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ABSTRACT
The continuous use of fossil fuels for decades in electricity generation has led to dire environmental consequences. This has fostered the incorporation of variable renewable energy resources (RES) to improve the environmental outlook and minimize emissions. This paper presents an approach for the dispatch of a minigrid considering variable solar photovoltaic (PV) generation. Due to the variability of the solar irradiance received from the sun during daytime only, solar irradiance is modeled as a stochastic random variable that is fitted into a Beta probability density function (PDF). The minigrid dispatch problem, modeled using stochastic optimization, is then approximated into a linear equivalent to become a mixed integer linear programming (MILP) problem that can be solved efficiently. The proposed approach is implemented on the modified IEEE 14-bus test system to verify its capability in solving the minigrid dispatch under various test case scenarios.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The negative environmental impacts associated with using fossil fuels in conventional generation have prompted the adoption of renewable energy sources (RES) in large proportions to achieve a more efficient and environment-friendly energy delivery ecosystem [1, 2]. RES is integrated to the power grid as distributed generations (DGs) connected at the distribution system level [3-5]. DGs have many advantages such as their capability of reducing environmental emissions and operation costs, as well as avoiding expensive power system expansions, when DGs are used in standalone minigrid systems in rural areas [6]. On the other hand, increasing the penetration level of variable RES in power systems will subsequently increase the uncertainty [7, 8]. This is due to the intermittency nature of variable RES, which are quite sensitive to weather conditions and may not be available at load demand peak hours [9].

Many countries around the world are developing their energy policies to integrate RES, including solar PV, hydropower and biomass, into their energy mix [10]. A minigrid is a local network in a small geographical area that operates disconnected from the main utility grid [11]. The minigrid has DG units as energy sources, which can be small conventional generators and/or RES such as solar PV, and load demand of end users [12]. Solar energy is a variable RES that can be employed in electricity generation to deliver electricity to rural areas [6]. Solar energy is a viable option for energy supply in rural villages, which are more than 30 km away from the main grid, in the state of Sarawak, Malaysia. A hybrid minigrid, that contains both conventional generation and solar PV panels, provides a more reliable, sustainable, and economical alternative to grid expansion [13].
Solar PV is among the category of variable RES. Solar irradiance, which is a measure of how much solar power is received, has a direct relation to the energy generated from solar PV panels [14]. Solar PV energy output thus depends on sunshine hours, clouds, and other related weather conditions [15]. The optimal generation dispatch is therefore a challenging problem to sustain power system reliability, flexibility and satisfy load demand under all deterministic/non-deterministic conditions [16]. Scheduling of the minigrid determines the hourly operation timetable of the committed DGs, including conventional generating units and RES, to satisfy the hourly load demand with the lowest operation cost over a 24-hour timespan [17]. To consider the uncertainty of RES in power system problems, stochastic optimization techniques are used; such as full stochastic programming, chance-constrained programming and robust optimization [18]. The traditional, and widely used, method to represent the uncertainty is scenario generation in full stochastic programming [19]. However, scenario generation techniques are computationally inefficient [18].

In this paper, a model is proposed to dispatch a minigrid that consists of small conventional generators and a large penetration level of solar PV energy sources, as well as a residential load demand. The solar irradiance of the solar PV is modeled as a random variable using a probability density function (PDF) called Beta distribution to represent its uncertainty in the stochastic optimization approach. The resulting nonlinear stochastic scheduling problem is approximated into a linear equivalent to be solved by the efficient mixed integer linear programming (MILP) technique. The latter, MILP, is widely adopted by the utility industry due to its accuracy in achieving optimal solutions in large-scale problems [20]. The structure of this paper is as follows. Problem formulation is outlined in Section 2. Section 3 describes the numerical simulations in detail. Conclusions are presented in Section 4.

2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Minimizing the total operation cost is the main objective of the proposed minigrid scheduling approach, taking into account the technical and operational constraints of conventional generation as well as solar energy penetration level. The total operation cost required for minigrid dispatch consists of three parts: fuel cost, startup cost, and shutdown cost. Solar energy’s operation cost is negligible, and is modeled as a negative load as described in the following.

