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ABSTRACT
Electric vehicles (EVs) are seen as one of the principal pillars of smart transportation to relieve the airborne pollution induced by fossil CO₂ emissions. However, the battery limit, especially where the journey is with a long-distance road remains the most formidable obstacle to the large-scale use of EVs. To overcome the issue of prolonged waiting charging time due to the large number of EVs may have a charging plan at the same charging station (CS) along the highway, we propose a communication system to manage the EVs charging demands. The architecture system contains a smart scheduling algorithm to minimize trip time including waiting time, previous reservations, and energy are needed to reach the destination. Moreover, an automatic mechanism for updating reservation is integrated to adjust the EVs charging plans. The results of the evaluation under the Moroccan highway scenario connecting Rabat and Agadir show the effectiveness of our proposal system.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Undesirable emissions of CO₂ gases from the use of fossil fuel sources have now reached a menacing level that needs an immediate action of prevention by implementing eco-friendly policy [1]-[2]. Therefore, many countries, local government, and agency researchers in the world consider electric vehicles as a promising and suitable solution to resolve problems attached to transport sector and combat environmental pollution challenges [3]-[5], e.g. Electric vehicles (EVs) could possibly provide 45% reduction in carbon emissions compared to traditional vehicles after taking into account the energy cost of production and usage [6].

Despite the promising signs, a few challenges remain with regard to the large spread of EVs, such as EV owners do not have the ability to charge their EVs at home, but must be based on public charging stations (CSs), longer time to charge [7], and the limited capacity of the battery that may not exceed 480 kilometers [8]. Meanwhile, electric vehicle drivers are always concerned with the charging problem due to the fewer charging station, and the decision of which charging station to choose [9]. Therefore, EV charging may take place several times during the journey when the trip is with long distance. In this context, the management of EV charging demands, especially on long-distance trip, is necessary to success and long-term widespread of EV.
Most studies investigate charging scheduling for the use case about where and which CS to charge EVs in urban environments, a shorter travel distance between EVs and CSs. Ji et al. [10], propose a strategy to alleviate the unbalanced queuing problem of CSs at the dynamic peak shift of charging demand. A distributed architecture to suggest a set of the nearest charging stations for EV recharging using a distributed ant system algorithm [11]. Zhang, et al. [12], authors propose a formalization of the EV charging scheduling problem as a markov decision process and deep reinforcement learning algorithms to reduce the EVs total charging time. To minimize the total elapsed time by considering the traffic condition, the remaining energy in the battery, and the CS queuing length, the EV charging scheduling method is proposed in [13]. El-Fedany et al. [14], we propose an application design allowing the management of EVs charging demands, the CS selected decision based on minimizing the trip duration including waiting and charging time. Moreover, we proposed a system design update dynamically the EVs charging plans on real time [15]. A strategy to assign each EV to the most suitable CS according to a method that will minimize the waiting time [16]. The traffic information and map information are combined to obtain path network model data and CS queuing times that is used to solve the EV path planning problem [17], the optimal route through a CS selected proposed in [18], the selection decision is making by the proposed algorithm, which combine reinforcement learning and neural networks for minimizing EVs trip time. Eventually, we have proposed in [19] a smart architecture system to manage EV charging plans and fixed and mobile charging stations, the system proposed is composed of a set of proposed algorithms and the recommendation of an appropriate CS for an EV charging request based on minimizing the total trip time including charging and waiting time at CS.

The EV charging-time limitation in highway environments is not as densely researched as that of urban environments. A few previous works have addressed CS selection decision to minimize the EVs charging trip duration in highway, by monitoring the CSs status. The others in [20] propose a scheduling method for EVs charging plans on a highway trip based on the A* search algorithm, an extension of Edsger Dijkstra's algorithm, to reduce the travel times, the decision is applied at EV level with CS parameters. The work in [21] presents a negotiation strategy to coordinate the queues among the CSs along a highway, by means of the vehicle-to-vehicle and vehicle-to-infrastructure communications, and a distributed algorithm is presented to schedule EVs so that each EV makes a suitable decision to avoid long waiting times. A study presented in [22] that aims to develop an algorithm of optimizing recharging stops along Ontario’s highway and examines the difference in time between EVs and standard vehicles. Chen et al. [23] propose a strategy for providing synchronous charging services for EVs to reduce the queue length at the highway CS.

