Modified artificial bee colony optimization algorithm for adaptive power scheduling in an isolated system
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ABSTRACT
The objective of this work is to solve the power scheduling problems for efficient energy management by assigning the optimal values. Artificial neural networks are used widely in the field of energy management and load scheduling. The backpropagation technique is used for the feed-forward neural network training and the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm is used to minimize the errors. The slow speed of convergence and getting stuck in local minima are some negatives of backpropagation in complex computation. To overcome these drawbacks an innovative meta-heuristic search algorithm called modified artificial bee colony optimization algorithm is used. A hybrid neural network is introduced in this work. The simulation result shows that the efficiency of the system is improved when hybrid optimization is used. With this method, the system achieves an optimal accuracy of 99.23%.
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1. INTRODUCTION
In an interrelated power system, the load scheduling strategy is concerned with accurate scheduling of power based on the parameters like availability, expense, and on-demand. Power generation from the non-conventional energy sources is less expensive when compared with other power sources like hydroelectric, thermal, and nuclear. In this, many parameters are considered for the generation of power from each source [1]. The intensity of light is one of the major parameters that affect the response of the solar system. The hydropower station mainly depends on the availability of water. That is, both power stations are affected by weather conditions. In a thermal power station, it is not affected by the weather conditions, but its production cost is high. In the demand side, many parameters are considered for scheduling [2, 3]. Type of use, power usage area, cost, population, temperature, and priority-based allotment are the main parameters considered in the demand side scheduling [4]. Artificial neural network (ANN) is the best approach in machine learning algorithms [5]. Its designing and modeling is based on the human brain concept. Depending on the structure and training model, there are many types of ANNs [6]. Here, backpropagation (BP) is assumed as the most powerful error reducing technique. A hybridized algorithm - Artificial Bee Colony- Backpropagation (ABC-BP) - is adopted for the enhanced result.

In India, as in the year 2019, the gross installed capacity of the power system is 35.61 million kilowatts. In this, 64% made up of thermal power 14% from hydropower, a small part of nuclear power, and remaining from other renewable power sources. Nowadays, the importance of optimal power scheduling is a major concern in the research field. The optimal power scheduling ensures the power based on cost,
availability, and demand. Modern and intellectual solutions are developed in recent years, such as the genetic algorithm and artificial neural network, which have high accuracy when compared with the traditional methods. To solve the different optimization problems various optimization techniques based on population are used. Being the collective intelligent behavior, the swarm intelligence-related algorithms achieved the creditable result. When searching for a better source of food, the real bees have uniform nature. Mouti and Hawary [7] proposed an ABC algorithm based on the identical behavior of bees. MATLAB is used for the simulation.

In the past few decades, a number of researchers engaged in studying the scheduling problem. Hota, et al. [8] proposed an efficient short-term hydrothermal scheduling algorithm based on the evolutionary programming technique. Sifuentes et al. [9] developed a Lagrangian relaxation-based optimization algorithm to detect the ideal solution in hydrothermal scheduling. Basu [10] highlights a simple and effective approach to thermal plant scheduling with hydroelectric units. In that work optimization related neural network formulation is used to determine the hydrothermal scheduling. A method - differential evolution - is proposed by Le et al. [11] to handle the dispatch problems in the hydrothermal power system. In that work, they aim to reduce electricity generation costs. Chiang [12] proposed an enhanced genetic optimization algorithm towards the optimal cost-effective emission dispatch of the hydrothermal power system. Rongrong et.al. [13] suggested a model in the power market for a hydro-thermal-nuclear power system. A two-stage design method for an efficient power dispatching is introduced by Chen et al. [14] and they control the coordination parameters in ABC algorithm for economic power scheduling. Wong [15] proposed a hybrid optimization technique for a short term hydrothermal scheduling. In that work, they present an optimization technique based on the population to solve different optimization problems. The real bees are maintaining swarm intelligence behavior when searching for food sources with good quality. Based on this behavior ABC algorithm is developed to explain different problems [16]. Lenin et al. [17] introduced a Hybrid Biogeography method in a power system for solving the multi-objective reactive power dispatch problem.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

2.1 Backpropagation neural network

The BP Neural Network (BPNN) is a powerful technique based on supervised learning ANN to distinguish the interruption activities of the system. The neuron is the basic element of this technique used to process the information from its memory [18, 19]. The error-correction procedure is used by BPNN to learn. This method minimizes the error by modifying the connection weights. To reduce the error rate, tune the weights in a proper manner, and to create the model predictable by increasing its generalization. The error function is shown in (1) and it is the difference between the actual and anticipated values [20].

\[
e_i = (t_i - o_i)
\]

\[
E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} (t_i - o_i)^2
\]

Where \( i \) is the index of layer, \( t_i \) expected response is and \( O_i \) is the actual response of the network. There are three layers in a typical BPNN; input layer, an output layer, and a hidden layer. If the significant of classifications exceed the limit (>20) then the hidden layer should be increased to two or more. The BPNN technique is summarized as follows: (a) computation using feed-forward NN (b) backward propagation of the error to the hidden layer and output layer, (c) bring up to date the connection weights. The weight modifying process can be expressed as follows

\[
\Delta w_{ji} = -\eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ji}} , w_{ji} = w_{ji} + \Delta w_{ji}
\]

