d_n^2 \left[(1 + K_1 \mu)^2 - \frac{68}{37} \right] \leq \|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 + d_n^2 \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{37}\right)^2 - \frac{68}{37} \right] \\
&= \|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 - \frac{1072}{1369} d_n^2 \leq \|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 - 0.78 d_n^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

It remains to estimate $|\langle \xi'_n, h \rangle|$. Equalities (15) imply that

$$\langle f_{n-1}, h \rangle = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \xi'_n, h \rangle| &= |\langle P_L^\perp(f_{n-1}) - x_{n,n}P_L^\perp(g_n), h \rangle| = |\langle \xi_{n-1} - x_{n,n}P_L^\perp(g_n), h \rangle| \\
&= \left| \langle f_{n-1} - \sum_{j=1}^m a_{j,n-1} \psi_j - x_{n,n}P_L^\perp(g_n), h \rangle \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m |\langle a_{j,n-1} \psi_j, h \rangle| + |x_{n,n} \langle P_L^\perp(g_n), h \rangle| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^m |a_{j,n-1}| \sum_{i \in T_2^{n-1}} |\langle \psi_j, x_{i,n} g_i \rangle| + \sum_{i \in T_2^{n-1}} |x_{n,n} x_{i,n} \langle P_L^\perp(g_n), g_i \rangle| \\
&=: A + B.
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Let us estimate the summands A and B separately.

$$\begin{aligned}
A &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m |a_{j,n-1}| \sum_{i \in T_2^{n-1}} |x_{i,n}| |\langle \psi_j, g_i \rangle| \\
&\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |a_{j,n-1}| \max_{i \in T_2^{n-1}} |x_{i,n}| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in T_2^{n-1}} \mu \\
&\leq K_1 |d_n| K_1 \mu |d_n| m(n-1) \mu \leq |d_n|^2 \mu^2 m(2m-1) K_1^2 \\
&\leq [2K_1^2 \mu^2 m^2 - K_1(K_1 \mu) m \mu] |d_n|^2 \leq [2\left(\frac{10}{9}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{20}\right)^2 - \frac{10}{9} \frac{1}{37} \frac{1}{20}] d_n^2 \\
&= \frac{42}{8991} d_n^2 \leq 0.005 d_n^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5 and Lemma 3, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} B &\leq |x_{n,n}| \sum_{i \in T_2^{n-1}} |x_{i,n} \langle P_L^\perp(g_n), g_i \rangle| \leq |x_{n,n}| \sum_{i \in T_2^{n-1}} |x_{i,n}| \frac{19}{18} \mu \\ &\leq (|d_n| + K_1 \mu |d_n|)(nK_1 \mu |d_n|) \frac{19}{18} \mu \leq d_n^2 (1 + K_1 \mu) 2m \mu K_1 \mu \frac{19}{18} \\ &\leq (1 + \frac{1}{37}) \frac{1}{37} \frac{19}{18} \frac{1}{10} d_n^2 = \frac{361}{123210} d_n^2 \leq 0.003 d_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting estimate for A and B into (35), we obtain

$$|\langle \xi'_n, h \rangle| \leq A + B \leq 0.008 d_n^2. \quad (36)$$

Using inequalities (33), (34) and (36), we can continue estimate (31) and complete the proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_n\|^2 &\leq \|\xi'\|^2 + 2|\langle \xi'_n, h \rangle| + \|h\|^2 \\ &\leq \|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 - 0.78 d_n^2 + 2(0.008 d_n^2) + 0.004 d_n^2 \\ &\leq \|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 - 0.76 d_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8. The following estimates hold

$$D^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} (1 + \varepsilon) \sigma_m(f), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad (37)$$

$$\|\xi_{2m}\| \leq \|\xi_0\|. \quad (38)$$

proof. Using Lemma 6 and Lemma 7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ((1 + \varepsilon) \sigma_m(f))^2 &\geq \|\xi_0\|^2 \geq \|\xi_0\|^2 - \|\xi_{2m}\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{2m} (\|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 - \|\xi_n\|^2) \\ &= \sum_{n \in T_1} (\|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 - \|\xi_n\|^2) + \sum_{n \in T_2} (\|\xi_{n-1}\|^2 - \|\xi_n\|^2) \\ &\geq \#T_1(-0.20D\mu) + \sum_{n \in T_2} 0.76 d_n^2 \\ &\geq m(-0.20D\mu) + 0.76D \geq 0.75D > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$D^{1/2} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)(0.75^{-1/2}) \sigma_m(f) \leq \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} (1 + \varepsilon) \sigma_m(f).$$

