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ABSTRACT
Biometric based personal authentication is playing a vital role in various security based applications. This paper presents the effective fusion of fingerprint, palmprint and iris traits at decision level. Combining different traits at the decision level is a challenging task due to less information available at this level. The focus of the work is to examine the performance of multimodal biometrics at decision level fusion in three different i.e. serial, parallel and hierarchical modes of operation. Serial mode is performed by taking unimodals serially while parallel mode of operation is carried out by processing all modals simulatenously using Majority Voting Rule and the hierarchical mode of operation is performed with proper combination of traits in parallel and serial mode using AND and OR rule. The experiments are performed on 100 different users from publically available FVC2006 fingerprint database, CASIA V1 palmprint database and IITD iris database. The experimental results suggest that proper fusion of different traits in hierarchical way can give best performance even at decision level fusion as compared to serial and parallel mode of operation.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Automatic personal authentication is becoming an essential part in large variety of security applications. Traditional authentication methods are based on use of key, ID cards, PIN or password. However, with this method there are lots of chances of breaking the security. Biometrics is a pattern recognition system which authenticates the person based on physiological traits like palmprint, iris, fingerprint, retina, face, finger-vein, fingerknuckle or behavioral traits like signature, voice, gait etc [1, 2]. These biometric features cannot be misplaced or stolen hence biometrics is frontier to traditional methods of personal authentication. The biometric system which uses single trait for authentication is a unimodal biometrics. However unimodal biometrics faces some problems like spoofing, interclass similarity, intra-class variations, universality. Also no single biometric characteristic can satisfy all the performance requirements of the system [3]. To alleviate the problems of unimodal biometrics; biometric researchers are now moving towards multimodal biometrics.

Multimodal biometrics makes the use of multiple traits for authentication purpose [4]. Multimodal biometrics integrates the information from different traits at various levels. Proper integration of the information which is gained from different modals is very important to get best system performance [5]. Information from different modals can be merged at Feature, Matching score or Decision level. In features level fusion, features of different traits are merged and form a new feature set which is used for
authentication. In matching score level fusion, matching scores of different modals are combined after normalization of the scores. In decision level fusion, each modal gives its decision and finally by applying rules final decision is made. Feature level fusion is pre-classification information fusion while score level and decision level fusion are post-classification information fusion [6]. From the operational mode point of view, the multimodal biometrics can operate in serial mode, parallel mode or hierarchical mode [7]. In serial mode, based on the output of the one modality decision is taken into account about the consideration of next modality. In parallel mode, information from all modalities is considered simultaneously for processing while in hierarchical mode, the system takes some traits in parallel and some in serial.

Till date many multimodal biometric systems have been developed by many researchers but still right choice of biometric traits, feature extraction algorithm, matcher/classifier, fusion level and mode of operation are some open challenges in multimodal biometrics. In decision level fusion, rules like AND, OR, majority voting, weighted majority voting, k-means, fuzzy logic are used to get final decision of the system [8]. Suneet Narula Garg et al. have given an approach of multimodal biometrics based on fingerprint and iris with decision level fusion. The classification is done with K-NN and Neural classifiers and finally decision is made with AND and OR rule. They reported that the neural classifier with OR rule performs better with 91.5% accuracy on database of 50 subjects [9].

The Majority Voting rule based decision level fusion is carried out by Vijayalakshmi G. V. et al. for fingerprint, face and iris based system. Majority voting method has given 99.6% accuracy with database of 20 subjects [10]. Padma Polash Paul et al. have developed the multimodal biometrics based on face, ear and signature with decision level fusion using social network analysis. The accuracy of the system is 100% at 5% FAR [11]. H. Benaliouche et al. have given an approach of decision level fusion in fingerprint and iris based multimodal biometrics. They used fuzzy logic and they got 99.9% accuracy on the database of 50 subjects [12]. Ibrahim A. Saleh et al. have given an approach of iris and signature based multimodal identification biometrics at decision level fusion. Decision fusion is carried out with AND, OR, Weighted Majority Voting and Bayesian decision. Results suggest that OR rule is best for GAR while AND is best for FAR. With the database of 40 subjects they got accuracy of 96% at 4% FAR, 99% at 1% FAR, 98% at 2% FAR and 96% with 4% FAR using AND, OR, Weighted Majority Voting, Bayesian decision rule respectively [13]. Mohamad Abdolahi et al. have presented fuzzy logic based decision level fusion for fingerprint and iris based multimodal biometric system. With this fusion at 2% FAR, 98.3% accuracy is achieved [14].