2.1. Objective function

The generic objective function is given:

$$\min \sum_{i,t}^{N,T} F_i(t) + STC_i(t) + SDC_i(t)$$  (1)

where $F_i(t)$ is the fuel cost function of conventional generating units. $STC_i(t)$ is the startup cost function which is exponentially increasing, and $SDC_i(t)$ is the shutdown cost function. The nonlinearities associated with the quadratic fuel cost function and the exponential increasing function of the startup cost increase the computational burden of the dispatch problem. Therefore, all nonlinearities are approximated into a linear form and formulated to fit the MILP structure, following the model presented in [21]. The fuel cost equation is represented as:

$$F_i(t) = a_i P_i^2 + b_i P_i + c_i$$  (2)

where $a_i$, $b_i$ and $c_i$ are the fuel cost constants and $P_i$ is the output power of unit $i$. The fuel cost function is quadratic. It is approximated into a linear equivalent as [21]:

$$F_i(t) = A_i u_{i,t} + \sum_k S_i^k P_{i,t}^k$$  (3)

where $A_i = a_i P_{i,\text{min}}^2 + b_i P_{i,\text{min}} + c_i$, $u_{i,t}$ is the status of the generating unit $i$ at time $t$, $S_i^k$ is the slope of the stepwise $k$ and unit $i$ and $P_{i,t}^k$ is the output power of unit $i$ at time $t$ and block number $k$.

$$0 \leq P_{i,t}^k \leq \Delta P_{i,t}^k$$  (4)

$$\Delta P_{i,t}^k \leq P_{i,\text{ini}} - P_{i,\text{min}}$$  (5)

$$P_{i,t}^k = \sum_k S_i^k P_{i,t}^k + P_{i,\text{min}}$$  (6)

$$\Delta P_{i,t}^k = \frac{P_{i,\text{max}} - P_{i,\text{min}}}{n}$$  (7)
where \( P_{k,i}^k \) is the output power at the starting point of block \( k \), \( P_{i,max}^k \) and \( P_{i,min}^k \) are the maximum and minimum output power of unit \( i \) respectively and \( n \) is the number of blocks.

The stairwise approximation of the startup cost function is:

\[
STC_i(t) \geq SC_{k,i} \left[ u_{i,t} - \sum_{n=1}^{n} (u_{i,t-n}) \right]
\]

where \( SC_{k,i} \) is unit \( i \) startup cost and \( u_{i,t} \) is the unit status at time \( t \).

The shutdown cost equation is expressed as:

\[
SDC_i(t) \geq DC_i \left( u_{i,t-1} - u_{i,t} \right)
\]

where \( DC_i \) is the shutdown cost of the generating unit \( i \).

2.2. Operational and technical constraints

Conventional generation have technical and operational constraints. These are described as follows:

Ramp up/down constraint: the capability of a generating unit to increase its generation, without exceeding a maximum limit of increment, is called the ramp up. Similarly, the action of a unit to decrease its generated output power to a lower value is called ramp down. Mathematically, ramping equations can be expressed as:

\[
P_{i,\max}(t) \leq P_i(t-1) + RU_i u_{i,t-1} + SU_i \left( u_{i,t} - u_{i,t-1} \right) + P_{i,\max}(1 - u_{i,t})
\]

\[
P_{i,\max}(t) \leq P_i(t) + RU_i u_{i,t} + SU_i \left( u_{i,t-1} - u_{i,t-1} \right) + P_{i,\max}(1 - u_{i,t})
\]

where \( P_{i,t} \) is the output power of the generating unit \( i \) at time \( t \), \( RU_i \) and \( RD_i \) are the ramping up/down of the generating units respectively. \( SU_i \) and \( SD_i \) are the startup ramp limit and the shutdown ramp limit of unit \( i \) respectively. Minimum up/down time constraint: when a conventional generating unit is turned off after being committed, it has a minimum time to stay off before turning it on again. This time is called the minimum down time. If the unit was off and turned on, it has a minimum on time, called up time, before decommitting it again. The equations are modeled with a single binary variable to enhance the model computational efficiency as:

\[
\sum_{t=k}^{K+UT_i-1} u_{i,t} \geq UT_i \left( u_{i,t} - u_{i,t-1} \right)
\]

\[
\sum_{t=k}^{K+DT_i-1} (1 - u_{i,t}) \geq DT_i \left( u_{i,t-1} - u_{i,t} \right)
\]

The uptime of the generating unit is \( UT_i \) and the downtime is \( DT_i \). Generation output power limit: generating unit output power is limited by a maximum and minimum capacity limits as follows:

\[
P_{i,\min} \leq P_{i,t} \leq P_{i,\max}
\]

Transmission line capacity limits: network’s transmission lines of the power system have thermal limitations on its capacity for power delivery. The limits can be expressed as:

\[
P_{ij}^{min} \leq P_{ij} \leq P_{ij}^{max}
\]

where \( P_{ij} \) is the power delivered between bus \( i \) and bus \( j \) and \( P_{ij}^{min} \) and \( P_{ij}^{max} \) are the minimum and maximum power capacity limit of the transmission line between the two buses respectively.

