Effects of lightning impulse front time on substation grounding system performance
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ABSTRACT

The role of the grounding system in the safety of the power system and protection of personnel is obvious during an unexpected short circuit or lightning discharge at the substation. The aim of this work is to analyze the effects of several parameters: lightning impulse front time, soil resistivity and types of grid materials on the grounding system of the Substation.

The ground potential rise (GPR), touch voltage and step voltage of a 50 m x 60 m grounding grid buried at a depth of 0.5 m were computed using CDEGS when injected by impulse with different front times. Results show that the shorter the front time of lightning impulse waveform, the higher the value of GPR, touch voltage and step voltage. Meanwhile, when the value of soil resistivity is increased, the value of GPR, touch voltage and step voltage is also increased. Lastly, different types of grid conductor materials give different values of GPR, touch voltage and step voltage. However, it can be said that the differences are too small to be of any significance.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The role of a proper grounding system of the substation is very vital. The role of the grounding system comes into play for the protection of power grid equipment’s and personnel safety, During normal and in an unexpected lightning interruption on substation. Lightning impulse on the substation can be classified into lightning impulse current waveform and lightning impulse voltage waveform. Two types of lightning impulse current waveforms which are direct lightning current and indirect lightning current waveform. The level of voltages should be made minimum as possible to ensure the reliability and safety of the substation.

When designing the grounding system of a substation, Ground potential rise (GPR), touch voltage, and step voltage are considered as an important parameter to be observed and analyzed. A good design should maintain the value of touch voltage and step voltage under the safety limits. In addition, the grounding system design should have a very low ground resistance with a tolerance of touch voltage and step voltage limits [1]. A higher than expected potential rise can cause harm to the safety of a person nearby and also to the equipment of the substation.
Previous studies have proven that the transient characteristics of a grounding grid were far more different than those at power frequency because of associated inductance and soil ionization under high-frequency transients [2-7]. Often, the shape of the lightning impulse can affect the resultant voltage profiles, namely, the touch potential, the step potential and the GPR of the grounding system. Lian et al. [4] studied the effects of several parameters on the ground impedance, based on two lightning impulse current shapes, namely 2.6/50μs and 8/20μs currents. Several authors [2, 4, 7, 8] have reported the influence of the lightning impulse points of injection (such as at the grid corner and center points) on the grounding grid performance. Tian et al. [2] carried out a study on the lightning transient characteristics of a 500kV substation grounding grid meanwhile Lian et al. [4] focus their study on the 110kV substation.

Despite the above studies, the effects of other injection points of the grid at a transmission voltage level typical in Malaysia, namely 275 kV, on the transient performance of the grid is desired to be known. Further examination needs to be done to study the effects of soil resistivity and grounding grid materials. A better understanding of the performance of the grounding system design under the lightning impulse current can help in a better design of a grounding system.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

The effects of grid sizes on the grid performance in terms of grid potential rises were well studied [9-21]. In this work, a constant grid size was chosen (5 m spacing). The grounding grid model was modelled using HIFREQ module of the CDEGS software. The grounding grid was designed with a total area of 50 m × 50 m, at a depth of 0.5 m, and using conductors with a radius of 0.1 mm. The inter conductor distance is 5.0 m. The soil resistivity was fixed at 100 Ω·m. Figure 1 shows the modelled grounding used in the simulation work.
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Figure 1. Grounding grid model

The input waveforms were then designed. The lightning impulse voltage front time waveform was varied to analyze the effects of front time waveform on the grounding grid model. A double exponential lightning type signal with the amplitude maintained constant at 30 kA was used. Three impulse shapes defined by the front time were simulated. These are 1.2/50 μs, 5/50 μs, and 10/50 μs waveforms. Since CDEGS is a frequency-based software, the fast Fourier transform (FFT) module was used to convert the time domain waveform into its equivalent frequency domain components. Figures 2 to 4 show the three input waveforms used in the simulations.
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Figure 2. 30 kA peak, 1.2/50 μs lightning current
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Figure 3. 30 kA peak, 5/50 μs lightning current
3. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The effects of three different parameters which are the lightning current front time, the soil resistivity, and the type of grid conductor on the GPR, touch voltage and step voltage were investigated using the frequency domain HIFREQ module. The module automatically computes the frequency response of all equivalent frequency components of the lightning current obtained using the FFT module. The response in time domain was then obtained using the inverse fast Fourier transform (IFFT) module. Several observation points were made including at the middle point of the grounding grid.

3.1. Effects of lightning impulse front time

The chosen injection and observation points are both in the middle of the grounding grid. A 30 kA, 1.2/50 µs current was injected at the central location and the soil resistivity was fixed at 100 Ω.m. A copper type conductor was used. The effects of the current front time on the maximum GPR, touch voltage and step voltage at that point were analyzed. Figure 5 to 7 shows the effects of the current front time on the maximum GPR, touch voltage and step voltage.
The results show that a faster current front causes the potential rise to be higher than the slower fronts. If the grounding grid was designed using AC 50 Hz frequency, the expected potential rises would be much smaller. If the design did take consideration of the transient effects, the choice of front time plays an important role. It is not unusual for lightning current front time to be as fast as 1 μs, and hence consideration of this fast front transient should be considered when designing a grounding grid.

3.2. The effects of soil resistivity

The maximum GPR, touch voltage and step voltage on the grounding grid model were analyzed by varying the value of the soil resistivity from 100 Ω.m to 300 Ω.m. A 30 kA, 1.2/50 μs current was used. Both the injection point and the observation point are at the centre of the grid. The observed results are shown in Figures 8 to 10.

It can be clearly seen that GPR, touch and step voltages increase as the soil resistivity increases. This is somewhat expected since the potential rise is proportional to the equivalent resistance of the grid which is directly related to the soil resistivity. In other words, there is a risk to the personnel safety if the soil is having its resistivity rises to values higher than the initial value used in the grounding grid design.

3.3. The effects of grid conductor material

Three different types of grid conductor materials were simulated. These materials includes Pure Copper, pure Iron and Steel Rail with the resistivity of 1 Ω.m, 1.64 Ω.m, and 5.7 Ω.m, respectively. These give a corresponding relative conductivity for pure copper, pure iron and steel rail as 100 %, 17 %, and 15 %, respectively. A constant soil resistivity value of 100 Ω.m was maintained during the simulation while the 30 kA peak, 1.2/50 μs type of lightning impulse current was used. The observation point was at the center of the grounding grid. Figures 11 to 13 shows the variation of GPR, maximum touch voltage and maximum step voltage with respect to the grind conductor materials.
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4. CONCLUSION

As a conclusion the shorter the lightning impulse front time, the higher the value of GPR, touch voltage and step voltage. On the other hand, the higher the values of soil resistivity, the higher are the GPR, touch voltage and step voltage. Thus, when installing the grounding grid, the soil should have not only a very low resistivity but also a more or less constant or lower values throughout the substation or grounding grid life. The grid conductor material should have a very low resistivity value, and in this study, it was found that pure copper gives the best grid performance. Other parameters may also influence the grid performance. It is well known that soil behaves differently when subjected to large transient currents such as having ionization phenomenon within the soil grains [22-26]. The effects of soil ionization were not however modelled when the design is based on AC 50 Hz frequency or even short circuit current. This shows that modeling and properly design a grounding grid including its transient response is a must for the purpose of ultimate substation or lightning protected building safety.
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