Amiroy Kumar et al. have applied decision level fusion on palmprint and hand vein based multimodal biometric system with focus on Ant Colony Optimization. They used AND rule for fusion. They have got 99% recognition with database of 150 subjects and they concluded that Ant Colony Optimization is better than Particle Swarm Optimization [15]. M. Hanmandlu et al. have used hybrid Particle Swarm Optimization for selection of the decision rule. The developed multimodal biometric system is based on palmprint and hand Geometry [16]. Vassilios Chatzis et al. have developed multimodal biometric system based on speech and still images with decision level fusion. They used fuzzy clustering algorithm and experiment was carried on database of 37 subjects, they got 0.6%FRR at 0.3% FAR [8]. Zahid Akhtar et al. have worked with parallel mode of operation with matching score level fusion and serial mode in decision level fusion with face and fingerprint traits. They reported that serial mode of operation takes less operational time than parallel mode [17].

Compared to sensor level, feature level and match score level, the fusion at decision level is less addressed in the literature [18]. From literature survey it is observed that most of the previous decision level based multimodal systems work in parallel mode of operation. It is also observed that when multimodal biometrics is based on more than two traits then majority voting rule which is parallel mode of operation has been used by researchers. To the best of our knowledge, hierarchical mode of operation at decision level fusion is fingerprint, palmprint and iris based multimodal biometrics is not yet addressed.

In present work, fingerprint, palmprint and iris are used as biometric traits to develop the multimodal biometric verification system. The information from three different modals is combined at decision level fusion. The aim of this work is to evaluate serial mode by considering modals serially, parallel mode by majority voting rule and hierarchical mode by applying AND rule on two parallel modals first and then depending on this decision from first two modals third modality is considered serially for operation. The focus of the work is on the evaluation of performance of serial, parallel and hierarchical mode of operation at decision level fusion. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: the proposed unimodal and multimodal methodology is described in Section 2, experimental results and discussions are given in Section 3 and finally conclusion is reported in last section.
2. PROPOSED METHOD

The proposed multimodal biometric verification system is based on three traits i.e. fingerprint, palmprint and iris. These traits are chosen for system development because fingerprint is most widely used and accepted trait and palmprint has large area with distinctive features. Third modal i.e. iris is unique to each person; even twins have different irises [7]. This section describes the structure of proposed unimodal and multimodal system.

2.1. Unimodal Biometrics

2.1.1 Fingerprint Verification

The image based approach is used for fingerprint features extraction. First core point of the fingerprint is detected and area around the core point is considered for feature extraction [19]. The fingerprint features are extracted by applying wavelet transform which is multi-resolution analysis. The wavelet transform is found to be well-established tool for applications of image processing [20]. The various wavelet components are the scaled and the shifted versions of a mother wavelet [21, 22]. The fingerprint image is decomposed up to 4 levels using Symlet5 wavelet then GLCM of LH, HL and HH parts is computed with 00, 900, 450 and 1350 angle (for HH part GLCM is computed with 450 & 1350) respectively. From these GLCMs energy and contrast features (32 features) are extracted also wavelet energy of detail components up to fifth level decomposed image is extracted (45 features). Standard deviation and mean of detail component of first level decomposed image are also extracted (6 features). Along with this, gray level co-occurrence matrix of original image is calculated with 00, 900, 450 and 1350 angle and features i.e. energy, contrast, homogeneity, correlation (16 features) are extracted using (1) to (4) respectively.