2.3. Uncertainty modeling of solar PV

Solar energy is affected by different parameters such as temperature and solar irradiance. The main parameter which has the largest effect on the PV unit is the solar irradiance. The PV unit output power, \( P_{ij}^{pv} \), can be expressed as [22]:
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\[ p_{t}^{pv} = \sum_{i} M \cdot A_{pv} \times \eta_{pv} \times \text{irr}_t \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where \( M \) is the number of PV units, \( A_{pv} \) is the area of the PV unit, \( \eta_{pv} \) is the PV unit efficiency and \( \text{irr}_t \) is the solar irradiance at time \( t \). The solar irradiance is modeled as a stochastic random variable, which is fitted into a probability density function (PDF) as in [22, 23]. Beta distribution is the PDF used, since it was found to give the best fit of the solar irradiance data.

2.4. Power generation and load balance constraint

The summation of the output power generated by the conventional DGs in the minigrid should be greater than or equal to the load after subtracting the output power of the PV DGs. This can be modeled as:

\[ \sum_{t} P_{t,t} \geq L_t - p_t^{pv} \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where \( L_t \) is the load demand at the minigrid. The output power of the PV is considered as a negative load. The PV generation is disbursed in the minigrid as small DGs close to the end users [24]. The above equations form the minigrid stochastic optimization dispatch approach and the MILP is used to solve it. The dispatch approach and its solution are implemented using general algebraic modeling system (GAMS) software [25], on the modified IEEE 14-bus test system as discussed in the next section.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The IEEE 14-bus system, representing a minigrid, consist of 20 transmission lines and 3 conventional generating units as shown in Figure 1. These conventional generators are connected at busses 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Data for the generating units were taken from [26], whereas the system line data were taken from [17].

![Figure 1. The modified IEEE 14-bus test system](image)

3.1. Minigrid generation scheduling without solar PV

In this first case, the proposed model is applied to the IEEE 14-bus system without considering solar PV generation. The equations considered (1)-(16) and (18). In other words, \( p_t^{pv} \) equals zero in (18). The total load demand and output power of each conventional generating unit are shown in Figure 2. The first unit is committed almost invariably over the entire dispatch horizon, indicating that operation cost of unit #1 is the lowest among the three units. The peak load is 259 MW. When the load starts picking up after 6 am, the second unit will kick in increasing its output gradually to satisfy the load demand on its highest peaks. The total operation cost considering transmission lines limits is 27,769.7662 USD/day, for this case.
3.2. Minigrid generation scheduling considering solar PV

In this case, five solar PV units are disbursed at buses 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8, as shown in Figure 1. The load curve is maintained as shown in Figure 2.

The solar irradiance data of the city of Austin, Texas, USA is considered in this paper. The data were taken from an online source of the University of Texas Solar Energy Laboratory from the National Renewable Energy Lab (NREL). The city is located at Latitude: 30.29° N and Longitude: 97.74° E [18]. The data are then fitted into a Beta PDF, as mentioned in an earlier section, which was found to provide the best fit of the given data. The solar irradiance data is thus modeled as a stochastic random variable and included in (17) to capture the effect of uncertainty in PV output power. As shown in (1)-(19) are included in the minigrid dispatch model. The developed dispatch model is applied on the modified IEEE 14 bus system at two different PV penetration levels.

3.2.1. 20% solar PV penetration level– stochastic modeling

The penetration level of the PV units is 20% of the peak load. Figure 3 shows the solar PV output power and the output power of each conventional generating unit in the 14-bus system. Apparently conventional generation patterns are affected by the variability nature of the solar irradiance as shown in Figure 3. When the load is increasing after 6 am, the PV output power is also increasing, which triggers the second unit to ramp up to satisfy the net load, while the third unit remains off. The power generated by unit 2 and 3 have decreased as compared with the previous case with no solar PV. The effect of solar energy variability in this case remains small, though, due to the low penetration level. The total operation cost of the minigrid dispatch with a 20% solar PV penetration level is found to be 26,029.1309 USD/day. It is clear that the operation cost has decreased as compared with the case of no solar PV. This is solely attributed to the zero-operation cost characterizing the dispatch of solar PV among the generation mix.
3.2.2. 20% PV penetration level—deterministic modeling

At a 20% PV penetration level of the peak load, the capacity credit of solar PV is considered as 46.04% [27]. In contrast with stochastic PV modeling carried out in the previous test case using PDF, the capacity credit of solar PV is a deterministic quantification of the variable PV output. In this model, the solar PV output power remains constant, at partial value, throughout the sunlight hours in the dispatch period. Figure 4 shows the dispatch results. The total operation cost in this case is 25,380.8725 USD/day, which is lower than the operation cost obtained in case A. This is due to the fact that the amount of energy produced by the solar PV in the capacity credit deterministic model is higher than its counterpart in the stochastic model using PDF. This confirms that the capacity credit deterministic model gives optimistic results that are not usually conforming with practical case studies of solar PV output.