As EVs get on the highway and when their Batteries reach a certain threshold, they are sending a charging reservation request to GA who is responsible for generating and updating charging plans. Unlike other approaches, we focus on trip time not only waiting time, and the decision making by GA takes into account the CS status in real-time to recommend and update the charging plan.

Our contribution is summarized as follows:
- We propose a system design to manage the charging reservation requests and avoid imbalance loading energy due to poor distribution of EVs on the CSs along a highway.
- We propose an architecture algorithm to manage the EVs charging plans and recommend a suitable CS where the trip time is the minimum including waiting and charging time. Furthermore, GA automatically updates the charging plan of EVs on-the-move on the highway when CSs conditions are changed by new reservations of EVs incoming.
- We developed a simulation framework and implemented our approach in a use case for Moroccan highway traffic connecting Rabat and Agadir, that accounts CSs and EVs specific characteristics and variable highway speed limitations.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the architecture and the operating of the proposed system including system entity definition and assumption. In section 3, we present the detail of the proposed system algorithms. The evaluation of the effectiveness of proposed system algorithms is presented in section 4. Finally, section 5 contains the conclusion of this work.

2. A SYSTEM PROPOSED

2.1. Assumptions and system architecture

The Figure 1 illustrates the global design of the system proposed which is composed of three main elements such as: charging stations, electric vehicles, and global aggregator genetic algorithm (GA). A detailed description of each element is given as follow:
- Electric vehicle: the work treats a scenario where electric vehicles are moving on the long distance (highway) and periodically look at the battery level. When the EV user enters in highway than he wants making a charging reservation or the current battery level is below a certain threshold, the EV driver
sends a charging request into the GA in order to select a suitable CS by taking into account the information about EV’s battery level and current location and the exit point of highway that leads to its final destination.

− Charging station: they are generally taken place at different locations on the highway, and each CS contains a set of parking spaces equipped with charging sockets. Thus, all CSs, based on Algorithm 1, send their status such as queuing time, number of charging slots into GA, and then the GA is able to estimate the charging and waiting time at each CS.

− Global aggregator: The GA is a central system to serve and cooperate all system entities (CSs and EVs) using cellular communication networks, and furthermore the GA center allows the selection of the appropriate CS for the EVs charging requests, this decision based on the information sent by the EVs and CSs in real time. The main algorithm set up on GA to make the CS selection decision is Algorithm 2 that is detailed in section 3.2.

In this paper, we assume that all EVs are equipped with a global position system GPS [24], [25] that contains their own movement information, including current location and speed. We also assume a seamless signaling exchange between CS, EVs, and GA across a reliable channel, such as 3G/LTE. Therefore, the EV needs charging reservation can be informed by the GA with charging planning. Likewise, all CSs apply first-come, first-served (FCFS) policy, the first EV that comes to CS is the first that is served, to manage the EVs at its parking lot, thereby when all CS sockets are occupied, the incoming EVs have to wait until one socket becomes available. Furthermore, we consider an unlimited number of places for parking at each CS.

2.2. System operation

A typical communication system among EVs and GA center is shown in Figure 2, and described as follows:
- Phase 1: EV gets the highway and request the GA for a charging reservation, the request contains some EV information such as the current position, the current SoC, and the location of the exit point where the EV gets off the highway.
- Phase 2: The GA server permanently receives informations from CSs (current queuing time, and number of waiting vehicles), based on Algorithm 2, the GA selects the optimal CS for EV that have sent the charging request being processed, then informs all the EVs having an updating of the charging plan due to the new reservation.
- Phase 3: When an EV get in the parking area of its recommended CS, then this latter sends a message contains its current state to GA, then the EV reservation is canceled and GA server saves the last changing informations that will be used for the next charging demands.