5. Proof of theorem 2

First we estimate $\|P_l(f_{2m})\| = \|\sum_{j=1}^m a_{j,2m} \psi_j\|$, using (28) and Lemma 4, we can write for any $l, 1 \leq l \leq 2m$,

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |a_{j,2m}| \leq K_1 |d_{2m+1}| \leq K_1 K_2 |d_l|. \quad (39)$$

Since $\#T_2 \geq m$, using definition (21), we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_{j,2m}^2 \leq m \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} a_{j,2m}^2 \leq \sum_{l \in T_2} (K_1 K_2 |d_l|)^2 = (K_1 K_2)^2 D.$$

Applying a well-known inequality (see, for example, lemma 2.1 from [4]) and substituting the values of K_1 and K_2 (see (10) and (18)), we will find the estimates

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^m a_{j,2m} \psi_j \right\|^2 \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^m a_{j,2m}^2 \right) (1 + m\mu) \leq (K_1 K_2)^2 D 1.05 \leq 1.62D. \quad (40)$$

Then using lemma 8 and (40), for $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, we obtain the.

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{2m}\| &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m a_{j,2m} \psi_j + \xi_{2m} \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m a_{j,2m} \psi_j \right\| + \|\xi_0\| \\ &\leq (1.62D)^{1/2} + (1 + \varepsilon)\sigma_m(f) \leq (1.62)^{1/2} \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} (1 + \varepsilon)\sigma_m(f) + (1 + \varepsilon)\sigma_m(f) \\ &\leq 2.47(1 + \varepsilon)\sigma_m(f). \end{aligned}$$

This complete the proof of Theorem 3.

This work is supported by National Nature Science Foundation of China under Grant 10971251, 11271199 and 11101220.

References

- [1] D Donoho, M Elad, VN Temlyakov. Stable recovery of sparse over complete representation in the presence of noise. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 2006; 52(1): 6-18.
- [2] D Donoho, M Elad, VN Temlyakov. On Lebesgue-type inequalities for greedy approximation. *Approx. Theory*. 2007; 147(2): 185-195.
- [3] AC Gilbert, M Muthukrishnan, J Strauss. Approximation of functions over redundant dictionaries using coherence, in: Proc. 14th Annu. *ACM-SIAM Symp. Discrete Algorithms*. 2003; 234-252.
- [4] R Gilbonval, M Nielsen. On the strong uniqueness of highly sparse expansions from redundant dictionaries, in: *Proc. Int Conf. Independent Component Anal. (ICA'04)*, (2004).
- [5] ED Livshits. On greedy algorithms with bounded cumulative coherence, *Math. Notes* 2010; 87(5): 792-795.
- [6] VN Temlyakov. Greedy Algorithms and m-term approximation with regard to redundant dictionaries. *Approx. Theory*. 1999; 98: 117-145.
- [7] VN Temlyakov, P Zheltov. On performance of greedy algorithms. *Approx. Theory*. 2011; 163(9): 1134-1145.
- [8] JA Tropp. Greedy is good: algorithmic results for sparse approximation. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 2004; 50(10): 2231-2242.
- [9] Qinghua Wu, Hanmin Liu, Yuxin Sun, Fang Xie, Jin Zhang, Xuesong Yan. Research of Function Optimization Algorithm. *TELKOMNIKA*. 2012; 10(4): 858-863.
- [10] ED Livshits. On the efficiency of the Orthogonal Matching Pursuit compressed sensing. *Mat. Sb.* 2012; 203: 33-44.
- [11] Windra Swastika, Hideaki Haneishi. Compressed Sensing for Thoracic MRI with Partial Random Circulant Matrices. *TELKOMNIKA*. 2012; 10(1): 147-154.
- [12] ED Livshitz. On the optimality of Orthogonal Greedy Algorithm for-coherent dictionaries. *Approx. Theory* 2012; 164: 668-681.