3.2.3. 55% solar PV penetration level level—stochastic modeling

The solar PV penetration level is increased to 55% in this case. The output power of units g1, g2, and g3 have decreased as shown in Figure 5, when the solar PV output power kicks in gradually between t6 and t18. Solar PV has delayed the commitment of g3 by 7 hours to t16, as shown in Figure 5, instead of t9 in Figure 2 and Figure 3. Following the load curve, as the solar energy starts the downward trend in its parabolic generation curve at t14, g2 gradually ramps up to make up for the generation shortfall. When the PV output drops further at t16, g3 is called upon to ramp up its energy output. Eventually, the combined output of the three conventional generators along with solar PV generation will meet the load demand of the minigrid. This result highlights the efficacy of the proposed approach in capturing the intermittent characteristics of solar PV generation with a reasonable accuracy as well as maintaining an economic dispatch of the minigrid generation resources. The total operation cost has diminished to 22,697.0290 USD/Day, which is the lowest compared to previous cases.

Figure 4. Modified IEEE 14-bus system dispatch at 20% PV penetration level using a deterministic model

Figure 5. Stochastic scheduling of the modified IEEE 14-bus system at 55% PV penetration level
Since solar PV power is modeled as a negative load, the net load demand is the difference between the minigrid total end consumer load and the power generated from the PV units. The combined output of the three thermal conventional generators $g_1$, $g_2$, and $g_3$ as well as PV output power and total minigrid load are shown in Figure 6. It is clear that the proposed minigrid scheduling approach managed to reduce thermal generation output to give room for clean and free RE coming from solar PV units. As solar energy subsides after sunsets and at night, thermal generation ramps up/down accordingly to match the load in every hour of the 24-h dispatch period. Table 1 summarizes the total operation cost corresponding to various PV penetration levels.

![Figure 6. Load demand, combined thermal generation output, and solar PV output at 55% penetration level](image1)

![Table 1. Total operation cost at different PV penetration levels](image2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation cost</th>
<th>PV penetration level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27,769.7662 USD/day</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26,029.1309 USD/day</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22,697.0290 USD/day</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. One-week stochastic minigrid dispatch

In this case the proposed approach is applied on the modified IEEE 14-bus testbed system for one week, at a 45% PV penetration level. Figure 7 shows the generation schedule over 168-hour (one week). It is evident that the thermal generators follow the load closely. Yet, when solar PV energy becomes available, thermal generators are scaled back to allow for the full exploitation of the clean RE. The total operation cost of one-week dispatch is 169,049.0092 USD/week. Nonetheless, the total operation cost without considering solar energy at all amounts to 194,506.1208 USD/week. This means there is a net saving of 25,457.11 USD weekly, when solar PV generation is included in the minigrid generation mix.

![Figure 7. A one-week dispatch of the modified IEEE 14-bus system at 45% PV penetration level](image3)
4. CONCLUSION

Hourly generation dispatch of a minigrid that has DGs, including renewable solar PV generation, serving minigrid’s end consumer load is presented in this paper. Solar irradiance is the parameter that has the dominant effect on PV power output and is highly sensitive to the illuminance of the sun in daylight conditions. Solar irradiance was therefore represented as a stochastic random variable that was statistically fitted into a Beta PDF using actual data. The minigrid dispatch problem is formulated as a stochastic nonlinear optimization problem to minimize the operation costs. The problem is then linearized to fit into an MILP structure, which has robust solution techniques. The proposed approach is applied to a modified IEEE 14-bus test system and many test scenarios were conducted. Results show that as the solar PV penetration level increases, the operation costs decrease. Also, the stochastic modeling of solar PV is more reflective of PV intermittency as compared with capacity credit-based deterministic modeling. The adequacy of the proposed approach was further validated on a 168-hour, one week minigrid scheduling. Integration of multiple variable RES with disparate characteristics in a minigrid and the coordination between them is currently under investigation.
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