\[
\text{Energy} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} P(i,j)^2 \\
\text{Contrast} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{k} (i-j)^2 P(i,j) \\
\text{Homogeneity} = \frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^{k} P(i,j)}{i+j} \\
\text{Correlation} = \frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^{k} (i+j) P(i,j)}{\sigma_i \sigma_j}
\]  

In this way total 99 features are extracted from each fingerprint. In verification stage, matching of the fingerprint is performed using Euclidean distance using (5). The performance of the fingerprint verification is measured in terms of Equal Error Rate (%ERR). In fingerprint unimodal verification, equal error rate of 5.6% is achieved.

\[
ED = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\text{no.of features}} (f_{\text{en}} k - f_{\text{en}} l)^2}{\text{no.of features}}}
\]  

2.1.2 Palmprint Verification

In order to extract the features from palmprint, the wavelet transformation is carried out on the preprocessed palmprint image. First Region of Interest of palmprint is extracted [23]. Then it is wavelet decomposed at first level using BiorSplines 2.4 wavelet. Local statistical analysis is performed on wavelet decomposed palmprint image. Total 400 local statistical features are extracted from palmprint by block processing. The mean of each sub region of the palm is extracted as local statistical features of palmprint using (6).

\[
\text{mean} (m) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} f(i,j)
\]

In verification stage, Euclidean distance is used for matching purpose as with (5). Then the performance of the palmprint unimodal system is measured in terms of equal error rate. The equal error rate of 5.5% is achieved with palmprint unimodal verification system.
2.1.3 Iris Verification

The process of the iris feature extraction is also based on wavelet transformation. Features are extracted from preprocessed i.e. normalized iris image, IITD database consist of normalized iris images [2]. The wavelet transformation up to four levels is carried out using Haar wavelet on normalized iris images. After fourth level of decomposition the wavelet coefficients of LL, LH, HL and HH components are considered as features of iris. The coefficients of the LL, LH, HL and HH sub bands are converted into 324 bits binary code using (7), where V is feature vector and V (i) is ith bit of feature vector.

\[ V (i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } V (i) > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]  

(7)

In matching stage, the iris matching is performed using Hamming distance with (8). The performance of the system is measured in terms of equal error rate. The equal error rate of 1.5% is achieved with haar wavelet in iris verification system.

\[ HD (p, q) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( x_j \oplus y_j \right) \]  

(8)

In this way all three unimodal systems are developed and these are combined at decision level fusion to develop multimodal biometric verification system.

2.2. Multimodal Biometrics with Decision Level Fusion

The decision level fusion is also called as abstract level fusion. As stated in Section 1, in decision level fusion the individual modality give its decision and based on their individual decision, final decision is given by the multimodal system with the help of fusion rules. In verification, system gives final decision as accept/reject and in identification system it gives identity of the person. In present work, the performance of the fingerprint, palmprint and iris based multimodal biometrics is measured with serial, parallel as well as hierarchical mode of operation at decision level fusion.

2.2.1 Serial Mode of Operation

In serial mode of operation, modals are considered one after another. The final decision is accepted depends upon acceptance of any one of the modal otherwise it is rejected. First fingerprint modal is taken as an input. If fingerprint accepts the person then person is treated as genuine otherwise next modal i.e. palmprint is serially taken as an input. If palmprint accepts the person then person is treated as genuine otherwise final modal i.e. iris is taken as an input in serial manner. If iris accepts the person then person is treated as genuine otherwise treated as imposter. Figure 1 shows block diagram of multimodal biometric system in serial mode of operation at decision level fusion. If any one of the three modals accepts the person then final decision is accept otherwise person is rejected by considering imposter.