Where \( i \) and \( j \) are the index of layers, \( \Delta w_{ji} \) is the updates of weight vectors for the network connections; \( \eta \) is the learning rate constant and \( w_{ji} \) is the sum of recent and updated connection weights between the layers [21]. The algorithm stops its refining process when the error function value becomes less than the standard value [22]. For the better stabilization, the Levenberg- Marquardt optimization technique is
used in the BPNN. BP is considered as a suitable algorithm in the multi-layer perceptron [23]. The feed-forward ANN structure is learned using the BP algorithm with the constraints as shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Threshold range</td>
<td>$[-1, 1]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning coefficient</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momentum</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation function</td>
<td>Sigmoid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight range</td>
<td>$[-1, 1]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopping rule</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nowadays, it is the ongoing leading developmental algorithms due to its optimistic features like flexibility, simple in concept, and implementation [24]. To solve different optimization and engineering complications, this algorithm is successfully applied. The intelligent searching behavior of bees is simulated for the optimization problems [25]. These algorithms mainly have employed bees, scout bees, onlooker bees, and food sources. The effective teamwork between these bees can examine the best sources of food.

An employed bee updates the source position in her memory and tracks down a new food source position. They analyze the nectar amount of the new food source with existing and memorize the best one. They come back to the hive and dancing with others to share the information regarding nectar amounts. Dance duration depends on the nectar amount of food sources. Onlooker bees determine a good quality food source by watching the dances of employed bees [26]. The same employed bee becomes a scout when the source is abandoned and restarts to search for different food sources randomly [27]. In the present algorithm, the number of employed bees is equal to the number of food sources [28]. Similarly, the number of employed bees and onlooker bees are the same. That is, fifty percent of the bee colony is occupied by an employed bee.

Algorithm 1:

a) Population Initialization
b) Distribute employed bees for a new food source
c) Store the best source of food by evaluating the fitness
d) Development of Onlooker bees
e) Calculate Probabilities for Onlookers
f) Development of Scout Bees
g) Remember the best-realized solution so far
h) Stop the procedure when the unit cycle equal to Maximum Cycle Number
i) Otherwise, go back to Step 2

This algorithm, by using (1), randomly determines the food sources [29].

$$x_{ij} = x_{\text{min},j} + \text{rand}[0, 1](x_{\text{max},j} - x_{\text{min},j})$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Where $i$ represents the food source and $j$ represents the constraint to be optimized, $x_{\text{min}}$ and $x_{\text{max}}$ are lower and upper boundary parameters for the solution respectively, and rand $[0, 1]$ creates arbitrary significant values among 0 and 1. A possible solution is generated by the employed bees by updating the (4) using the expression

$$v_{ij} = x_{ij} + \text{rand}[1, +1](x_j - x_{ij})$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Where $k$ and $j$ are randomly selected indices that denote the food source and optimization parameters respectively and $\text{rand}[-1, +1]$ is a random number that controls the neighbor food source production. The fitness of the optimum solution is evaluated by the employed bees and the new solution is to replace the existence in the memory of employed bees. The bee remains its food source if the nectar amount of the recent source of food is lesser than that of the current one.

Using the probability comparison method, the onlooker bees choose their sources of food. The probability function $P_i$ is calculated using the fitness value. The nectar sources of good probability are carefully chosen and the probability of being selected for the food sources is calculated as follows:
$P_i = \frac{\text{fit}_i}{\sum_{n=1}^{SN} \text{fit}_n}$

(6)

Where, fitness or profitability (quality of the possible solution) value of the \(i^{th}\) solution is \(\text{fit}_i\), which is derived from the (7) and \(SN\), is the employed or onlooker bees size.

\[
\text{fit}_i = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{1 + f_i} & f_i \geq 0 \\
1 + |f_i| & f_i < 0 
\end{cases}
\]

(7)

Where, independent function value for \(i\) is \(f_i\), and it is distinct for the optimization problem. The system will replace the position of existing food sources with the recent sources of food when the recent source position has enhanced quality than the existing food source position. For the well-optimization applications, the premise of ABC methodology is used and the system attempts to touch the global minimum. The important ABC parameters and their significant use in this work are given in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. ABC parameters</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower bound</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper bound</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Size of Colony</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Limit of food source</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max cycle</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Getting stuck in local minima is a major drawback of backpropagation. So, an efficient optimization algorithm like ABC is used for the optimization and the result is trained with the BP algorithm. Here, a hybrid ABC-BP method called Modified ABC is introduced.