3. THE PROPOSED ALGORITHM AND RESEARCH METHOD

Our proposed system algorithm is composed of two algorithms, the first is responsible for calculating the queuing time at CS according to the EVs parked in it, and the second is taking charge of making a decision of the optimal CS selection to each EV requesting charging reservation. The variables used in the system algorithms are described in Table 1.
Table 1. List of nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSlot</td>
<td>Output of algorithm1 containing available queuing time per each socket at charging station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nslot</td>
<td>The number of charging socket set up at charging station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tcurr</td>
<td>Current local time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Np</td>
<td>The number of EV parked at CS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βi/β</td>
<td>Charging rate of electricity power in CS / CS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emax</td>
<td>Maximum capacity of EV, battery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecurr</td>
<td>Current charging level of EV, battery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efin</td>
<td>Estimated time to finish the charging, EV, battery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>Form of dictionary list to save EVs reservation, pair of EV and their CS recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCR</td>
<td>Temporary list similarly to TR list, a clone of TR list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTSlot</td>
<td>List containing the TSlot lists of all CSs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tchar</td>
<td>Variable used save the minimum trip duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSmin</td>
<td>Variable used save the CS recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCSi</td>
<td>Numbers of CSs, where EVi can get a charging reservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarr</td>
<td>The arrival time of EV, to CS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>char</td>
<td>The travelling time between EVi, location and CSj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fin</td>
<td>Estimated charging time of EVi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efin</td>
<td>Energy consumed for traveling EVi toward CSj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sevi</td>
<td>EVi, Moving speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>Rate of energy consumed per meter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1. CS queuing time

We assume in Algorithm 1 that each charging station spread on the highway has a number of charging sockets, denoted Ns, and adopts via several locations parallel charging processes, therefore, after the distribution, according to FCFS policy, of electric vehicles parked in CS to charge, the minimum value calculated for one of the CS charging slots become the waiting time in CS. The distribution operates as follows: At first, we suppose that each slot is represented under an index on the list Tslot, the size of this latter is denoted by Nslot, and initialized by Tcurr, line 1 to 3.

The FCFS policy is applied to distribute the EVs parked in CS for charging, line 5, and each EV is attributed to a charging slot, line 6 to 10. Within each iteration, the EV charging time will be calculated, obtained by $\frac{E_{max} - E_{curr}}{\beta}$, and added to TSlot.get(0), the first available time at the list top, line 7 to line 8. And then, TSlot list will be sorted by ascending order, these operations are repeated until all EVs have been processed. Finally, the algorithm returns TSlot list, line 12.

Algorithm 1 : Queuing time in CS

1: For i = 1 To Nslot Do
2:    TSlot.add (Tcurr)
3: End For
4: IF Np > 0 Then
5:    Sort the queue of Np according to FCFS
6:    For j=1 To Np Do
7:        Trim = TSlot.add(0) + $\frac{E_{max} - E_{curr}}{\beta}$
8:        Replace TSlot.get(0) with Trim in TSlot
9:    Sort TSlot with ascending order
10: End If
11: End For
12: Return TSlot

3.2. Detail of CS selection algorithm

The essential task of Algorithm 2 is to select the optimal CS for a reservation request of an EVi, at the same time if this selection has an impact on the previous reservation, due to the arrival of EVi, before the electric vehicles that had made the previous charging reservations in the same recommended CS, the algorithm sends a change notification of charging plan into the EVs having the change.

The CS recommendation based on the minimization of the trip duration expressed as follow:

$$T_{i,j}^{Trip} = T_{i,j}^{arr} + T_{i,j}^{wait} + T_{i,j}^{char} + T_{i,j}^{trans}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$T_{i,j}^{arr} = T_{curr} + T_{i,j}^{trans}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)
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Here, the waiting time in CSₗ denoted by MT_slot(CSₗ).get(0) and it is calculated by GA in view of all previous EVs charging reservations.