![Block Diagram of Multimodal Biometric System in Serial Mode of Operation](image)

Figure 1. Multimodal biometric verification in serial mode of operation at decision level fusion

2.2.2 Parallel Mode of Operation

In parallel mode of operation, decisions from individual unimodal verification systems i.e. fingerprint, palmprint and iris are fused using majority voting rule. Majority voting rule is frequently used in literature for more than two modals so in present work it is used for parallel mode. In majority voting rule, the final decision is based on majority of decision given by different traits and it works in parallel mode. In parallel mode, all the traits are processed simultaneously to get final decision of the system. Figure 2 shows block diagram of our multimodal biometric system in parallel mode of operation at decision level fusion.
2.2.3 Hierarchical Mode of Operation

In hierarchical mode, some traits work in parallel mode and some in serial modes of operation. First fingerprint and palmprint verification systems work in parallel mode of operation. The individual decision given by fingerprint and palmprint system are combined using AND fusion rule. In AND rule, person is accepted if both systems accept the person. If in the parallel mode of fingerprint and palmprint the person is rejected then third modal i.e. iris is processed in serial manner. Finally decision given by the iris is considered as a final decision. The block diagram of proposed multimodal biometric system in hierarchical mode of operation at decision level fusion is shown in Figure 3.

![Block Diagram of Multimodal Biometric System](image)

Figure 2. Multimodal biometric verification in parallel mode of operation at decision level fusion

In this way parallel, serial and hierarchical mode of operation are performed at decision level fusion and performance of the system is measured. Next section covers the databases and experimental results of the system.

![Block Diagram of Multimodal Biometric System](image)

Figure 3. Multimodal biometric verification in hierarchical mode of operation at decision level fusion
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The proposed work is implemented in MATLAB. In present work, multimodal database used in the experiment is heterogeneous database which is formed from three different unimodal databases i.e. fingerprint, palmprint and iris. The user in the one database is paired with user from other database. The FVC 2006 database is used for fingerprint. This database is collected by Biometric System Lab (BIOLAB), University of Bologna [25]. The fingerprint experimentations are performed on fingerprint images of 100 different subjects form DB2_A set. For palmprint, publically available CASIA Palmprint V1 Database collected by the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute of Automation (CASIA) is used [26]. The palmprint experimentations are performed on images of 100 different subjects. Finally for iris, IIITD Iris Image Database Version 1.0 acquired in the Biometrics Research Laboratory is used. The normalized iris images are also provided in the database [24]. The normalized iris images of 100 different subjects are used in the experimentations of iris. Here, for each trait 5 images of 100 different subjects are considered for experimentation. Three images of each subject are considered for training while remaining images are used for testing purpose.

The performance of unimodal as well as multimodal system is measured in terms of False Acceptance Rate (FAR), False Rejection Rate (FRR), Genuine Acceptance Rate (GAR) and Equal Error Rate (EER). With lower EER system gives better performance [27]. In unimodal experimentations, EER of 5.6% is achieved using sym5 wavelet for fingerprint while for palmprint we achieved 5.5% EER with bior2.4 wavelet and finally for iris EER of 1.5% is achieved with the use of haar wavelet. Figure 4, Figure 5 and Figure 6 show the Equal Error Rate obtained for unimodal systems. From equal error rates of unimodal traits it is observed that the iris has given more accuracy than fingerprint and palmprint. Receiver Operating Characteristic i.e. ROC defines plot of FAR vs GAR, ROC of the unimodal fingerprint, palmprint and iris systems at different values of FAR are shown in Figure 7.

![Figure 4. Performance of fingerprint verification](image)

![Figure 5. Performance of palmprint verification](image)

![Figure 6. Performance of iris verification](image)

![Figure 7. Performance of the unimodal systems](image)

Further, the decision level fusion is carried out in the development of multimodal system and the performance of the system is measured for serial, parallel as well as hierarchical mode of operation. In serial mode of operation 93% GAR is achieved at 0.01% FAR. In parallel mode, all the three traits are processed
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