2.2 Load scheduling formulation

To reduce the cost of electric energy, find the optimal combination of sources based on demand. For any unit, the cost curve is supposed by quadratic function segments of the active response of the sources [30]. For a power system, the optimization of cost is defined by the equation:

\[
F_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F(P_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i P_i^2 + b_i P_i + c_i)
\]

(8)

Where \(F_{\text{tot}}\) is the total cost of generation, \(a_i\), \(b_i\) and \(c_i\) are the cost coefficients and \(P_i\) is the generated power by the \(i^{th}\) unit and \(n\) is the number of sources. The optimization of cost is subjected to the inequality constraints of the system:

For \(i=1, 2, 3, \ldots n\)

\[
\text{For } i=1, 2, 3, \ldots n
\]

(9)

where \(P_{i,\text{max}}\) and \(P_{i,\text{min}}\) are the maximum and minimum power outputs.

The total power demand, \(P_D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i - P_L\)

(10)

where \(P_L\) is the total transmission loss.
2.3 Modified ABC algorithm

BP algorithm is capable to find local optimistic response and ABC has to find global optimistic response [31]. In this paper, the hybrid algorithm ABC-BP is modified and is termed as a Modified ABC Optimization algorithm (MABCO). In this hybrid technology, in the initial stage of computation, the optimization technique ABC is used to find the optimum values from the training parameters, and BP is continued with this optimized result.

Algorithm 2:
a) Initialize the population by setting the constraints like colony size, heuristic figures, and ending the function.
b) Generate a new solution for employed bees and apply a greedy selection process.
c) Sharing the information between employed and onlooker bees. The evaluation of shared information is carried out by the Onlooker bee and randomly selects a probability-based food source. This is proportional to the food source quality. Calculate the probability using the modified expression
d) Construct and evaluate the fitness functions of all solutions in the population and memorize the best solution.
e) Repeat the process to obtain the optimized values, and then exit.
f) Updating of initial weights of BP will take place when the exit condition become fulfilled
g) Train the BPNN and calculate the mean square error (MSE). The system then goes through the stopping condition.
h) If the system declines the stopping condition then reiterate the system using step 7. Or else, maintain the outcomes for MSE and Regression.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The simulations have been conducted with different requirements of the power system. The modified scheduling algorithm, MABC runs many times and the average makespan value is computed. In all the training, the optimum solutions are caused before reaching the maximum iteration. The result is compared with the BPNN and ABC-BP algorithm. The obtained value with different ANN structure is shown in Table 3 and Table 4. In Table 3 the ANN structure consists of 6 input layers, 10 hidden layers, and 1 output layers (6-10-1). These systems take 142.11 seconds for the execution and its accuracy is 98.88. In Table 4, the number of the hidden layer is changed to fifteen (6-15-1). It results in an improvement in accuracy.

Figure 1 illustrates the best training behavior of the modified algorithm - MABC. The MSE is 1.03930e-12 at Epoch 220 as shown in Table 4. The performance of the system is analyzed using MSE and Epochs. The graph shows the trends in training, validation, and test data in terms of MSE and Epochs. From the graph, it is clear that the MSE decreases with an increase in the number of epochs for all trained, validation, and test data. The accuracy of the system is 99.23% on the basis of performance parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Time, MSE and epochs for 6-10-1 ANN architecture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algorithm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU TIME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPOCHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCURACY (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Time, MSE and epochs for 6-15-1 ANN architecture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Algorithm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU TIME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPOCHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCURACY (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this load scheduling approach, a three-layer – input, output, and hidden layers – feed-forward ANNs are used. For the optimization of load, a swarm-based meta-heuristic scheduling algorithm, MABCO is introduced. It advises to minimize the cost of energy, minimize the energy conception during peak time, and make the availability of the power to the highly demanded areas. The electricity demand is not reduced, but it tries to shift the demand from peak to off-peak period by considering all other parameters. The
The traditional load shedding process is completely avoided by using this scheduling process. The consumptions of unscheduled and scheduled load are shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3 respectively.

The scheduled power system minimizes the error. It also reduces the cost of energy and makes the availability to meet the demanded load. Before the scheduling process, 6.7% of additional energy is delivered, but after the scheduling, it reduces to 0.8%. After scheduling the essential energy to meet the demanded load is reduced from 8.04% to 0.96%. Figure 4(a) shows the percentage of extra generated power for both scheduled and unscheduled systems. Figure 4(b) shows the percentage of power needed to meet the demanded load in both systems.
4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the MABC has been introduced for optimal scheduling. The BPNN training algorithm is used for optimizing the feed-forward neural network. Slow speed and local minimization are some drawbacks of this algorithm. Therefore, some meta-heuristic optimization algorithms inspired by nature are used to solve the sophisticated problems. In this work, the MABC is used to train the BPNN. Initially, to train the network MABC algorithm is used, then the learning process is advancing with BPNN by accepting the finest weight sets from the optimized algorithm. It minimizes the error and gives a better accuracy rate. The performance is compared with other existing algorithms like BPNN and ABC-BP. The scheduled system has better performance and it helps to minimize the energy utilization without affecting the demanded power. It also helps to reduce energy costs. The experimental results indicate that this hybrid algorithm performed better than its individual implementations. Therefore, it is concluded that the present system can produce a better optimal schedule efficiently.
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