To achieve the goal, we use three variables list, TR where the reservations are saved, MT_slot that contains all queues list of CSs and giving by Algorithm 1 line 2, and TCR list that is used at each new charging request by filling it with all reservations copy stored in TR list, line 3. The loop, line 5 to line 18, is responsible for assigning an optimal CS to each EV, i.e. the CS where the trip time is the minimum, by looking over all the CSs located on the same direction of EVᵢ, line 8 to 16, and taking into consideration the sufficient quantity of energy for arriving EVᵢ to CSs, line 9. Then, the selection of the EV, which will arrive first at its recommendation, is obtained by sorting with ascending order of the TCR list according to Tᵢₗᵃᵣʳ, line 19. Thus, if the CS selected is different from what is in the TR reservation list, line 22, the charging CS is updated in line 23 and then the notification for changing the EV charging plan is sent in line 24. Therefore, EV is added to the reservation queueing of CS selected and removed from the TCR list, line 27 to line 28. The previous operations are repeated until to serve all EV in the TCR list, loop of line 4 to line 29.

Algorithm 2: Decision algorithm of CS selection for EV

4. A PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

4.1. Configurations of simulation system

We present, in this section, the description in detail the system simulation' parameters setting. In fact, we built and developed in Java language a system simulation of discrete events to evaluate the proposed algorithms. Moreover, we present, as a case study, a simulation of EVs network on the highway from Rabat to Agadir, Moroccan cities, about 540 km. We obtained the locations of the charging stations in the highway from Google Map. As shown in Figure 3, we assume that we have a CS every 50 km. Therefore, we get 10 fast charging stations. Each CS contains five charging slots, each of which has 80 kW, the rate of the charge socket.

Similarly, we suppose that the simulation network path has 1,000 EVs of deferential cities located near the highway and can travel through it. Thus, each electric vehicle joining the system network of
simulator is initialized in a uniform and normal distribution with a maximum charging parameter such as 100 KWh of the capacity maximum of battery, 10 KWh per 100 km of the average consumption, 10% for the SoC and 120 Km per hour of maximum speed. To evaluate the effectiveness of our proposed system algorithm, the simulation is performed in a variety of conditions, e.g. we consider normal and uniform distribution of different EVs charging demands, where they are generated randomly with varying numbers. Thus, the EVs energies and positions are updated every 0.1 s on the way or in a CS.

4.2. Results

The proposed system strategy, based on the minimum trip time (MTT) and the updating regularly whether that currently selected CS is still the best choice, is evaluated and compared with the minimum waiting time (MWT) strategy, without reservation update like others previous works, to recommend the CS. We evaluate two performance metrics as follows: average trip times (ATT): The average time that an EV spent for its highway trip, through recharging service at an intermediate CS, and number of decision reservation changes (N-EV): The number of electric vehicles that have changed the charging plan along the trip time. In Figure 4, we present the average trip duration of EV charging demands on the basis of MTT and MWT strategies and with varied distributions of EV charging demands. We can see in this figure, result was obtained according to different numbers of EV charging demands, that the MTT curve is under WTT curve. Consequently, the better performance is offered by the proposed system with the lower average trip duration, as compared to MWT strategy, which can select the CS with minimum waiting time, but without updating the choice on each changing of CS status due to the nearest EVs incoming makes the first choice not been better. Moreover, our strategy will be able to more reduce the total trip time, especially when the number of EV charging demand increased, and even if increasing the number of charging slots at CSs Figure 5, the proposed system still shows their shorter charging trip time than MWT strategy.

![Figure 3. Simulation scenario of Moroccan highway Rabat-Agadir](image)

![Figure 4. The influence of EVs number on trip time](image)

![Figure 5. The influence of number charging slots on trip time](image)
In Figure 6, the number of reservations updating shows how important and performance of our system proposed, where an updated CS-selection is made at each changing CS conditions, such that the EV charging reservation would be adjusted depending on the new incoming EV charging reservation. Moreover, the updating of charging plan increases when the number EV charging demand increases, which explains the impact of our proposed system, especially when the number EV is very high.

![Figure 6. The number of EVs charging plans that have changed](image)

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we addressed the problem of distributing electric vehicle flows in the highway transport network, by proposing a CS selection scheme in a charging management system in order to minimize the total EV trip time including charging and waiting time of EVs in the charging stations. The system composed of a global aggregator that is the responsible for making the CS selection decision and updating automatically the EV charging plans, especially when the status of the CS selected is changed by a new EVs incoming. Evaluation results, under highway scenario linking Rabat and Agadir cities in Morocco, showed the performance and effectiveness of our proposed system in terms of a shorter EVs trip time through intermediate charging station.
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